

Special Union for the International Registration of Marks (Madrid Union)

Assembly

Forty-Third (25th Extraordinary) Session Geneva, September 20 to 29, 2010

MADRID SYSTEM GOODS AND SERVICES DATABASE: PROGRESS REPORT

prepared by the International Bureau

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The purpose of this document is to present a progress report on the implementation of the project aimed at accelerating the pace of the construction of the database of acceptable indications of goods and services for the purposes of the Madrid system procedures (hereinafter referred to as the “Madrid System Goods and Services Database” or “G&S Database”).
2. It is recalled that this project, as described in document MM/A/42/3, was approved by the Madrid Union Assembly in 2009 and an amount of 1.2 million Swiss francs from the Madrid Union Reserve Fund was allocated for its implementation in the course of the current biennium 2010-2011 (see document MM/A/42/4, paragraph 28).
3. The present document recalls the background and justification of the project and its main elements (objectives, deliverables, timetable, budget) and reports on progress made in its implementation as of June 30, 2010. It also lays out how the implementation of the project will be pursued further in the course of the current biennium (2010-2011).

II. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION

The Madrid System G&S Database

4. The project aims at accelerating the construction of the Madrid System G&S Database, a WIPO-administered database of indications of goods and services established for the purposes of the international registration of marks. The indications contained in the database:
 - (a) have been correctly classified by the International Bureau according to the 9th edition of the International (Nice) Classification; and
 - (b) are accepted by the International Bureau under the Madrid system procedures (*i.e.*, will not be subject of an irregularity notice under Rule 12 or Rule 13 of the Common Regulations under the Madrid Agreement and Protocol)*.
5. To the maximum extent possible (*i.e.*, depending on the degree of cooperation that may be established with the Madrid Union Members), the database shall also contain information on the acceptability of those indications by the Offices of the Contracting Parties that may be designated in an international application.
6. The Madrid System G&S Database is being developed in English, French and Spanish. Other language versions may be made available in cooperation with the Offices of interested Madrid Union Members. As envisaged in the project document (MM/A/42/3), WIPO will be ready to assist in the translation of the database into any of the languages qualifying for participation in the pilot project approved by the Madrid Union Assembly in 2009, namely Arabic, Chinese, Dutch, German, Italian, Japanese, Portuguese and Russian, upon request from the Office or Offices concerned.

The Database Structure

7. The Madrid System G&S Database contains indications of goods and services grouped by concepts.
8. An indication may consist of just one word (e.g., "*altimeters*") or several words (e.g., "*apparatus for monitoring and recording the performance of machinery*").

* Under Rule 12 (Irregularities With Respect to the Classification of Goods and Services), the International Bureau shall notify an irregularity whenever it considers that the names of the goods and services for which the international registration is sought are not grouped in the appropriate classes of the International Classification. Under Rule 13 (Irregularities With Respect to the Indication of Goods and Services), the International Bureau shall notify an irregularity whenever it considers that any of the goods or services in an international application is indicated by a term that is too vague for the purposes of classification or is incomprehensible or is linguistically incorrect.

9. Indications having the same meaning are grouped under a single concept identification number. E.g., “*footwear for women*” and “*women’s shoes*”, are grouped under the same concept number. Both terms are accepted; however, the first one (“*footwear for women*”) has been identified as the preferred term in English. This is important for translation purposes. Whenever an indication is translated into another language, the MFA (see below) will always choose (automatically) the preferred term in that language (e.g., the Spanish indications “*calzado para señora*” and “*zapatos para señora*” will always be translated into English as “*footwear for women*”).

The Madrid Filing Assistant (MFA) (provisional name)

10. The MFA is an electronic search and classification tool developed by the International Bureau and allowing user-friendly access to the Madrid System G&S Database. WIPO is planning to bring the MFA on-line, on the WIPO portal (under WIPO-GOLD), for use by interested applicants by October 2010, where the number of indications in the database should have reached over 30,000 terms in English. Interested Offices of Madrid Union Members will be invited to establish a link to the MFA from their own websites through WIPO web services.
11. The MFA will help applicants in composing the list of goods and services to be included in an international application. It provides several functions, including the possibility to:
 - select indications of goods and services from a pick-list of validated indications from the G&S database with the guarantee that no irregularity notice will be issued by the International Bureau in respect of those indications if included in an international application (“browse and pick” function);
 - check the acceptance status by the International Bureau for each indication of an already prepared list of classified goods and services (if the applicant is not using the pick list) (“check acceptance by WIPO” function);
 - get classification proposals for unclassified indications through a search facility that will return all acceptable terms from the database which best match an input description (“search” function);
 - get an automatic translation of a list of goods and services into the other MFA languages for the accepted indications within a list (“translation” function).
12. An additional function (“check acceptance by DCP”), allowing applicants to check the acceptance of the indication by the Offices of designated Contracting Parties, is currently under development.
13. The MFA user interface has been developed in English, French and Spanish. Other language versions of the interface may be made available in cooperation with the Offices of interested Madrid Union Members. WIPO has prepared translations of the MFA interface into the languages qualifying for participation in the pilot project approved by the Madrid Union Assembly in 2009.

