



WO/GA/28/6
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:September20,2002

### WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

**GENEVA** 

### WIPOGENERALASSEMBL Y

## Twenty-Eighth(13 <sup>th</sup>Extraordinary)Session Geneva,September23toOctober1,2002

# INFORMATIONONTHE CONSULTATIONMEETINGONENFORCEM ENT

Document prepared by the Secretariat

- 1. The Joint Meeting of the Advisory Committee on Enforcement of Industrial Property Rights and of the Advisory Committee on Management and Enforcement of Copyright and Related Right sin Global Information Networks, held in Geneva from December 18 to 20, 2001, was concluded with a Summary by the Chair. In paragraph 20 fthe Summary by the Chair, adopted by the Advisory Committees, it was "recommended that a meeting on enforcement is sues with a clearly defined a gendabe convened in 2002 and that the WIPO General Assembly decide to convene the Advisory Committees or one single Advisory Committee on Enforcement at its session of September 2002."
- $2. \quad A document on ``Matters Conc \quad erning the Status of the Advisory Committee (s) on \\ Enforcement ``^2 has therefore been prepared by the Secretariat to be discussed during the \\ Assemblies of the Member States of WIPO under a gendaitem 14.$

SeedocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SeedocumentWO/GA/28/4.

# WO/GA/28/6 page 2

- 3. Inaddition,a"ConsultationMeetingonE nforcement"washeldfromSeptember11to 13,2002,duringwhichConclusionsbytheChair <sup>3</sup>wereadopted,where"thedelegatesof memberStatesandrepresentativesofcertainorganizationsattendingtheMeetingrequested theSecretariattosubmittheConclusionsbytheChairtotheforthcomingAssembliesofthe MemberStatesofWIPO."
- 4. ThetextofthedocumentisreproducedinAnnex.
  - 5. The WIPO General Assembly is invited to takenote of the information contained in this document.

[Annexfollows]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SeedocumentWIPO/CME/5.

#### **ANNEX**

#### **CONCLUSIONSBYTHECHAIR**

### adopted by the Consultation Meeting

- 1. The Consultation Meeting on Enforcement (herein after referred to as the "Meeting") unanimously agreed that the issue of enforcement of intellectual property organization (WIPO) is in an eminently appropriate position to provide technical assistance and education and contribute to the creation of awareness in this field and to assist, and coordinate with, relevant organizations, in the setting upof, or improving, adequate and effective enforcement mechanisms.
- 2. The Meeting noted the concerns expressed about the effects of counterfeiting and piracy on domestic indust ries and stressed that the fight against these phenomenawas a global concern.
- 3. TheMeetingwelcomedtheestablishmentofanElectronicForumonIntellectual PropertyEnforcementIssuesandStrategies(WIPO/CME/INF/1Rev.).TheMeetingstressed thatth eInternationalBureaumustensurethatallbeneficiariesshouldbeinapositionto participateintheForumregardlessoftheirsituationinthefieldofelectroniccommunications and also that these rvices of the existing WIPO electronic facilities should be appropriately used for the Forum.
- 4. The Meeting took note of the document entitled Existing Needs for Training and for Development of Enforcement Strategies (WIPO/CME/2Rev.) and the participants made a number of suggestions concerning the contents of the document and on the activities of the International Bureau in this context. The Meeting expressed its great appreciation for the considerable and high -quality work already under taken by the Regional Bureaus of Cooperation for Development and the WIPO world Wide Academy in the field of enforcement. It was also observed that there is room for improvement in determining the recipients of the WIPO technical assistance and the substance of such assistance. Furthermore, the view was expressed that members 1 states should submit a list of training programs carried out by national governments or regional or international organizations other than WIPO.
- 5. The Secretariat stated that the issues dealt within the document and the suggestions made would be taken into consideration in the designing of development cooperation activities. The Secretariat furthermore noted the offers for assistance and contributions made by, *interalia*, representatives of various international non -governmental organizations in the course of the meeting and invited those organizations to contact WIPO about the concrete form of such contributions.

- 6. Thedocumententitled SynthesisofIssuesConcerningDifficultiesandPracticesinthe FieldofEnforcement (WIPO/CME/3)waswelcomedandmuchappreciationwasexpressed forthesynthesismade.Itwasnoted,however,thatonlyalimitednumberofStatesand organizationshadrepliedtothequestionnaireformingthebasisforthedocument.The Meetingtherefore encouragedthoseStatesandorganizationsthathadnotalreadydonesoto submit,beforeJanuary15,2003,repliesandinformationtoWIPOconcerningthisdocument andthedocumentmentionedunder4.,andalso,whereappropriate,tosubmitinformation abouttheamountsofdamagesandabouttheawardingofcriminalsentencesinintellectual propertycasesinthememberStates.TheinformationmaybeputontheElectronicForum, togetherwiththerepliesalreadysubmitted,whensorequested.TheMeetingal soagreedthat itwasimportanttoensurethattheissuesdealtwithinthedocumentandduringthediscussion onthatdocumentwouldbetakenintoaccountindesigningthedevelopmentcooperation activitiesofWIPO.
- 7. Ontheunderstandingthatadecisio nontheissueconcerningthefuturestructureofthe committeeonenforcementwillbedecidedbytheAssembliesoftheMemberStatesofWIPO andontheunderstandingthatseveraldelegationsdonotpresentlyhaveinstructionsonthe issue,thefollowing tentativeconclusionscouldbedrawn.
- (a) The Meeting stated as its opinion that an intergovernment alstructures hould be set up within WIPO to ensure an appropriate continued action in the field of enforcement by the Organization;
- (b) Strongpreferencewasexpr essedthatthisstructurewouldincludeasingle committee, covering both industrial property rights and copyright and related rights, in charge of global enforcement issues;
- (c) Inparticular,thatcommitteecouldserveasaforumforexchangeofinformati on andappropriatecoordinationofactivitiesinthisfieldandcooperationinthefightagainst counterfeitingandpiracy;
- (d) Somedelegationssuggestedthatthecommitteecouldconsidertheelaboration of goodorbestpractices in the field of enforcement and odel Lawon Enforcement should be elaborated. One delegation suggested that a Model Lawon Enforcement should be elaborated. One delegation suggested that the issue of enforcement could also be drawn to the attention of the Policy Advisory Commission (PAC);
- (e) Asregardstheformoft hatsinglecommittee, different views were expressed. Certain delegations supported the creation of an advisory committee as suggested by the Secretariatind ocument WO/GA/28/4 to be discussed at the Assemblies of the Member States of WIPO. Certain other delegations supported the setting upof a permanent committee as proposed by the Delegation of the United States of America;
- (f) Theviewwasexpressed by a number of delegations that the reshould be a focal point in the International Bureaures ponsible for the coordination of the enforcement activities which would also serve a tensuring contacts with the committee mentioned under 7(b) above.

### WO/GA/28/6 Annex,page3

- $8. \quad The Secretariatin formed that the next meeting onen force ment is sue swould tentatively beheld from May 26 to 28,2003.$
- 9. The delegates of member States and representatives of certain organizations attending the Meeting requested the Secretariatto submitthe Conclusions by the Chair to the forthcoming Assemblies of the Member States of WI PO.

[EndofAnnexandofdocument]