



SCT/10/3 Prov.
ORIGINAL: English

DATE: December 9, 2002

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

GENEVA

STANDING COMMITTEE ON THE LAW OF TRADEMARKS, INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS AND GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS

Tenth Session Geneva, April 28 to May 2, 2003

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADEMARK LAW AND CONVERGENCE OF TRADEMARK PRACTICES

Prepared by the Secretariat

INTRODUCTION

- 1. During the eighth session of the Standing Committee on the Law of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications (SCT), held in Geneva from May 27 to 31, 2002, the SCT asked the Secretariat to draft a questionnaire on substantive matters relating to trademark law on the basis of the views expressed by the Committee at its eighth session when discussing the set of principles contained in Document SCT/8/3.
- 2. Pursuant to the request of the Standing Committee, the Secretariat has prepared a questionnaire (Document SCT/9/3), for discussion at the ninth session (November 11 to 15, 2002) of the SCT. At this Session, the SCT briefly discussed the nature of the questionnaire and decided that the International Bureau should circulate it, as contained in document SCT/9/3, on the SCT Electronic Forum, inviting for comments from SCT members by the end of January 2003. On the basis of these comments, the International Bureau will then revise and finalize the questionnaire and circulate it for completion by WIPO Member States, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations.
- 3. The purpose of the questionnaire, is to collect information regarding the national practices of Member States of WIPO and to identify issues which require to be addressed at the international level concerning the further development of international trademark law and the convergence of national trademark practices. A similar approach was taken in 1985 in the context of preparatory work on a new treaty for the international registration of trademarks. This followed work conducted by WIPO in 1977 regarding Major Provisions of Trademark Legislation in Selected Countries, presented in the form of Summary Tables (WIPO Publication N°113). Considering the norms and standards recently adopted at the international level in the field of trademark law, it may be useful to get at present a clear picture of the current national practices in the field of trademark law. This exercise should not, under any circumstances, be considered as interpreting the provisions of national legislation.
- 4. The questionnaire should assist in persuing future work of the SCT aimed at further simplifying the work of national intellectual property offices and at providing a clear legal framework for trademark applicants and holders and their representatives, and for third parties and the general public (consumers) through a convergence of national laws or practices. By creating legal certainty through the adoption of common approaches, significant time and cost savings could be achieved for the users of the many different trademark systems that exist worldwide as well as for industrial property offices.
- 5. The basis for this work may be found in the revised Program and Budget for 2002-2003 which includes under Sub-Program 05.2, "Law of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications", the following activities (see document WO/PBC/4/2, page 53):

"Convening of four meetings of the SCT (and any Working Group set up by this Committee) to consider current issues, including: [..]

- the desirability and feasibility of harmonizing substantive trademark law, including the protection for new trademarks (sound, smell, three-dimensional marks, etc.), the requirements for use of a mark prior to registration, substantive grounds for refusal, etc.; facilitation of discussion in the SCT to incorporate in this framework the Joint Recommendation concerning provisions on the protection of well-known marks,

SCT/10/3 Prov. page 3

and the Joint Recommendation on the protection of marks and other industrial property rights in signs, on the Internet."

- 6. At the eighth Session (May 27 to 31, 2002) of the SCT, Member States initiated discussions on the further harmonization of substantive trademark law. The in-depth exchange of views which took place was based on document SCT/8/3, prepared by the Secretariat, providing preliminary indications of topics to be discussed regarding the substantive harmonization of trademark laws. Discussions illustrated the broad diversity of national systems, procedures and approaches in the field of marks. Various definitions of this term "mark", including non-traditional marks (olfactory or smell marks, sound marks, holograms and three-dimensional marks) were broadly discussed. Many SCT members noted the difficulties in the examination of such marks, particularly for small IP Offices. The graphic representation of some sound marks or smell marks as well as the determination of the distinctive character of three-dimensional marks was pointed out particularly as being problematic.
- 7. The SCT also discussed the possibility of establishing an exhaustive list of absolute grounds for refusal, based on the list of Article 6quinquies(B) of the Paris Convention. Relative grounds for refusal (conflicts with prior rights) were also thoroughly debated. National trademark laws vary considerably as to nature of the prior rights based on which the registration of a similar mark may be refused. The SCT also discussed the appropriate use of the commonly known symbols TM and ®. The use of these symbols is not currently regulated at the international level and it was felt that some clarification as to the use of those symbols would be useful, particularly because of the development of the Internet.
- 8. Issues such as the processing of applications for the registration of a mark (for example, maximum time limit for a first Office action or the possibility of fixing an opposition), the examination procedures (convergence of practices as to the examination of absolute grounds and/or relative grounds for refusal), what constitutes use of a mark for the purpose of determining acquisition or maintenance of a right in a mark and the relevant requirements of use of a mark, were not debated at the eighth session of the SCT, but are, however, included in the attached questionnaire

