ORIGINAL:English
DATE:December2002





WIPO-IFIAINTERNATIONALS YMPOSIUMON THECOMMERCIALIZATIO NOFINVENTIONS INTHEGLOBALMA RKET

organizedby the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

and theInternationalFederationofInventors'Associations(IFIA)

incooperationwith the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO)

and theKoreaInventionPromotionAssociation(KIPA)

Seoul, December 4 to 7,2002

THECOSTOFPROTECTI NGINTELLECTUALPROP ERTYIN THE PROCESS OF COMMERCIALIZATION

INNOVATIONMANAGEMENT -FROMIDEASTO THEMARKETPLACE

DocumentpreparedbyMr.EduardoFernandez,Director,InternationalRelations, Argentia AssociationofInventors(AAI),BuenosAires

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Technologyandinventionsareimportantpartsoftheinnovationprocess, which transforminventionsintomarketable products. This process is very complex and assuch requires much specialized professional expertise and expert knowledge. The final phase of the innovation process is the marketing and commercialization phase, which is crucial for the success of any invention and innovation.
- 2. Ifwelookmoreclosely attheinnovationprocess, we will realize that it basically consists of five overlapping and interrelated main phases: the ideageneration and concept phase; the development and design phase; the legal phase (novel typatents ear chand patent application); the prototype and pre -production phase; and the production, marketing and commercialization phase.
- 3. The crucial point in the innovation process is the product, marketing and commercialization stage, when the invention or the new product process based on it will meet the market test. It is only when it is accepted on the market by consumers and users that the invention or new product will be gintogenerate income, which will compensate inventors and manufacturers for the investment made and eventually also generates ome profit.

II. COMMERCIALIZATIONOF INVENTIONS

- 4. Asalreadymentioned, the returns in terms of profituponits commercialization are the ultimate (and possibly the most important) proof of the success of any invention or new product.
- 5. Theinnovationprocessisnotalinearoneanditsdifferentcomponentsoverlapand interacttoaconsiderabledegree. Thus, the commercialization and marketing of an invention could be initiated at a very early state of the st
- 6. However, it is not advisable for the inventor or his company to be gincommercialization at such an early stage and at least not be for ehaving filed apatent application.
- 7. The price of fered for such an inventive concept would be very low, if not zero, regardless of its in genuity and market potential, since a lot more development work will have to be done before the invention may be used in practice and could generate any income.
- 8. Inventors and those involved in marketing inventions and innovations should not forget that only a very small percentage (five to seven percent) of all inventions for which patents have been granted reach the commercialization phase of the innovation process.
- 9. The great percentage of failure is not usually due to the quality of the invention, but is rather the result of the influence of other factors, such as the high investment cost for a relatively smalleffect, the need for additional R&D work, the fact that manufacturing and technological environmentare not yet ripe for such invention, no real market need exists, etc.

page 3

- 10. Commercializationandmarketingstrategieswilllargelydependont hekindof inventionandthefieldoftechnology,towhichtheinventionrelates. Theywillbedifferent foramassproductandforaninventioninaspecializedfield, applicableonlyinthe productionundertakenbyafewmanufacturers. Themarketenvir onment, customs and traditions, purchasing capacity and power of people (consumers) in the area will, to a large extent, define the methods and approaches used.
- 11. Commercializationandmarketingofinventionsisamostcomplexprocess, andina highlycompetitivemarketitneedsaprofessionalapproachandalotofprofessionalexpertise inordertohavereal chances of success.
- 12. Inventorsareadvisedtoseekasmuchprofessionalexpertassistanceaspossiblewhen involvedinthat process.

III. TRAININGCOURSESONINNOVATIONMANAGEMENT

- 13. Inordertoimprovetheindependentinventor's skills and performance, the Argentine Association of Inventors conducts regular training courses on innovation management, based on the following key assumptions:
 - "thetestofaninnovation, afterall, lies not inits novelty, its scientific content, or its cleverness. Its lies in the success in the market place..."