Expected Use of the Database

14. Before filing an international application, trademark owners will be able to access the Madrid System G&S Database and select correctly classified (Nice Classification) indications of goods or services that would be acceptable to the International Bureau. This would operate in a way similar to that in which the so called "pick lists" or "manuals" that have been created by a number of national or regional trademark offices currently operate. The absence of a particular indication in the Madrid System G&S Database would not necessarily mean that it would be rejected by the International Bureau if included in an international application. It would simply mean that the indication would need to be examined to verify whether it were acceptable and correctly classified and to be translated into the other two working languages of the Madrid system. Moreover, if the indication were acceptable and correctly classified, it is likely that it would be added to the database after further validation steps by senior examiners and senior translators.

Direct Beneficiaries

15. The direct beneficiaries of the Madrid System G&S database are the following:
- (a) Trademark owners using the Madrid system;
 - (b) Offices of the Madrid Union Members;
 - (c) The International Bureau of WIPO (both in the performance of its responsibilities under the Madrid system and in its capacity as administrator of the International (Nice) Classification).
16. Trademark owners using the G&S database will be able to select correctly classified indications that they will know in advance will be accepted by the International Bureau. This will contribute to reduce the number of irregularity notices notified to applicants under Rules 12 and 13 of the Common Regulations. Trademark owners will benefit to an even larger extent if the database contains sufficient information on the acceptability of those goods and services indications by each of the Contracting Parties that they intend to designate in their international application.
17. The Offices of the Madrid Union Members, in their capacity as Office of origin, will also benefit from the reduced number of irregularity notices communicated to them under Rules 12 and 13 of the Common Regulations. They will therefore have an interest in promoting the use of the G&S Database by their own nationals. In their capacity as Office of a designated Contracting Party, those same Offices will also benefit from the database if they agree to check in advance their acceptability of the terms included therein. That would contribute to reduce the number of refusals they may be called to issue based on grounds of insufficient or incorrect specification of goods and services.
18. The International Bureau of WIPO, in discharging its responsibilities under the Madrid system, will also be a direct beneficiary of the database. All goods and services picked from the database shall no longer require translation by the International Bureau if they are included in an international application (translation will be done automatically). Those international applications containing only goods and services picked from the database shall not require examination by the International Bureau to check whether they incur into possible irregularities under Rule 12 or Rule 13 of the Common Regulations. The processing of such applications will consequently be accelerated. Overall, the productivity, consistency and quality of the work undertaken by the International Trademark Registry will greatly improve.

19. In its capacity as administrator of the Nice Classification, WIPO will also benefit from the database. The Alphabetical List of the Nice Classification contains today some 8,800 indications (in English). The Madrid System G&S Database contains already some 27,900 indications (in English). Many of those non-Nice indications may be acceptable for the Nice Union Members and could possibly be added to the Alphabetical List. Even before that, they could be communicated to the Nice Union Members as indications for which WIPO recommends a given classification. Any classification discrepancies identified in the course of the construction of the G&S database could be brought to the attention of the Committee of Experts of the Nice Union for clarification.

Relationship between the Madrid System G&S Database and the Possible Introduction of Additional Filing Languages in the Madrid System