[Annex follows]

ANNEX

QUESTIONNAIRE ON TRADEMARKS

I.	DEI	FINITION			
1. as:	Acc	ording to the applicable legislation or	Trademark Office pra	actices, a ma	ark is defined
	A.	Any sign or combination of signs, ca of an undertaking from the goods or			s or services
	B.	Signs visually perceptible	\Box YES	□NO	□ N/A
	C.	Signs capable of being represented g	graphically YES	\Box NO	□ N/A
	D.	Other	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A
		If YES, please explain			
2.		es the applicable legislation provide for stration as a mark	any specific signs to	be excluded NO	d from □ N/A
	Plea	ase explain:			
	_				
II.	TYI	PES OF MARKS REGISTRABLE			
Trac		the following signs be registered as m k Office practices?:	arks under the applic	able legislat	ion or under
	A.	Denominations			
		1. Words in foreign languages	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
		If YES, please explain if there are a	ny special requireme	nts (such as	translation)

	2.	Wo	rds in foreign script	\square YES	\square NO				
			If YES, please explain if there are any special requirements (such as transliteration request)						
	3.	Pers	sonal names/names of famous pe	ople □ YES	□ NO	□ N/A			
	4.	Let	ters	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
	5.		mbers	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
B.	Nor	n-tradi	tional marks						
	1.	Col	or marks	\Box YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		i.	Single color	\Box YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		ii.	Combination of colors	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A			
		iii.	Combination of colors associa	ted with other	signs □ NO	□ N/A			
	2.	Sou	and marks						
		i.	Musical sounds	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A			
		ii.	Other sounds	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A			
		If YES, please list them and explain how they can be represented graphically in the application							
	3.	Olfa	actory marks	\square YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		If Y	ES, how are they represented gra	aphically?					

Three-dimensional marks

4.

	Product packaging/tradedress	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
ii.	Product shape	\square YES	\square NO	$\square N/A$
iii.	Others	□ YES	\square NO	$\square N/A$
	ES, please list them and explain ho			red in the
—— Holo	ograms	□YES	□ NO	□ N/A
If Y	ES, how are they represented grap	hically?		
Slog	ans	□YES	□NO	□ N/A
Mov	rie/Book Titles	□ YES	□NO	$\square N/A$
	. / 1/. 1.	□ YES	□NO	$\square N/A$
Mot	ion/multimedia signs			
	are they represented graphically?			
	-			
	are they represented graphically?	□ YES	□ NO	□ N/A

12. marl	5 1 22	se who exa	mine non-tra □ NO	aditional		
man	If YES, please explain what kind of train					
Serv	vice Marks	□YES	□NO	□ N/A		
1.	Are marks for retail services protected?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A		
"Spe	ecial" Types of Marks					
1.	Defensive marks?	\square YES	\square NO	$\square N/A$		
2.	Associated marks?	\square YES	\square NO	$\square N/A$		
3.	A series of marks?	□ YES	\square NO	$\square N/A$		
4.	Collective marks?	□ YES	□NO	$\square N/A$		
	If YES, explain how they are defined					
5.	Certification marks?	□YES	□NO	□ N/A		
If YES, explain how they are defined						
6.	Others	□ YES	□NO	$\square N/A$		
	If YES, please explain which types of m	arks				

