Documentation of TK and TCEs: the IP Dimension

Miranda Risang Ayu Palar

Workshop on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Cutlural Expressions for the Group of 15 Algeria, April 6, 2016

Nature of TK-TCEs

- Characterized by traditional values, traditional ways of thinking, traditional forms and fixed styles, and being maintained in the traditional context
- Actively used by the source community as a living culture
- Strongly influenced by the environment where the source community depends their life on
- Maintained, used, developed and transmitted from generation to generation inside and outside the source community
- Serve for the sense of social and cultural identity of the source community

GRTKF Protection Problems

- spiritual values versus economic values
- reproductive characters versus original, novel and new characters
- strong link with geographical origin and source community versus free flow of products
- oral tradition versus written tradition
- communal ownership versus individual ownership
- unlimited time of custodianship versus limited time of possession

Types of TK-TCEs Possible Protections

Defensive Protection

Establishing databases for TK & TCEs for the purpose of redeclaration and IP examination

Case by Case Protection

Enhancing living cultures, implementing Prior Informed Consent and Benefit Sharing provisions in contracts

Negative Protection

Using the existing IP legal systems (conventional IP)

Positive Protection

Creating sui generis laws

Systemic Protection

In-country structural arrangement

Documentation : Promising but not Straight-forward

- Increased attention for documentation of TK and TCEs
- Underlying factors
 - Growing recognition of their cultural/economic value
 - New information technologies
- Doucmentation is a tool, not an end in itself
 - Documentation needs a policy and legal framework in order to be properly conducted in line with its considered objectives
 - Precise assessment of costs/risks/potential benefits
 - Identification of the IP issues/concerns that may arise

Definition and Objectives

What is documentation?
 Note 1 : this is a complex step-by-step process
 Note 2 : documentation vs disclosure
 Note 3 : documentation vs registration

- Documented, in the form of database
 - (open, half-restricted, restricted)
- Non-Documented
- self-identification by custodians
- » silent Prior Informed Consent for TCEs

Definition and Objectives

• Five possible objectives

- Safeguarding and preservation
- Protection of secret, sacred and closely held TK and TCEs
- Research and Development
- Defensive protection of TK
- Positive protection of TK and TCEs

IP Issues and Risks related to Documentation

- Unwanted disclosure
- Can facilitate misuse of TK and TCEs
- The IP rights belong to those who document TK and TCEs (who are the Copyright holders)
- Limited scope and term of protection under conventional Copyrights Law

Options on How to Manage IP Issues

A cocktail of legal options and technical tools is available

to manage IP issues, including by ensuring implementation of the Prior Informed Consent (PIC) and fair benefit-sharing at the stages of the documentation process

WIPO Resources

(http://www.wipo.int/tk/en/resources/publications.html)

* the WIPO Traditional Knowledge Documentation Toolkit

* Intellectual Property and the Safeguarding of Traditional Cultures. Legal Issues and Practical Options for Museums, Libraries and Archives

* Brief Nr. 9

Options on How to Manage IP Issues

- Digital Rights Management
- Licences/contracts for the access to, and use of content
- Use existing protocols and guidelines as models
 (<u>http://www.wipo.int/tk/en/databases/creative_heritage</u>
 ()
- Communities-led inititiatives
- Use of documentation/registration within the framework of a legal *sui generis* protection regime

Conclusion

- Documentation is not an end in itself, but may serve different purposes, including IP protection.
- Documentation of TK and TCEs may become counter-productive in terms of protection, if the IP relevant issues are not properly addressed
- Well-being of the peoples mostly concerned by documentation should be the guiding principle of any course of action from an IP perspective