20. As acknowledged in the project document MM/A/42/3, approved by the Madrid Union Assembly in 2009, there is a link between the development of the Madrid System G&S Database and the question concerning the possible introduction of additional filing languages in the Madrid system, as described in document MM/A/42/1, also submitted to the Assembly in 2009.
21. In this respect, it is recalled that, in the first half of 2009, as mandated by the Madrid Union Assembly, the International Bureau conducted a study on the implications, consequences and advantages of including languages other than English, French and Spanish (working languages of the Madrid system) as additional filing languages (languages in which applicants could file international applications).
22. The study, presented in document MM/A/42/1, focused on a proposal that would allow, under certain conditions, for the filing of international applications in interested Offices of Contracting Parties in any of a number of non-working languages of the Madrid system that would qualify as additional filing languages, namely Arabic, Chinese, Dutch, German, Italian, Japanese, Portuguese and Russian.
23. In general, the study identified three pre-requisites that would have to be met before international applications could be filed in a non-working language:
 - (a) the Madrid System G&S Database would have to be fully operational;
 - (b) electronic communication between the Office of the Contracting Party concerned and the International Bureau, based on an electronic communication agreement between both parties, should already be operational at least in respect of the transmission of international applications; and
 - (c) a "filing language agreement" between the Office of the Contracting Party concerned and the International Bureau should have been signed, providing, *inter alia*, for the parties to cooperate in the translation of the Madrid System G&S Database into the relevant domestic (non-working) language. The possibility for applicants from the relevant Contracting Party to file international applications in their domestic language would be subject to the availability of the database in the relevant domestic language.

24. Following the submission of that study, the Assembly mandated the International Bureau to undertake a pilot project with a view to verifying the feasibility of the proposal to introduce new filing languages (see document MM/A/42/4, paragraph 23). Participation in the pilot project would be open to any Office of a Contracting Party that processes trademark applications in any of the languages that would qualify as an additional filing language. The pilot project would be implemented in two phases. Phase I would involve cooperation between the International Bureau and each interested Office in: (i) the translation of the Madrid System G&S Database into the relevant language; and (ii) exploring the possibility of developing an interface for the purposes of the filing of international applications in the relevant language.
25. Because of the close relationship between the activities envisaged in Phase I of the pilot project on filing languages and the initiative to accelerate the construction of the Madrid System G&S Database as discussed in document MM/A/42/3, the Assembly decided that Phase I of that pilot project be implemented within the context of the Madrid System G&S Database project.

III. PROJECT MAIN ELEMENTS

Project Objectives

26. The project, as approved by the Assembly, has the following two objectives: (a) to accelerate the pace of the construction and deployment (internal and external) of the Madrid System G&S Database, in cooperation with interested Contracting Parties; and (b) to set the ground for and initiate the implementation of Phase I of the pilot project proposed in document MM/A/42/1 relating to the feasibility of introducing additional filing languages in the Madrid system.

Project Deliverables and Tentative Timetable

27. The main deliverables expected from project implementation as approved by the Assembly (along with the tentative timetable indicated in the project document), are outlined below:
- (i) 16,300 new indications of goods and services, as validated by the International Bureau in the three working languages (English, French and Spanish) of the Madrid system, added to the Madrid System G&S Database by October 2010 (thus bringing the contents of the database up to 30,000 such indications).
 - (ii) Full deployment of the Madrid System G&S Database within the International Bureau for regular use by examiners and translators by the time the number of indications in the database reaches 30,000.
 - (iii) The Madrid Filing Assistant brought on-line on the WIPO website for use by interested applicants by the time the number of indications in the Madrid System G&S Database reaches 30,000.
 - (iv) A prototype web application for the electronic filing of international trademark applications that incorporates the functionalities of the Madrid Filing Assistant and permits access to the Madrid System G&S Database, made available to the Offices of interested Contracting Parties, along with the necessary advice concerning its exploitation or adaptation.

- (v) 10,000 new indications of goods and services, as validated by the International Bureau in the three working languages of the Madrid system, added to the Madrid System G&S Database by Spring 2011 (thus bringing the contents of the database up to at least 40,000 indications).
- (vi) Translation of the Madrid System G&S Database (40,000 acceptable indications) into Arabic, Chinese, Dutch, German, Italian, Japanese, Portuguese and Russian, made available to the Offices of interested Contracting Parties, upon request.

Project Inputs and Budget

28. Document MM/A/42/3 identified in detail the inputs required for project implementation. On that basis, the Assembly approved a budget of 1.2 million Swiss francs from the Madrid Union Reserve Fund for the implementation of the project. It further took note that the International Bureau would assign to the project additional resources financed from the WIPO regular budget, in particular experienced staff in the areas of project management, trademark classification, translation and administrative support.