PeterF.Drucker

- innovationisaspecifictoolused byentrepreneurs, themeans by which they exploit change as an opportunity for a different businessor a different service. It is capable of being presented as a discipline, a ble to be learned and practiced;
- independentinventorsandentrepreneursing eneralneedtosearchpurposefully forthesourcesofinnovation, the challenges and their symptoms that indicate opportunities for successful innovation. They need to know and apply the principles of successful innovation;
- innovationisalsoaspecifi cinstrumentusedbyinventorsandentrepreneurs.Itis theactthatendowsresourceswithanewcapacitytocreatewealth.Indeed, innovationcreatesaresource.Thereisnosuchathingasa"resource"untilan inventororresearcherfindsanewusef orsomething(existinginnatureor inventedbyhimself)andthusendowsitwitheconomicvalue.

IV. CHARACTERISTICSOFA SUCCESSFULNEWPROD UCT

- 14. Most of the reasons for success have nothing to do with the nature of the product, but everything to do with the vigor with which the product is marketed.
- 15. Sixbasicquestionsshouldbeansweredsatisfactorilybeforeaninventionprojectis presentedtoapotentialstrategicpartner:

- (a) Doesitreallywork?
- 16. There are various ways in which are sponsible person can be assured that a productor process does what it was intended to do. Since most technologies are not "advanced technologies," the answer is usually obvious.
- 17. However, certain facts are very diffic ult to determine, especially when the device claims a significant mechanical, chemical or electronic improvement and does not have a working prototype.
- 18. Whenthequestion"Doesitreallywork?"isnotclearfromtheideasdrawnonpaperor evencomputerdrawings,makingsenseoftheprojectandobtainingthenecessaryindependent technicalevaluationbecomesarealchallenge.
 - (b) <u>Isitunique</u>?
- 19. Ageneralprincipleofproductsuccessisthatitmustsolveaproblemorfulfillanee betterthanthedirectandindirectcompetition.

d

- 20. Strategicalliancepartners, aware of the effects competition can have on a project, always look for some kind of proprietary position. A project's uniqueness is usually determined by a pate nto rapatent pending, but could also lie in the manufacturing technique, or even in the distribution channels.
- 21. Exclusivityandthepotentialmarketvolumearethemostimportantconsiderationsin determiningroyaltyratesforinventors.
- 22. The examination of patents, trademarks, copyrights and tradesecrets enters into a project at some point. Generally this analysis revolves around the patents earch, the preliminary response from the patent examiner (if still pending), and/ort he breadth of coverage provided by the granted patent. This too depends on where the project is in its development stage.
- 23. Patentattorneysandagentsarenecessaryandveryusefulpartners.Oneofthefirst questionsaskedbyapotentialli censeeorjointventurepartnersis: "Whodidthepatentfiling work?" Unlessaprofessionalhasdonethework, the project's credibility is usually lost.
 - (c) Willthepatentbeeasytodesignaround?
- 24. From this point of view only a positivans wer from a professional patent attorney or a gent will move the project forward.
- 25. Apreliminary competitive analysis should also be undertaken. Intellectual property rights (IPRs) do not guarante esuccessany more than firing abullet gua rante eshitting the target. If the productor process does not possessa clear advantage over the features, benefits or pricing of the competition, the question asked is "why produce it?"

- (d) Istherearealmarketfortheproduct/invention ?
- 26. Usuallyinordertogaintheinterestofpotentialalliancepartners, there has to be a preliminary and independent product analysis, even in a rudimentary form.
- 27. Thisisdonethroughtheuseofnewproductsurveysandtestimonialletters fromusers orindustrialexperts.Inmostcases,on -linedatabasesearches(ontheInternet)quickly identifyandretrieverelevantinformationdescribingtheindustryandmarket.
- 28. Thebottomlineisthatprivatesectorfirmsdonotwanttoh earanindependent inventor'sdreamsorglorifiedestimatesofmarketsize. Theywantfacts and quality information upon which decisions can be made.
 - (e) Whatarethemanufacturingcosts ?
- 29. Willaproductbesuccessfuliftheretailpriceis onlytwicethecostofrawmaterialand labor? Itusually requires three or four times this amount to cover the overhead and an array of sales and marketing expenses, while still leaving room for profits. If the product can be made of plastic, is injection molding or vacuum molding the best choice? How much will the mold cost? What are all the possible distribution channels? Would it be best to use distributors or sell directly to the consumer? Many new production ovators over look such critical questions. Accurate answers require experience din put from both manufacturing and marketing experts.
 - (f) <u>Istheintellectualpropertyownerpreparedtomakeadeal</u>?
- 30. Hastheinventorenoughinformation,training,skillsandwilltofaceallthedi fficulties oftheinnovationprocess?Thisisthemostimportantofthesixabovequestions.