III. BASIS FOR THE REGISTRATION OF A MARK 1. Must an application be based on use? \square YES \square NO \square N/A Must an application be based on intent to use? 2. \square NO \square N/A \square YES If YES, what are the requirements? 3. Must evidence of use/intent to use be provided at the time of filing? \square YES \square NO \square N/A 4. Is use required before registration? \square YES \square NO \square N/A IV. APPLICATION PROCEDURE 1. Is there a maximum time limit for a first Office action on a trademark application? \square NO \square YES \square N/A Are procedures available to expedite the processing of an application? 2. \square YES \square NO \square N/A If YES, is there an additional fee? \square YES \square NO \square N/A 3. Are multiple-class applications permitted? \square YES \square NO \square N/A Is electronic filing permitted? \square N/A 4. \square YES \square NO If YES, what are the problems you may have encountered?

 \square YES

 \square NO

 \square N/A

5.

Can applications be assigned?

V. EXAMINATION PROCEDURE

1.	Doe	s the IP office ex officio examine applications i	for marks w	ith regards to):				
	A.	Formal requirements?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	B.	Absolute grounds /inherent registrability?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	C.	Relative grounds for refusal (prior rights)?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	D.	Grounds for refusal as a whole (with no distin	nction as to	B and C)? □ NO	□ N/A				
	E.	Others:			□ IV/A				
2.	Doe	s the ex-officio substantive examination occur:							
	A.	prior to the publication of the application							
		Please explain for which grounds							
	B.	after the publication of the application?	\square YES	\square NO	$\square \ N/A$				
		Please explain for which grounds							
3.	Absolute grounds for refusal								
		If the application is examined to determine whether it meets statutory requirements, what are, according to your legislation, the absolute grounds for refusal?							
	A.	Signs which are not capable of distinguishing	g 🗆 YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	B.	Signs which do not satisfy other requirement capable of being graphically represented,)	of the defin ☐ YES	ition of a ma □ NO	ark (e.g., not				
	C.	Signs devoid of any distinctive character	□ YES	\square NO	\square N/A				

quantity, intended purpose, value, place of or production (descriptive signs).	,		e time of
Signs which have become customary in the cand established practices of the trade of the cand (i.e. have become generic).		_	
Generic terms	□ YES	\square NO	□ N/A
If YES, describe how the term "generic" is u	nderstood		
Signs contrary to morality or public order	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Signs of such a nature as to deceive the public	ic 🗆 YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Signs contrary to Article 6ter of the Paris Co	onvention □ YES	□NO	□ N/A
Signs benefiting protection from other intern Olympic symbols)	ational conv ☐ YES	entions (Red □ NO	Cross, □ N/A
Signs protected by national laws			
i. Royal emblems	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
ii. Indigenous signs	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
iii. Others	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Well-known marks/famous marks/marks have	ving a reputa ☐ YES	tion □ NO	□ N/A
Appellations of origin	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Business names/business identifiers	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Names of famous persons	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Foreign words or expressions	□ YES	\square NO	□ N/A
Others:			

Mus	st the examiner follow precedents?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
i)	Decisions of judicial or administrative tril	ounals	□NO	□ N/A
ii)	Decisions of other examiners	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
Len;	gth of time given to applicant to respond to	ex parte object	ions:	
——Are	extensions of time granted to respond to ex	parte objection	ns?	□ N/A
Rela	ative grounds (prior rights)			
is in	e application is examined <i>ex officio</i> to deter conflict with prior rights, what are, according sidered as prior rights?			
A.	An identical mark registered or applied for identical goods or services	or by another pe	erson in resp	ect of
B.	An identical mark registered or applied for similar goods or services	or by another pe	erson in resp	ect of
C.	A similar mark registered or applied for b goods or services	y another perso	on in respect	of identical \square N/A
D.	A similar mark registered or applied for b goods or services	y another perso	on in respect □ NO	of similar
	Please explain, whether in all cases a like in cases B. – D.		sion is neces	ssary or only
E.	A well-known mark	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
F.	Indigenous signs	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
G.	Business names/business identifiers	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A
Н.	Appellation of origin/ Geographical indications	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
I	Industrial designs	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A