IV. PROGRESS MADE IN PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010

Database Contents

29. On June 30, 2010, the Madrid System G&S database contained:
- 27,944 terms in English (grouped around 18,674 concepts);
 - 20,452 terms in French (grouped around 16,940 concepts);
 - 20,520 terms in Spanish (grouped around 16,588 concepts).
30. The English terms included in the database had been checked, accepted and classified by two experienced WIPO examiners. Those terms had been translated (or were to be translated) into French and Spanish by a group of translators including a terminology expert, two French translators and two Spanish translators.
31. Annex I gives the breakdown of terms and concepts contained in the database as of June 30, 2010, by language (English, French and Spanish) and by class of the International Classification.
32. Based on the current pace of advancement, it is expected that the International Bureau will have no difficulty in reaching the target of 30,000 terms, in English, included in the database by October 2010. Concerning the number of terms in French and Spanish there may be a slight delay as a result of some delay in the recruitment of translators working for the project at the beginning of 2010.

Database Sources

33. All indications in the Alphabetical List of Goods and Services of the Nice Classification have been included in the Madrid System G&S Database. In addition, the database also contains other terms proceeding from other sources, including in particular the following sources: lists of frequently used indications extracted from international applications filed under the Madrid system in the last two years; lists of indications of goods and services made available to the International Bureau by the Offices of some Madrid Union Members; other indications added by WIPO as a result of its review of the above-mentioned lists.

34. The Office of the United States of America (USPTO) was the first to make available to the International Bureau its own list of acceptable indications of goods and services, which includes a number of indications that are also acceptable to the other Trademark Trilateral Offices (the Offices of the European Union (OHIM) and Japan (JPO)). As indicated below, the USPTO list has been the source of 7,205 terms so far added to the database (including 2,779 Trilateral Offices terms and 4,226 other USPTO terms).
35. The 27,944 English terms included in the database as of June 30, 2010, came from the following sources:
- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| – | Nice Classification Alphabetical List | 8,833 terms |
| – | Madrid system frequent terms | 2,970 terms |
| – | Modified frequent terms | 5,317 terms |
| – | Trilateral Offices terms accepted by WIPO | 2,779 terms |
| – | Other USPTO terms accepted by WIPO | 4,426 terms |
| – | Other WIPO additions | 3,619 terms |
36. In January 2010, OHIM made available to WIPO the English version of its own database of goods and services, as agreed in a Common Understanding on Synergies on Trademark Classification signed by WIPO and OHIM in December 2009. Also in the first half of 2010, WIPO received from the Swiss Office a list of indications of goods and services accepted by that Office, in French. Those lists will also serve as sources for the identification of terms to be included in the Madrid System G&S Database in due course.

Deployment of the Database within the International Bureau

37. By June 30, 2010, examiners and translators within the International Trademark Registry had already been given access to a large percentage of the terms included in the Madrid System G&S Database for the purposes of assisting them in their daily work. By October 2010 the G&S database will have been fully deployed within the International Bureau for regular use by examiners and translators, as envisaged within the project expected deliverables.

Madrid Filing Assistant (MFA)

38. During the period under review, the International Bureau has continued to work on the development of the MFA prototype prepared in 2009 and many improvements were implemented to increase its performance. Considerable effort was deployed to enable direct and streamlined feeding of the MFA with validated terms. In addition, the MFA interface was adapted for access through the three leading browsers in the market, namely, Mozilla Firefox, Internet Explorer (version 7 or above) and Safari.
39. In the course of July 2010, the MFA will be disclosed internally, within the International Bureau, for testing and use by the staff in the International Trademark Register.
40. According to plans, by October 2010, after a testing period, the MFA will be made available on line on the WIPO portal (under WIPO-GOLD) for access by interested applicants.
41. The MFA interface, originally available in English, French and Spanish, has been translated into Arabic, Chinese, Dutch, German, Italian, Japanese, Portuguese and Russian. Each of those additional language versions of the MFA interface will become operational as soon as the content of the database in the corresponding language becomes available.