V. PATENTCOSTS

31. Clearly, there is a link between cost and scope of protection as follows:

Type	Cost	Scope
Patent	Tensofthousands	Conceptual
Design	Thousands	Specificshape
Trademark	Thousands+marketing\$	Specificname
Copyright	Nil	Narrow
Know-how	Nil	Personal

VI. PATENTSTRATEGY

- 32. Atypicalprotectionstrategyincludes:
 - (a) aninitialsearchtodeterminepatentability;
 - (b) aninitialfilingtoestablishaprioridate;
- (c) areview, after one year, of commercial interest, updating of the application and filing in other countries of interest.

VII. PROSECUTIONCOSTS

A. INITIALSEARCH

33. Ifyouhaveaccesstoexc ellentmanualsearchfacilitiessuchasintheUSPTO, and you haveasearchconducted by an independent searcher, it will cost you around US\$375. The instruction, analysis and report of these archwould take two hours of professional time (US\$450), so the cost of the initial search would be about US\$825.

B. PREPARATIONOFINITIALFILING

- 34. Therearetwopossibilitiesforinitialfiling, eitheraninformal (provisional) application or aregular full application. Each has its merits in particula reir cumstances, but in either case the description of the invention must be as detailed as possible.
- 35. Theprovisional application form of the USPTO indicates an average time of eighthours to complete the application. For a standard case, the cost of the initial application would be US\$1,750. The government fees would be around US\$100, so the total cost of the initial application would be around US\$1,850. This cost level is not unusual for straightforward mechanical applications but is obviously at the lower end of the range. Some companies with an active filing program require provisional applications to be completed from a fairly detailed invention disclosure for a round US\$950, other subjects (particularly biotechnology and information technologies) are far more complicated and costs of a round US\$6,300 may be expected.

C. FOREIGNFILING

36. Therearetwobasicoptionsforforeignfiling, via the PCT or direct national filing. In the latter option there is the possibility of region in a lapplication so ranational application.

(a) Thespecification

37. Ineithercase, it is necessary to prepare the application for international filing by revising the description to coverne we mbodiments, if necessary; preparing claims and obtaining formal documents such as drawings, assignments and application forms. Typically this would incurcosts similar to those in preparing the initial application, i.e. US\$1,850. Assume therefore that a further US\$1,850 is spentine vising the application. At this stage, to talex penditure of approximately US\$3,800 has been incurred.

(b) ToPCTornottoPCT ?

38. The PCT process is very popular with small firms selling to protect their inventions overseas. It is seen as a way of keeping options open and, as presently structured, providing additional information that allows risk management at a later date. For many companies, however, the size of the US market is the most important factor, and therefore it is common to file a PCT application and a US application at the convention priority date. This has the added advantage of providing additional search information to assess patent a bility and early is sue of the US patent.