J.	Copyrights	\square YES	\square NO	$\ \square \ N/A$
K.	Personal names	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
L.	Collective or certification marks	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
M.	Unregistered trademarks	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
N.	Others	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	If YES, please list them:			
Effe	ective Date of Registration			
1.	Filing Date of application?	□ YES	\square NO	\square N/A
2.	Date of issuance of certificate of registration?	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
3.	Others:			
Proc	of of Acquired Distinctiveness			
1.	Are certain marks registrable only with proof	_		
		□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
	If YES, please list them:			
	-			
2.	In the case of composite trademarks with non-	-distinctive	words or ele	ements, may

VI. OPPOSITION PROCEEDINGS

1.	Doe	s the a	applicable registration system allow for op-	pposition? □ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
	A.	Dra	registration (apposition to an application)			□ 1 1/71			
	A.	110-	registration (opposition to an application))					
		i.	Before any examination	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
		ii.	During examination	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
		iii.	After the examination of formal require	ements □ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		iv.	After examination of absolute grounds	for refusal VES	□NO	□ N/A			
		V.	After examination of relative grounds for	or refusal	□NO	□ N/A			
		vi.	Are applications published for opposition	on? □ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
	B.	Post	t-registration (opposition to a registration)) □ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
2.	What is the length of the opposition period?								
	A.	2 m	onths	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
	B.	3 m	onths	□ YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
	C.	Mor	re than 3 months	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		If YES, please specify:							
	D.	Are	extensions available?	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A			
		Please explain under what conditions:							
3.	Publication								
	Where is the application/registration published for opposition?								
	A.	In a	gazette	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A			

	В.	On the IP office web site	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A					
	C.	Both (A and B)	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A					
	D.	Other	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A					
		Please describe what is the frequency of th	e publication:							
		I. di	- 111 - CC	-49)						
		Indicate which publication is "official" (i.e	e. nas iegai eiie	ect?)						
4.	When is the starting date of the opposition period?									
	A.	The publication of the application for regis	stration	□NO	□ N/A					
	В.	The publication of the registration	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A					
	С.	-			□ 14/21					
	C.	Others:								
5.	Who	o may invoke an opposition?								
	A.	Any person	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A					
	B.	Anyone showing a legitimate interest	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A					
	C.	Any competent authorities (IP Offices, oth	ers) 🗆 YES	□NO	\square N/A					
		If YES, please specify which authorities:								
	D.	Other:								

0.	Are	opposition proceedings available:							
	A.	Before the registry/IP Office?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
		B.Before a judicial body?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
		C.Other?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
		Please explain:							
7.		Is there a procedure for appeals?	□YES	□NO	□ N/A				
	A.	Before the registry/IP Office?	□ YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	B.	Before an administrative body?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	C.	Before a Court?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
8.	Wit	Within which period of time, appeal is possible?							
	A.	2 months after the registration	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	B.	3 months after the registration	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
	C.	More than 3 months after the registration	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A				
		Please explain:							
9.	Wha	at are the possible grounds for opposition?							
	A.	Likelihood of confusion with prior registere	ed mark.	□NO	□ N/A				
	B.	Likelihood of confusion with prior unregiste	ered mark.	□NO	□ N/A				
	C.	Likelihood of confusion with a pending app	lication.	□NO	□ N/A				
	D.	Appellation of origin	□ YES	\square NO	□ N/A				
	E.	Geographic significance	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A				