Preparation of Additional Language Versions of the Database

42. In the first half of 2010, the International Bureau received requests from China and the Russian Federation to assist in the preparation of a Chinese language version and a Russian language version of the database, respectively.
43. In April 2010, the State Administration for Industry and Commerce of the People's Republic of China (SAIC) and WIPO signed a Memorandum of Understanding on Further Strengthening Cooperation between WIPO and SAIC. This Memorandum of Understanding provides, *inter alia*, for cooperation in the process of developing the Chinese language version of the database. In June 2010, the International Bureau and SAIC agreed on the terms of reference for such cooperation. The first step will be to add to the Madrid System G&S Database all indications of the Alphabetical List of Goods and Services of the Nice Classification (Ninth edition) in Chinese. Following that, the remaining terms included in the Madrid System G&S Database will be translated into Chinese in the course of 2010 and 2011.
44. At the initiative of the Russian Federation, a WIPO programming mission visited Moscow in May 2010 to discuss possible cooperation between WIPO and the Federal Institute of Industrial Property (FIPS) under the Federal Service for Intellectual Property, Patents and Trademarks of the Russian Federation (ROSPATENT) for the purposes of the development of the Russian version of the database. A Memorandum of Understanding and a Contract to formalize this cooperation were prepared for signature by FIPS and WIPO. As a first step, FIPS has made available to WIPO all indications included in the Alphabetical List of Goods and Services of the Nice Classification (Ninth edition) in Russian. Following that work, the remaining terms included in the Madrid System G&S Database will be translated into Russian in the course of 2010 and 2011.
45. During the period under review, the International Bureau has also been approached by the Office of Portugal concerning the possible preparation of a Portuguese language version of the database. Consultations are expected to be held with the Arab countries Members of the Madrid Union concerning the preparation of an Arabic language version of the database. Preliminary consultations were held with the Office of Switzerland concerning the possible preparation of a German version and an Italian version of the database. Similar consultations are expected to be held with the Offices of other interested Contracting Parties.

Budget Implementation

46. From a budgetary viewpoint, the project implementation is on target. Within the first half of 2010, some 75% of the total budget allocation for the biennium (2010-2011) had been spent or committed. Annex II provides details concerning budget allocations, expenditures and commitments as of June 30, 2010.

V. NEXT STEPS

Continued Feeding of the Database

47. In the second half of 2010 and beginning of 2011, the International Bureau shall continue to feed the database with additional validated terms, so as to reach the established targets of 30,000 terms by October 2010 and 40,000 terms by Spring 2011. After those

targets are met, the International Bureau intends to continue to feed the database with new terms throughout the remaining of 2011.

Cooperation with Contracting Parties

48. In the context of the implementation of the project, several areas where cooperation between the International Bureau and the Offices of Madrid Union Members could be further developed have been identified, including the following: (i) cooperation in the identification of terms to be included in the database; (ii) cooperation in making available to the public additional language versions of the database; (iii) cooperation in checking the acceptance of the contents of the database; (iv) cooperation in facilitating access to the database by trademark applicants.

Cooperation in the identification of terms to be included in the database

49. As mentioned earlier, WIPO has already received lists of acceptable indications of goods and services from the Offices of the United States of America, European Union and Switzerland as a contribution to the identification of terms to be included in the Madrid System G&S Database. WIPO shall welcome to continue receiving updates of those lists and similar contributions from Offices of other Contracting Parties.

Cooperation in making available additional language versions of the database

50. WIPO shall continue to cooperate with the Offices of interested Madrid Union Members in making available to the public additional language versions of the database. Upon request of the Offices concerned, WIPO shall continue to be available to assist in the translation of the database contents into any of the languages qualifying for participation in the pilot project approved by the Madrid Union Assembly in 2009.

Cooperation in checking the acceptance of the contents of the database

51. For trademark owners using the Madrid system it is good to know whether a given indication will be accepted by the International Bureau. But even more important for them is to know whether that indication will also be accepted by each of the Contracting Parties designated in the international application. Consequently, in order to ensure that the G&S database offers maximum benefits for users and is actually used by trademark owners filing international applications (thus also resulting in the expected benefits for Offices and the International Bureau), it is important to make sure that the G&S database can also provide as much information as possible on the acceptance of indications contained therein by designated Contracting Parties. This can only be done with the cooperation of as many as possible Trademark Offices of Madrid Union Members.
52. In the course of the consultations maintained with the Offices of China, the European Union, the Russian Federation and Switzerland in the first half of 2010, the International Bureau has made available to those Offices the contents of the Madrid System G&S Database with the hope that it will be possible for those Offices to check their acceptance of the terms included in the database. The International Bureau is ready to make the contents of its database available to any other interested Office for similar purposes. In particular, it would be important to count on the cooperation of Offices of the most designated Contracting Parties (see the list of the 40 most designated Contracting Parties in Annex III, attached).