(i) <u>Initialfiling</u>

- 39. PCTfilingcostsarefairlyunifo rm.Additionalcostsareincurred, suchasformal drawing. Usuallyapatentlaw(agent) firmwill charge a set feet ocover the preparation of papers, file openings and the like. The set fee covers reminders to be sent to the inventor, advice on an appropriate strategy, implementation of that strategy, and follow up documentation.
- 40. Using the cost estimation program, the cost of filing applications under the strategy, over and above preparations are:

	Professional fees	Associatefees	Governmentfees	Disbursements
PCT	US\$750		US\$1,850	US\$220
US	US\$375	US\$470	US\$450	US\$65
Total	US\$1,125	US\$470	US\$2,300	US\$285

(ii) <u>Initial prosecution</u>

- 41. Overthenexttwelvemonthstheapplicantwillreceive:
 - aPCTsearchreport(16 monthsfromthedateofinitialfiling,i.e.prioridate), thatmustbeevaluated;
 - aninformation disclosure statement (IDS) that must be filed within three months of the filing date and when the PCT is received;
 - aUSofficeaction;
 - theneedtoreques tpreliminaryexamination.
- 42. The costs in curred during this phase will vary widely, depending on the nature of the reports. However, in general and rational terms, we could expect the PCTs ear chreport to take two hours to evaluate and report to the inventor (US\$450), which each of the IDSs will probably cost US\$200 and the US office action will take six to eighthours to review and for a response to be prepared.

43. The expenses in curred during the next 12 months' activities can be summarized as:

	Professionalfees	Associatefees	Disbursement	Government
			S	fees
IDS(1)	US\$190	US\$65		
PCTsearch	US\$450			
Ids(2)	US\$190	US\$65		
USOA.	US\$1,600	US\$200		
Demand	US\$160			US\$1,400
TOTAL	US\$2,590	US\$330		US\$1,400

- 44. Thetotalcostforthesecond12monthsisaboutUS\$4,500,givingtotalexpenditureto dateofaroundUS\$14,000.
 - (iii) Continuedprosecution
- 45. Inthenext12months,i.e.thethirdyear,thecostsstarttoincreasesignificantly. However,bythistime,thereshouldbesufficientindicationofcommercialinterestto determineiftheinvestmentisjustified.
- 46. The substantive work in this process is the response to the written opinion and the response to the second US offic eaction. In an ideal world the sewould be the same but the reality is that they are not. Examiners will probably rely on different arguments necessitating the preparation of two different responses. Each of these is likely to incursimilar costs, so a standard charge will be used for each (around US\$1,600).
- 47. The choice of countries for national entry into national phase will depend on the nature of the invention and the geographical range of the applicant. One of the factors that influence the choice of country is the language used for patent prosecution where there are alternatives on the wish list. Selecting different countries with a common language can reduce the cost, a factor of tenover looked.
- 48. Bywa yofexamplewecanselectafilingprogramincludingAustralia,Brazil,theEPO andJapan,aswellastheUSapplicationalreadyfiled.Lookingateachcountryinturn,in summary,theprogramindicatesaninitialcostoffilingtheselectedprogramofA ustralia: US\$1,300;Brazil:US\$1,600;EPO:US\$2,400;Japan:US\$2,500.

49. Summarizingthecostsforthethirdyearwehavethefollowing:

	Professionalfees	Associatefees	Disbursement	Government
				fees
Responseto	US\$1,600			
WO				
Responseto	US\$1,600	US\$200		
US				
USissuefees	US\$200	US\$300		US\$650
Australia	US\$400	US\$750		US\$150
Brazil	US\$400	US\$1,000	US\$200	US\$50
EPO	US\$400	US\$1,750		US\$1,000
Japan	US\$400	US\$1,500	US\$500	US\$160
TOTAL	US\$5,000	US\$5,500	US\$700	US\$2,010

 $50. \quad The total cost for the third year is around US\$13,000, giving an aggregate total to date of around US\$27,500.$

(iv) Entryintothenationalphase

51. Thereafter, each county will proceed in its ownman ner. We have set out the anticipated costs for each country assuming that are sponse to a substantive of fice action will, on a verage, be required and that some local input is expected.

