

New Generic Top-Level Domains: Trademark Protection, Malicious Conduct Mitigation

WIPO

12 October 2009



Purpose and Agenda

- As the introduction of new top-level domains becomes imminent, ICANN is holding a series of discussions focusing on:
 - Protections for trademark holders
 - Prevention and mitigation of malicious conduct
- Proposals published for discussion & possible inclusion into the new gTLD Applicant Guidebook (www.icann.org)
- Agenda: (1) Background
 - (2) Rights Protection
 - (3) Malicious Conduct Mitigation



ICANN Formation and New gTLDs

- A core objective in founding ICANN; a requirement in each ICANN MoU with the USG:
 - "Define and implement a predictable strategy for selecting new TLDs"
- Fostering choice and competition in provision of domain registration services
- White Paper,1998: "The new corporation ultimately should ... oversee policy for determining the circumstances under which new TLDs are added to the root system"



ICANN Policy Development Process

- Policy development process by ICANN's Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO)
 Dec 2005 – Sep 2007
- Terms of Reference (questions to be answered):
 - Whether to introduce additional gTLDs
 - TLD string selection criteria
 - TLD allocation methods
 - Contractual conditions
- Policy embodied in 19 separate recommendations



Policy Conclusions

- New gTLDs will benefit registrant choice and competition
- The implementation plan should also allow for IDNs at the top level
- Introduction of new gTLDs should not cause security or stability issues
- Protection of various appropriate interests requires objection and dispute resolution processes



Principles of the Implementation Process

- Care/conservatism: protection of registrants, DNS stability and security is paramount.
- Application proceeds are planned to cover costs.
- ICANN will provide a clear, predictable, timely road map for the application, evaluation and delegation of gTLD strings.
- Some applied for strings should be excluded through an independent objection process, if they:
 - clearly incite certain malicious conduct,
 - are an infringement of rights,
 - are a misappropriation of a community label, or
 - create user confusion



Trademark Issues

- "TLD strings must not infringe the existing legal rights of others that are recognized or enforceable under generally accepted and internationally recognized principles of law." [GNSO Policy]
- "While [new gTLD] entry generally promotes consumer welfare, proper account also must be taken for property rights that protect firms' investments in establishing a reputation and brand name." [Dennis Carlton]



Existing trademark protections in use

There are several solutions in place currently in the marketplace

- Rapid take down occurs in case-by-case manner
 - ↑ At registries (ccTLD and gTLD)
 - With ICANN / registrars / registries
- Online trademark registries exist in many jurisdictions
- Sunrise periods IP clearinghouses used by:
 ccTLD (.eu) and gTLD (.asia) launches

The IRT (and others) have considered some form of standardization of potential solutions in their work



WIPO / ICANN: On-going Collaboration

- Extensive discussions regarding pre-delegation legal rights objections process and standards
- WIPO to serve as dispute resolution provider for legal rights objections
- WIPO proposed Right's Protection Mechanisms:
 - post-delegation dispute resolution
 - t expedited suspension mechanism (ESM)
- WIPO participated in IRT discussion and public discussion



Trademark Issues Solution Development

- IRT formed by the Intellectual Property constituency at the request of the ICANN Board,
- The IRT developed Preliminary and Final Reports recommending seven specific solutions,
- Discussion of solutions: online comment forum, consultation sessions in Sydney, New York and London,
- Synthesis: proposed solutions published



Proposed Rights Protection Mechanisms

- IP Clearinghouse a database of validated trademarks to be utilised by new gTLD registry operators during launch in implementing either an:
 - 1 IP Claims service or
 - Sunrise process during TLD launch
- Uniform Rapid Suspension process for use in clearcut, blatant cases of trademark infringement
- Requirement for Thick Whois database maintenance
- Post-delegation enforcement



Post-delegation Rights Protection

- Designed to combat
 - Registry Operators that operate a TLD in a manner that is inconsistent with the representations and warranties contained within its Registry Agreement,
 - Contractual Compliance audit & investigation
 - Registry Operators that have a bad faith intent to profit from the systemic registration of infringing domain names
 - Post-delegation dispute process
- Possible remedies: Graduated sanctions / suspension



Malicious Conduct Mitigation

The following groups or representatives of these groups have participated in the development of issues and solutions in this area:

- APWG (Anti-phishing working groups)
- BITS (A division of The Financial Services Roundtable)
- MyCERT (Computer Emergency Response Team, Malaysia)
- FIRST (Forum of Incident Response and Security Teams)
- RISG (The Registry Internet Safety Group)



Malicious Conduct Issues identified

- A. How do we ensure that bad actors do not run Registries?
- B. How do we ensure the accuracy, integrity and validity of registry information from the TLD to the end-user?
- C. How do we provide enhanced control framework for TLDs with intrinsic potential for abuse?
- D. How do we provide an improved framework for combating abuse?



Proposed Malicious Conduct Mitigations

- 1. Enhanced requirements and background checks
- 2. Disclosure of previous involvement in UDRP process
- 3. Demonstrated plan for DNSSEC deployment
- 4. No wildcarding/remove glue records
- 5. Elective TLD security designation program
- 6. Requirement for thick Whois
- 7. Publish anti-abuse contact and suspension procedures
- 8. Expedited Registry Security Request process



TLD Security Verification

Elective verification program for TLD's to establish, measure and demonstrate an effective security program

- Requires TLD-Registry and its Registrars to design and operate a defined standard of security and operational controls
- Establishes a common standard and language for TLD security and operational control that can be measured
- Provides a mechanism and structured approach to enhancing security and operational control within a TLD



THANK YOU

Kurt Pritz, ICANN pritz@icann.org