Cooperation in facilitating access to the database by trademark owners

53. As indicated earlier, the International Bureau is planning to make the database available to the public through the MFA search engine on the WIPO portal (under WIPO-GOLD) by October 2010. In order to further facilitate access to the database by trademark applicants in the Madrid Union Members, the International Bureau would like to invite interested Offices to provide for a link to the MFA search engine from their Office websites. To those Offices that are equipped with electronic filing systems, the International Bureau would like to propose to provide for a direct link from their electronic filing systems to the MFA search engine so that their applicants can benefit of such direct access to the Madrid System G&S Database. Concerning those Offices that do not yet have an electronic filing system at this time, it may be of interest to know that the International Bureau is planning to develop a prototype web application for the electronic filing of international applications in interested Offices of origin. Such application would contain a number of checks and safeguards in order to streamline, as fully as possible, the filing of international trademark applications and, of course, would incorporate the functionalities of the MFA and allow access to the Madrid System G&S Database. The development of such prototype web application has been planned for 2011.

54. The Assembly is invited to take note of the progress report presented in this document.

[Annexes follow]

CONTENTS OF THE MADRID SYSTEM GOODS AND SERVICES DATABASE
as of June 30, 2010

| Class | TOTAL English | | TOTAL French | | TOTAL Spanish | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Concepts | Terms | Concepts | Terms | Concepts | Terms |
| 1 | 641 | 888 | 641 | 704 | 641 | 762 |
| 2 | 118 | 181 | 118 | 143 | 118 | 160 |
| 3 | 932 | 1,461 | 929 | 1,143 | 930 | 1,087 |
| 4 | 100 | 151 | 100 | 113 | 100 | 124 |
| 5 | 1,210 | 1,687 | 1,209 | 1,372 | 1,208 | 1,405 |
| 6 | 870 | 1,403 | 870 | 1,049 | 870 | 1,071 |
| 7 | 508 | 724 | 508 | 581 | 508 | 613 |
| 8 | 243 | 322 | 243 | 283 | 243 | 322 |
| 9 | 1,246 | 1,749 | 1,168 | 1,372 | 1,246 | 1,502 |
| 10 | 213 | 303 | 213 | 253 | 213 | 262 |
| 11 | 311 | 441 | 311 | 354 | 311 | 389 |
| 12 | 244 | 394 | 244 | 301 | 244 | 361 |
| 13 | 274 | 329 | 205 | 224 | 205 | 225 |
| 14 | 226 | 434 | 227 | 273 | 225 | 261 |
| 15 | 155 | 185 | 155 | 170 | 155 | 173 |
| 16 | 1,285 | 1,698 | 1,284 | 1,485 | 1,278 | 1,470 |
| 17 | 116 | 181 | 116 | 143 | 116 | 164 |
| 18 | 292 | 429 | 292 | 370 | 292 | 340 |
| 19 | 678 | 998 | 677 | 820 | 674 | 804 |
| 20 | 724 | 981 | 723 | 832 | 710 | 842 |
| 21 | 326 | 507 | 326 | 383 | 326 | 409 |
| 22 | 245 | 342 | 245 | 283 | 245 | 286 |
| 23 | 62 | 182 | 62 | 174 | 62 | 177 |
| 24 | 248 | 370 | 247 | 288 | 248 | 288 |
| 25 | 762 | 1,142 | 762 | 971 | 760 | 924 |
| 26 | 235 | 288 | 235 | 278 | 214 | 265 |
| 27 | 69 | 85 | 69 | 83 | 69 | 86 |
| 28 | 189 | 268 | 189 | 220 | 189 | 246 |
| 29 | 160 | 249 | 160 | 191 | 160 | 222 |
| 30 | 196 | 277 | 196 | 214 | 196 | 261 |
| 31 | 149 | 223 | 149 | 186 | 149 | 201 |
| 32 | 49 | 75 | 49 | 57 | 49 | 67 |
| 33 | 118 | 155 | 117 | 134 | 118 | 134 |
| 34 | 38 | 50 | 38 | 45 | 38 | 61 |
| 35 | 437 | 652 | 382 | 476 | 437 | 542 |
| 36 | 653 | 1,002 | 73 | 94 | 666 | 835 |
| 37 | 1,490 | 2,348 | 1,476 | 1,925 | 450 | 506 |
| 38 | 283 | 456 | 271 | 353 | 273 | 369 |
| 39 | 595 | 1,156 | 91 | 122 | 91 | 125 |
| 40 | 113 | 172 | 113 | 151 | 113 | 145 |
| 41 | 620 | 1,021 | 617 | 844 | 612 | 778 |
| 42 | 714 | 1,114 | 709 | 833 | 705 | 1,075 |
| 43 | 165 | 274 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 41 |
| 44 | 329 | 528 | 58 | 67 | 58 | 81 |
| 45 | 43 | 69 | 43 | 55 | 43 | 59 |
| TOTAL | 18,674 | 27,944 | 16,940 | 20,452 | 16,588 | 20,520 |