F.	Surname	\sqcup YES	□NO	□ N/A
G.	Descriptiveness	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
Н.	Genericness	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
I.	Other:			
confi	oposition proceedings, what factors are cordusion? se explain:		-	hood of
Is it j	possible to reach settlement agreements in	opposition proc	eedings?	□ N/A
Is ea	ch party held responsible for his/her costs?	?	□NO	□ N/A\
Does	s the losing party bear the entire cost of the	e opposition proc	eeeding?	□ N/A
If NO	OT, please explain, how the costs are dealt	with		
	t is the average time it takes to issue a dec hed (meaning no further submission will b		position proc	ceeding is
RIGI	HTS GRANTED TO UNREGISTERED N	MARKS		
Are i	unregistered marks protected under national (If reply is NO, please skip to		□ NO park)	□ N/A
Are i	unregistered marks protected against infrir	ngement?	□NO	□ N/A
Are	unregistered marks protected against diluti	ion?	□NO	□ N/A

4.	Does user?	the owner of a prior unregistered mark have ar	ny recourse a ☐ YES	gainst a subs	equent
5. appli		the owner of a prior unregistered mark have an egistrant?	ny recourse a	gainst a subs	equent
6.	Subj	ect Matter Protected:			
	A.	Unregistered Word marks	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	B.	Unregistered logo and other non-word marks	□ YES	□NO	\square N/A
	C.	Packaging	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	D.	Tradedress	\square YES	□NO	□ N/A
	E.	Company names	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
	F.	Others	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
		If YES, explain			
7.	Crite	ria for Protection of Unregistered Marks			
	A.	Is a level of awareness/prior recognition required?	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
	B.	Is distinctiveness required?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	C.	Other:			
8.	Infrii	ngement Standards			
	A.	Is actual confusion required?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	B.	Is likelihood of confusion required?	\square YES	□NO	\square N/A
	C.	Others:			

9.	What are the penalties/damages provisions for infringement of unregistered marks?							
	A.	Same as registered marks	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
	B.	Others:						
VIII.	USE	E OF A MARK						
1.	Doe	s the applicable legislation provide for a use	requirement?	□NO	□ N/A			
2.		e is required to maintain a registration what usidered?	uninterrupted p	period of nor	n-use is			
3.	Mus	t use be substantiated during the registration	period (for exa	ample prior t	to renewal)			
	If Y	ES, explain how and when:						
4.		s the legislation define what use is required (roduct, etc.)	e.g., use in adv	ertisements	, □ N/A			
	If V	ES, please give definition:						
		Lo, pieuse give definition.						
5.		ording to your legislation, do the following a stration:	cts constitute u	ise to mainta	ain a			
	A.	Sole use in commercials or advertising	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A			
	B.	Use of a registered mark in a different form distinctive character of the mark as register	*	of which do	not alter the			
		_	\square YES	\square NO	$\; \square \; N\!/A$			
	C.	Affixation of a mark to goods or to the pack for export purposes	kaging thereof ☐ YES	in your cou	ntry solely			
	D.	Use of a mark by a person other than the ho	older. if such u	se is made v	vith the			
	-	holder's content	□ YES		□ N/A			

E.		as a business name or a business symbol, and not in relation to trees for which the mark is protected		ation to the
	goods of services for which the mark is pr	□ YES	\square NO	$\square \ N/A$
F.	Use for the purpose of a market test of good	od or service	□NO	□ N/A
Wh	at other types of use fulfil the use requireme	ent?		
Plea	ase explain:			
Peri	iods of use/non-use after registration			
A.	Is the uninterrupted period of non-use con	-	_	
		\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
B.	Is the uninterrupted period of non-use con	-	_	
	registration?	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
C.	Can the period of non-use be reset by sub-	sequent use?		
		\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
D.	By other means?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
	If Yes, please explain:			
E.	What kind of valid reasons shown by the			
		\square YES	□NO	□ N/A
	Please explain:			
F.	Does your legislation provide for a "grace uninterrupted period of non-use and the ir			fthe
	cancellation/revocation of the mark for no	on-use?		
		\square YES	□NO	□ N/A
G.	In such case, does your legislation provide			
	commencement or resumption of use is no the mark was aware [or could not have be			
	cancellation/revocation may be introduced			□ N/A

