## **Development and Intellectual Property**

Case Studies on Cooperation and Exchange Between Research and Development (R&D) Institutions in Developed and Developing Countries









## **Overview of Case Studies**





### **Collaborative Research Process**

### Case identification and development

Karen Hersey, Sissela Engqvist, Marika Källman, Anna Holmberg, Josefin Kalrgrund

### • Case research – 24 graduate students

Agyat Suri, Alda Hanjalic, Alexander Sologub, Annelie Viksten, Carin Nylander, Camilla Gimskog, Carolina Helgesson, Caroline Egeland, Daniel Gustavsson, Dushyant Chauhan, Emma Wikström, Fernando Lopez, Filip Eriksson, Gustav Johansson, Hanna Sonning, Johan Corell, Johanna Bjarsch Follin, Jonathan Holmén, Linn Holmström, Lucie Mecirova, Marika Källman, Mimmi Bjöersdorff, Sofia Arveteg, Therese Matshede





## **Case Studies – Sample Selection\***

| Criteria              | Range                                       |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Geography             | Worldwide coverage                          |
| Technical Field       | Welfare technology focus                    |
| Type of IPRs          | Primarily patents                           |
| Type of Actors        | Primarily public actors                     |
| Complexity            | From ad hoc projects to structured programs |
| Direction of exchange | Both North-South and South-North            |

\* Cases chosen primarily through network relationships (i.e. not random)



## **Case Studies – Overview (1-4)**

| Case                               | Developing<br>Countries                                   | Developed<br>Countries          | Context                                                                                                                 |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Gastric Cancer<br>Research Project | Nicaragua                                                 | Canada,<br>Sweden,<br>US, Italy | Informal international research<br>collaboration operating without<br>an explicit IP policy                             |  |
| Once-a-Day HIV<br>Medicine Project | Southern<br>Africa, South-<br>East Asia,<br>South America | US                              | IP on a royalty basis to regulate openness and facilitate access on a global scale                                      |  |
| Phenolic Extract<br>Project        | Costa Rica                                                | Spain                           | R&D collaboration originating<br>from a developing country<br>focused on IP commercialization<br>in developed countries |  |
| Strawberry<br>Licensing<br>Program | Turkey                                                    | US                              | IP (and UPOV) to facilitate royalty-based licensing                                                                     |  |

## **Case Studies – Overview (5-8)**

| Case                                       | Developing<br>Countries                                                  | Developed<br>Countries       | Context                                                                                                              |  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Late Blight<br>Resistant<br>Potato Project | India,<br>Bangladesh,<br>Indonesia                                       | US                           | Government funded,<br>international R&D based on<br>knowhow without IPR                                              |  |
| Rubber Nano<br>Project                     | South Africa                                                             | Italy                        | R&D collaboration originating<br>from a developing country<br>focused on commercialization in<br>developed countries |  |
| Biowaste4SP                                | Turkey,<br>Malaysia,<br>Ghana, South<br>Africa, Egypt,<br>Morocco, Kenya | Sweden,<br>Denmark,<br>Italy | Large formal, R&D consortium<br>involving public and private<br>actors required to specify<br>BG/FG knowledge        |  |
| Infant Diarrhea<br>Program                 | Nicaragua                                                                | Sweden                       | Long-term, formal R&D<br>collaboration to develop local<br>capacity and solutions                                    |  |





# **Results & Conclusions**





### Value Models and the Role of IPRs

#### Cases

Gastric Cancer Research Project

Once-a-Day HIV Medicine Project

Phenolic Extract Project

Strawberry Licensing Program

Late Blight Resistant Potato Project

**Rubber Nano Project** 

**Biowaste4SP** 

Infant Diarrhea Program

 Publication of knowledge for utilization in the public sphere





## Value Models and the Role of IPRs

#### Cases

Gastric Cancer Research Project

Once-a-Day HIV Medicine Project

Phenolic Extract Project

Strawberry Licensing Program

Late Blight Resistant Potato Project

**Rubber Nano Project** 

**Biowaste4SP** 

Infant Diarrhea Program

- 1. Publication of knowledge for utilization in the public sphere
- 2. Proprietary control of knowledge to facilitate utilization through commercial markets primarily for humanitarian purposes





## Value Models and the Role of IPRs

#### Cases

Gastric Cancer Research Project

Once-a-Day HIV Medicine Project

Phenolic Extract Project

Strawberry Licensing Program

Late Blight Resistant Potato Project

#### Rubber Nano Project

**Biowaste4SP** 

Infant Diarrhea Program

- 1. Publication of knowledge for utilization in the public sphere
- 2. Proprietary control of knowledge to facilitate utilization through commercial markets primarily for humanitarian purposes
- 3. Proprietary control of knowledge for the purpose of commercial licensing and venture creation





## **Re-Defining Intellectual Property** From blocking to building block



Blocking imitation of physical products



Regulate openness and facilitate access



## From IPR to Intellectual Asset Management

| Case                                  | Knowledge Assets                                                                                                                    | IPRs                                        | Role of IPRs                                      |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Gastric Cancer<br>Research<br>Project | Lab/Sample Collection<br>Techniques, Research<br>Data/Databases                                                                     | Copyright<br>(implicit in<br>research data) | No formal<br>contractual role<br>in collaboration |
| Once-a-Day HIV<br>Medicine Project    | Support with regulatory<br>process, manufacturing<br>process and quality<br>control                                                 | Patents, trade secrets                      | To regulate<br>openness and<br>facilitate access  |
| Strawberry<br>Licensing<br>Program    | Strawberry cultivar with<br>specific genetic traits<br>together with knowledge<br>on breeding, cultivation<br>and commercialization | Patents, Plant<br>Breeder's<br>Rights       | To facilitate<br>royalty-based<br>licensing       |



## **From IPR to Intellectual Asset Management**

#### Case

Gastric Cancer Research Project

#### Knowledge Assets

Lab/Sample Collection Techniques, Research Data/Databases



### **Knowledge transactions**





## IAM – managing knowledge and control















## KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PLATFORM TO PROMOTE UTILIZATION



...to support strategic and operational activities in academic environments ...to support development of the university's utilization capabilities

...to generate societal value through the development of complete academic environments





# In the knowledge economy, we are all developing countries





## **Questions?**







## UTILIZATION LOGICS FOUR MODELS OF VALUE CREATION



Knowledge is available for the public



applicable for

stakeholders

specific



Knowledge is available as products and knowledge organizations



Knowledge is available in open contractual structures / networks





#### Knowledge and intangibles are inherently difficult to manage







Capturing valuable technology and knowledge as intellectual assets



