

From: Siep de Vries <siep.de.vries@bie.minez.nl>
To: "SCIT" <scit.mail@wipo.int>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2000 4:40 PM
Subject: SCIT/WG/2/12 Prov.

Mr. K.-P. Wittig
Deputy Director
Inter-Office Information Services
World Intellectual Property Organization

NL remarks with relation to document SCIT/WG/2/12 Prov.

Paragraph 11:
On the last line "10" should be replaced by "12".

Paragraph 32:
A reader looking for Task 39 in the SCIT Work Program for the 2000-01 biennium, will look in vain. NL therefore suggests to add at the end of paragraph 32 a reference to a footnote (1). Said footnote might read as follows:

(1) In the SCIT Work Program for the 2000-01 biennium, this Task has been renumbered into Task No. 22.

Heading preceding paragraph 44:
Since on the second line the word "National" is written with a capital, it would seem that the next word "(Regional)" would have to be written with a capital as well.

Yours sincerely,

S. de Vries
Head, Chemical Division

From: Siep de Vries <siep.de.vries@bie.minez.nl>
To: "SCIT" <scit.mail@wipo.int>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2000 5:45 PM
Subject: document SCIT/4/8 Prov.

Mr. K.-P. Wittig
Deputy Director
Inter-Office Information Services
World Intellectual Property Organization

NL remarks with relation to document SCIT/4/8 Prov.

Paragraph 10:

On the last but one line one should refer to paragraph 11 instead of paragraph 10.

Heading preceding paragraph 17:

A reader looking for Task Nos. 37 and 38 in the SCIT Work Program for the 2000-01 biennium (Annex VII to the document), will search in vain. NL therefore suggests to add at the end of the heading preceding paragraph 17 a reference to a footnote (1). Said footnote might read as follows:

(1) In the SCIT Work Program for the 2000-01 biennium, these Tasks have been renumbered into Task No. 13 and Task No. 8, respectively.

Paragraphs 27, 30 and 34:

When the SCIT Plenary discussed the outcome of the second joint meeting of the SCIT Working Groups, it clearly did not discuss the report of that meeting. Said report has not even been adopted yet by the Working Groups themselves!

But what the SCIT Plenary discussed also was not just the "summary of discussions by the Chair" as contained in document SCIT/WG/2/11. Said summary had already been discussed by the Working Groups, and a number of amendments had been agreed upon. See for instance paragraphs 31 and 34 of document SCIT/4/8 Prov. where two of the said amendments have been reflected.

Keeping this in mind NL suggests the following:

- A) Start the heading preceding paragraph 27 with "REPORT ON" instead of "REPORT OF".
- B) Insert in paragraph 27 on the second line after "SCIT/WG/2/11" the words: "and amended by the Working Groups,".
- C) Delete at the end of paragraphs 30 and 34 the references to document SCIT/WG/2/11 and paragraphs thereof.

Paragraph 29:

At the end of the second line the word "the" should be deleted.

Paragraph 40:

On the last line the word "IT" should be deleted. Compare the heading preceding paragraph 11. Compare also paragraphs 11, 14, and 41.

Paragraph 41:

This paragraph will be very confusing. The reader will be inclined to look into Annex VII to document SCIT/4/8 in order to find out which Tasks one is talking about. But actually only the numbers "3" and "4" mentioned at the end of the fifth line of paragraph 41 correspond to the numbering used in Annex VII. The other numbers partly belong to the SCIT Work Program for the 1998-99 biennium and partly to document SCIT/4/4.

Keeping this in mind NL suggests the following amendments to paragraph 41:

- A) On the third line, replace the part between brackets by "(Task Nos. 5, 12, 17 and 21 of the SCIT Work Program for the 1998-99 biennium)".
- B) On the fourth line, replace the part between brackets by "(Task Nos. 8, 9 and 40 of the SCIT Work Program for the 1998-99 biennium)".
- C) On the fifth line, replace the part between brackets by "(Task No. 10 of the SCIT Work Program for the 1998-99 biennium, now renumbered into Task No. 14)".
- D) On the fifth line, insert the words "the renumbered" between "prioritization of" and "Task".

Annex VII:

A) GENERAL REMARK

Since the Tasks have been renumbered, it would be very helpful if, where available, the - unchanged - numbers of the corresponding project files were indicated as well. Such could be done with the aid of yet another column.

B) TASK NO. 17

The description of Task No. 17 as given in Annex VII is different from the description used in the heading preceding paragraph 37 of document SCIT/4/8 Prov. It also differs from the description used in the heading preceding paragraph 34 in document SCIT/WG/2/12 Prov.

As can be seen from paragraph 34 of document SCIT/WG/2/12 Prov. and from paragraph 37 of document SCIT/4/8 Prov., the revision of WIPO Standards ST33 and ST35 has been completed. NL would be in favour of reflecting this in the SCIT Work Program for the 2000-01 biennium. In conformity with the apparent practice during the 1998-99 biennium, such could be achieved by deleting ", ST33, ST35" from the description of Task No. 17. If it is preferred not to change the description of a Task even though it has been partly completed, an appropriately worded footnote can provide the information required.

Yours sincerely,

S. de Vries
Head, Chemical Division
Netherlands Industrial Property Office