(a) Australia

	Professionalfees	Localagentfees	Disbursements	Government
Examination	US\$1,600	US\$350		US\$150
Grant	US\$125	US\$200		
Total	US\$1,725	US\$550		US\$150
Annuities				US\$6,800

(b) Brazil

	Professionalfees	Localagentfees	Disbursements	Government
Examination	US\$1,600	US\$700	US\$190	US\$130
Grant	US\$125	US\$400		US\$30
Total	US\$1,725	US\$1,100	US\$190	US\$170
Annuities				US\$13,500

(c) EPO

	Professional	Localagentfees	Disbursements	Government
	fees			
Examination	US\$1,560	US\$700		
Grant	US\$125	US\$700	US\$225	US\$6 50
Total	US\$1,685	US\$1,400	US\$225	US\$650
Annuities				US\$1,000

(d) Japan

	Professionalfees	Localagentfees	Disbursements	Government
Examination	US\$1,560	US\$750		US\$700
Grant	US\$125	US\$200	US\$450	US\$400
Total	US\$1,685	US\$950	US\$450	US\$1,100
Annuities				US\$15,000

- 52. The cost of prosecuting after filing the national entries is around therefore US\$14,000, excluding annuities and validation of the European Patent.
- 53. So, the total cost for a basic global patent ingstrategy is around US\$50,000, or even more.

VIII. OTHERSTRATEGIESTHR OUGHTHEINTERNET

A. KEYSTRATEGIESINWEBSITEPROMOTION

- 54. Internetconsultantsadviseinventorstotakeadvantageofasmanyofthefollowing websitemarketingpromo tionstrategiesaspossible:
 - (a) <u>Getpostedinwebdirectories</u>
- 55. Inanefforttomakewebcruisingalittleeasier,anumberofbusinesseshavepackaged themselvesineasy -to-usedirectoriesthathelpInternetcruiserstoaccesstheirsitesmo re quickly.Manyinventorsalsousethesameapproach
 - (b) Consideraprofessionalsearchenginelistingfirm
- 56. Seasonedwebusersturntosearchenginessuchas: www.yahoo.com and www.google.com, tohelpthemtofindspecificinformationontheInternetquickly. They simpletypeinasubjectareaandthesearchenginebringsback "links" that they can "click on "forfurtherinformation.
 - (c) <u>Createyourownwebsite</u>
- 57. Promoteyourinventionthroughyourownwebsite.
 - (d) Linkuntilyoudrop
- 58. Probablytheeasiest, least expensive, and most effective way to promote a site is to link your page with everyother non -competitive page on the Internet that the table as the same interest.

- (e) Recommendedsites:
 - (i) www.invention-ifia.ch/store.htm;
 - (ii) www.wipo.int;
 - (iii) www.inventorsdigest.com;
 - (iv) www.PatentCafe.com;
 - (v) www.inventivaonline.com.

IX. CONCLUSION

- 59. Asuccessfulinventorisliketheconductorofanorchestra. Heknowsonlyalittleabout eachinstrumentandyetsomehoworganizestheplayingofasymphony. Heisasalesperson, marketingresear cher, technical researcher, public relationsofficer, talentscout, evaluatorand negotiator. These roles should, at first, be willingly accepted and then given to those who are specialists.
- 60. Inordertoassistindependentinventorsinourco untryinthebestwaypossible, wehave foundaninvaluablesourceofinformationandpermanentsupportbothinWIPOandIFIA's programs, serving as an active tool for our regular training courses and general services, which are useful and benefit their ventor's community in different ways.

REFERENCES:

- 1. "InnovationandEntrepreneurship,"PeterF.Drucker,HarperBusiness,NewYork.
- 2. "WinningatNewProducts," RobertG. Cooper, Perseus Publishing, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- 3. "SellingyourIdeaor Invention," SonnyBloch, ABirchLanePressBook, New York.
- 4. "Early-StageTechnologies," "ValuationandPricing," RichardRazgaitis, JohnWiley & Sons, Inc., NewYork.
- 5. "AchievingPlannedInnovation,"FrankR.Bacon,Jr.,andThomasW.Butler,Jr., TheFreePress,NewYork.
- 6. "PatentCosts," JohnR. Orange, lecture given at the WIPO Conference on the International Patent System, Geneva, Switzerland, March 26, 2002.
- 7. "Marketing Your Invention," Thomas E. Mosley, Jr., Upstart Publishing Company ,Inc., Dover, New Hampshire.

[Endofreferencesandofdocument]