If YES, please explai	n which are the sanction		□NO	
MARKING REQUIREME REFERENCE TO THE MA				ON TH
Are markings allowed to in	idicate registration?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
Are markings allowed to in	ndicate use (when mark	x is unregister ☐ YES	ed)? □ NO	\square N
Are there optional marking	provisions?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
Does the law provide for bousing optional markings?	enefits from	□ VFS	□NO	□ N
Does the law provide for bousing optional markings? If YES, explain	enefits from	□ YES	□NO	□ N
using optional markings?	enefits from	□ YES	□NO	□ N
using optional markings?				
using optional markings? If YES, explain	s of false or deceptive	usage of mar	king symbol	
using optional markings? If YES, explain Which law applies for case Please identify: Are there penalties for non	s of false or deceptive use of marking symbo	usage of mark	king symbols required by l	
using optional markings? If YES, explain Which law applies for case Please identify: Are there penalties for non	s of false or deceptive	usage of mark	king symbols required by l	aw?

X. CANCELLATION OR/AND INVALIDATION PROCEEDINGS 1. Does failure of required use lead to ex officio cancellation of the registered mark? \square NO \square YES \square N/A 2. Are proceedings available to remove a mark from the register at an administrative level in the Trademark Office? \square YES \square NO $\sqcap N/A$ If Yes, what are the standing requirements? ____ i. By any interested person? ii. \square YES \square NO \square N/A 3. Are appeal procedures available? \sqcap YES \sqcap NO $\sqcap N/A$ 4. Cancellation/Invalidation proceedings can start when within a continuous period of 5 years, the mark has not been put to genuine use in connection with the goods or services in respect of which it is registered. \sqcap NO \square YES \square N/A If Yes, when does the 5 year period start? Are proceedings available to remove a mark from the register by a Court? 5. \square YES \square NO \square N/A What are the standing requirements? 6. Are appeal procedures available? \square YES \sqcap NO \square N/A Please explain:

be b	prought?	□ YES	□NO	\Box N
If Y	es, give time period restriction:			
Can	some registrations become "incontestable?"	\square YES	\square NO	□ N/A
If Y	es, please explain how:			
Poss	sible Grounds for Removal:			
A.	Identity with prior mark, registered for identical goods or services	□ YES	□NO	
B.	Likelihood of confusion with prior registered	d mark □ YES	□NO	\square N
C.	Likelihood of confusion with prior unregiste	red mark	□NO	
D.	Likelihood of confusion with pending applic	eation □ YES	□NO	
E.	Appellation of origin	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
F.	Geographic significance	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
G.	Surname	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
H.	Descriptiveness	\square YES	\square NO	\square N
If Y	ES, what is the decisive date			
	Generioness			

Is the	ere a period of time during which a third party	or the owne	er of the remo	oved m
	not apply to register the mark again? \Box			
If Ye	es, please explain:			
REN	EWAL OF REGISTRATION			
Dura	tion of Registration:			
Perio	od for filing renewal applications:			
Payn	nent of renewal fee only required?	□ YES	□NO	\Box N
How made	long is the period after expiration of registratic?	on during w	hich renewa	l can st
Pleas	se specify:			
Does	the IP office contact the owner of the mark to	inform him	/her when hi	is/her
regis	tration is due for renewal?	\square YES	\square NO	
Resto	oration			
A.	Are there provisions to restore a lapsed regist	ration?	□NO	
	If Yes, please explain			

B.	Can restoration affect the rights of intervenin	g users? ☐ YES	□NO	□ N/A
	If Yes, please explain:			
C.	Can restoration affect the rights of intervenin marks?	g registrants	s of identical	/similar □ N/A
	If Yes, please explain:			
appl	nere a period of time after non-renewal during verying to register the same mark? es, please explain:	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
Are	unlimited renewals available?	□ YES	□NO	□ N/A
Mus	st marks be used before they can be renewed?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
Is e	vidence of use required upon renewal?	\square YES	\square NO	\square N/A
If Y	es, please explain:			
Dur	ation of renewal:			
Is a	new number assigned each time a registration i	is renewed?	□NO	□ N/A

[End of Annex and of document]