[Annex II follows]

PROJECT BUDGET
Allocations, Expenditures and Commitments
as of June 30, 2010

| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| PROJECT PERSONNEL | | | |
| Allocation - IT Consultants | 150,000 | 230,000 | 380,000 |
| Consultancy Services MFA (January to May 2010) - Expenditure | 51,500 | 0 | 51,500 |
| Consultant MFA (June 2010) - Expenditure | 9,500 | 0 | 9,500 |
| Consultant MFA (July 2010 to December 2011) - Commitment | 57,000 | 114,000 | 171,000 |
| Consultant E-Filing (October 2010 to December 2011) - Commitment | 28,500 | 114,000 | 142,500 |
| Expenditures + Commitments | 146,500 | 228,000 | 374,500 |
| Balance | 3,500 | 2,000 | 5,500 |
| Allocation - Other Personnel | 180,000 | 250,000 | 430,000 |
| French Translators (March to June 2010) - Expenditure | 70,000 | 0 | 70,000 |
| French Translators (July 2010 to December 2011) - Commitment | 105,000 | 210,000 | 315,000 |
| Expenditures + Commitments | 175,000 | 210,000 | 385,000 |
| Balance | 5,000 | 40,000 | 45,000 |
| MISSIONS | | | |
| Allocation - Missions | 60,000 | 20,000 | 80,000 |
| Programming missions (April to June 2010) - Commitment | 10,551 | 0 | 10,551 |
| Programming missions (July to December 2010) - Commitment | 41,200 | 0 | 41,200 |
| Total Commitments | 51,751 | 0 | 51,751 |
| Balance | 8,249 | 20,000 | 28,249 |
| OUTSOURCING | | | |
| Allocation - Outsourcing | 144,000 | 144,000 | 288,000 |
| Translation of the Database into Chinese and Russian - Commitment | 72,000 | 0 | 72,000 |
| Expenditures + Commitments | 72,000 | 0 | 72,000 |
| Balance | 72,000 | 144,000 | 216,000 |
| MISCELLEANOUS | | | |
| Allocation - Miscellaneous | 10,000 | 12,000 | 22,000 |
| Expenditures + Commitments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Balance | 10,000 | 12,000 | 22,000 |

| | in CHF | | | % |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| Allocation for 2010-2011 | 544,000 | 656,000 | 1,200,000 | 100% |
| Expenditures + Commitments for 2010-2011 | 445,251 | 450,000 | 895,251 | 75% |
| Balance available | <u>98,749</u> | <u>206,000</u> | <u>304,749</u> | 25% |

[Annex III follows]

MADRID SYSTEM – MOST DESIGNATED CONTRACTING PARTIES (2009)**Number of designations by designated Contracting Party****(Includes designations in new registrations and subsequent designations)**

