

PCT/R/WG/3/3Add.1 ORIGINAL:English DATE:November13,2002

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

WIP()

INTERNATIONAL PATENT COOPERATIONUNION (PCTUNION)

WORKINGGROUPONREF ORMOFTHEPATENT COOPERATIONTREATY(PCT)

ThirdSession Geneva,November18to22,2002

OPTIONSFORAPOSSIB LEREVISIONOFTHEP CT:

EPORESPONSETODOCU MENTPCT/R/WG/3/3

ProposalsbytheEuropeanPatentOffice

1. TheEuropeanPatentOffice(EPO)notesthecommentspreparedbytheInternational Bureauconcernin gthepossiblescenariosforrevisionofthePatentCooperationTreaty(PCT). Itiscertainlyclearthatconsiderationwillhavetobegiventothequestionofmodalities wheneverthefirststageofreform,changeswhichcanbebroughtaboutbyrevisiono fthe PCTRegulations,hascompletedanditisnecessarytoconsideramendmentofthearticles. HoweveritisapparentfromdocumentPCT/R/WG/3/1thattherearemanyoutstandingitems stilltobedealtwithinthefirststageofreformwhichhavenotbeen consideredinanydetail asyetintheWorkingGroup.Theseitemsarelikelytotakesomeconsiderabletimebefore theyaresatisfactorilydealtwithanditisperhapsprematureatthisstagetodevotemuchtime toconsideringthepotentiallydifficultp racticalproblemswhichwouldbeassociatedwitha substantialrevisionoftheTreaty.

2. TheInternationalBureauindicatesquiterightlythattheearlyidentificationofthe intentionsofthememberstateswithrespecttothenatureofpossiblereformi sessential. HowevermuchwilldependontheactualprogressreachedintheWorkingGroupandinthe Committeebeforeitbecomesapparentwhetherweshallhavemodificationswhichare

PCT/R/WG/3/3Add.1 page 2

compatible with the operation of the existing PCT system or whether changes are required which would be incompatible with the current system.

3. ForthesereasonstheEPOwouldsuggestthatwhilelongertermobjectivesshouldbe identified,theWorkingGroupshouldnotconcernitselfatthisstagewiththemodalitiesofa possiblePCTrevision,rathershouldconcentrateontheimmediateworkinhand,i.e. prioritizingthosereformproposalswhichcouldbeimplementedintheshorttomediumterm bywayofchangestotheregulations.

[Endofdocument]