Growth rates as compared to 2008

| | <u>Designated Contracting Party</u> | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>Share</u> | <u>Growth</u> |
|----|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1 | China (CN) | 13,575 | 15,801 | 16,676 | 17,829 | 14,766 | 4.9% | -17.2% |
| 2 | Russian Federation (RU) | 12,813 | 14,432 | 15,455 | 16,768 | 14,150 | 4.7% | -15.6% |
| 3 | United States of America (US) | 11,863 | 13,994 | 14,618 | 15,715 | 13,406 | 4.4% | -14.7% |
| 4 | Switzerland (CH) | 13,197 | 14,260 | 14,528 | 14,907 | 13,161 | 4.3% | -11.7% |
| 5 | European Union (EM) | 6309 | 10,640 | 12,744 | 14,502 | 12,564 | 4.1% | -13.4% |
| 6 | Japan (JP) | 10,104 | 11,844 | 12,348 | 12,748 | 10,386 | 3.4% | -18.5% |
| 7 | Australia (AU) | 7,989 | 9,115 | 9,848 | 10,529 | 8,575 | 2.8% | -18.6% |
| 8 | Ukraine (UA) | 8,271 | 9,057 | 9,751 | 10,635 | 8,539 | 2.8% | -19.7% |
| 9 | Turkey (TR) | 8,602 | 8,958 | 9,377 | 9,844 | 7,942 | 2.6% | -19.3% |
| 10 | Republic of Korea (KR) | 7,160 | 8,334 | 8,988 | 9,539 | 7,755 | 2.6% | -18.7% |
| 11 | Norway (NO) | 8,443 | 9,102 | 9,346 | 9,787 | 7,627 | 2.5% | -22.1% |
| 12 | Croatia (HR) | 6,716 | 6,970 | 7,059 | 7,482 | 5,967 | 2.0% | -20.2% |
| 13 | Singapore (SG) | 6,127 | 6,717 | 7,005 | 7,607 | 5,957 | 2.0% | -21.7% |
| 14 | Germany (DE) | 9,150 | 8,147 | 7,184 | 6,955 | 5,593 | 1.8% | -19.6% |
| 15 | Belarus (BY) | 5,401 | 5,818 | 6,140 | 6,724 | 5,380 | 1.8% | -20.0% |
| 16 | Serbia (RS) | 0 | 5,644 | 5,956 | 6,315 | 5,130 | 1.7% | -18.8% |
| 17 | France (FR) | 8,587 | 7,495 | 6,443 | 6,035 | 4,735 | 1.6% | -21.5% |
| 18 | Italy (IT) | 8,817 | 7,374 | 6,618 | 6,171 | 4,713 | 1.6% | -23.6% |
| 19 | United Kingdom (GB) | 8,288 | 7,482 | 6,502 | 6,204 | 4,671 | 1.5% | -24.7% |
| 20 | Spain (ES) | 8,329 | 7,231 | 6,298 | 5,830 | 4,264 | 1.4% | -26.9% |
| 21 | Viet Nam (VN) | 2,639 | 3,074 | 4,381 | 4,966 | 4,169 | 1.4% | -16.0% |
| 22 | Austria (AT) | 7,638 | 6,564 | 5,928 | 5,208 | 4,118 | 1.4% | -20.9% |
| 23 | Benelux (BX) | 7922 | 6800 | 5979 | 5,463 | 4,102 | 1.4% | -24.9% |
| 24 | Montenegro (ME) | 0 | 0 | 4,680 | 5,210 | 3,920 | 1.3% | -24.8% |
| 25 | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (MK) | 4,337 | 4,261 | 4,689 | 4,882 | 3,774 | 1.2% | -22.7% |
| 26 | Bosnia and Herzegovina (BA) | 3,797 | 3,798 | 3,976 | 4,041 | 3,771 | 1.2% | -6.7% |
| 27 | Morocco (MA) | 3,992 | 4,229 | 4,194 | 4,362 | 3,762 | 1.2% | -13.8% |
| 28 | Poland (PL) | 6,825 | 6,092 | 5,553 | 4,815 | 3,724 | 1.2% | -22.7% |
| 29 | Kazakhstan (KZ) | 3,099 | 3,463 | 4,004 | 4,331 | 3,488 | 1.1% | -19.5% |
| 30 | Republic of Moldova (MD) | 3,500 | 3,793 | 4,274 | 4,346 | 3,385 | 1.1% | -22.1% |
| 31 | Romania (RO) | 7,766 | 8,103 | 5,649 | 4,429 | 3,263 | 1.1% | -26.3% |
| 32 | Azerbaijan (AZ) | 2231 | 2,329 | 3,145 | 3,801 | 3,214 | 1.1% | -15.4% |
| 33 | Georgia (GE) | 2,951 | 3,347 | 3,801 | 3,980 | 3,154 | 1.0% | -20.8% |
| 34 | Czech Republic (CZ) | 6,018 | 5,161 | 4,546 | 4,015 | 3,147 | 1.0% | -21.6% |
| 35 | Albania (AL) | 2,720 | 2,882 | 3,267 | 3,588 | 3,060 | 1.0% | -14.7% |
| 36 | Liechtenstein (LI) | 3,886 | 3,898 | 3,713 | 4,050 | 3,011 | 1.0% | -25.7% |
| 37 | Iran (Islamic Republic of) (IR) | 3,003 | 3,160 | 3,352 | 3,463 | 3,006 | 1.0% | -13.2% |
| 38 | Hungary (HU) | 5,914 | 5,039 | 4,528 | 4,052 | 2,921 | 1.0% | -27.9% |
| 39 | Egypt (EG) | 2,940 | 3,201 | 3,141 | 3,338 | 2,816 | 0.9% | -15.6% |
| 40 | Monaco (MC) | 3792 | 3876 | 3,737 | 3,728 | 2,762 | 0.9% | -25.9% |
| | Other Countries | 101,828 | 93,240 | 91,696 | 90,700 | 69,496 | 22.9% | -23.4% |
| | Total | 356,539 | 364,725 | 371,117 | 378,894 | 303,344 | 100% | -19.9% |

[End of Annex III and of document]