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PROPOSAL OF THE ASIA AND THE PACIFIC GROUP ON THE COMPOSITION OF THE WIPO COORDINATION COMMITTEE
submitted by the Asia and the Pacific Group

In a communication to the Secretariat received on September 20, 2018, the Delegation of Indonesia, on behalf of the Asia and the Pacific Group, submitted the enclosed revised proposal in the framework of Agenda Item 9, "Composition of the WIPO Coordination Committee, and of the Executive Committees of the Paris and Berne Unions".
[Annex follows]

## Submission of the Asia and the Pacific Group (the APG)

## Composition of the Coordination Committee (CoCo)

1. The APG notes that according to Articles 8(1)(a) and 11(9)(a) of the WIPO Convention, the CoCo is composed of the following categories of members:
a. the elected ordinary members of the Executive Committee of the Paris Union and of the Executive Committee of the Berne Union;
b. Switzerland, as the State on whose territory the Organisation has its headquarters, as an ex officio member; and
c. one-fourth of the States party to the WIPO Convention that are not members of any of the Unions administered by WIPO, which are designated by the WIPO Conference and which serve as ad hoc members of the WIPO Coordination Committee.
2. The APG further notes that Article 14(4) of the Paris Convention and Article 23(4) of the Berne Convention states that "in electing the members of the Executive Committee, the Assembly shall have due regard to an equitable geographical distribution and to the need for countries party to the Special Agreements established in relation with the Union to be among the countries constituting the Executive Committee".
3. The APG recalls that the number of allocated seats on the CoCo has stayed at 83 since 2011. The APG further recalls that in preparation for the $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies in 2017, the Secretariat informed the WIPO Membership that the CoCo should have 87 members. ${ }^{1}$ However, "in the absence of agreement as to how best to allocate the four additional CoCo seats," the Legal Counsel reported at the $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies that the "consensus among groups was that the CoCo would remain, exceptionally, at $83 .{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ The $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies further decided that consultations on the composition of the CoCo will continue, "with a view to making a recommendation to the bodies concerned at their sessions during the WIPO Assemblies in 2018, on the allocation of the vacant seats at the WIPO Assemblies in 2019". ${ }^{3}$
4. The APG notes from the Legal Counsel's office that due to the accessions of Afghanistan (to the Paris and Berne Unions) and Kiribati to the Berne Union since the $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies in 2017, the composition of the CoCo should now have 88 members.
5. The APG notes that the current allocation in the CoCo is not fairly proportioned and representative of the relative size of regional groups in WIPO (as illustrated in Annex A). In particular, the African Group, APG and CEBS are underrepresented in the CoCo. The APG further notes that, based on the CoCo's composition in paragraph 1, the increase in the number of seats in the CoCo since 2011 has arisen mostly from accessions from the APG and

[^0]the African Group, given the 12 accessions from the APG, 3 from the African Group, and 1 from CACEEC to the Paris and Berne Unions that have taken place since then (as illustrated in Annex B).
6. In view of the above, the APG proposes the following:
i. The five unfilled CoCo seats should be allocated to better reflect the WIPO Membership and the relative size of WIPO regional groups as well as the accessions to the Paris and Berne Unions since 2011 from the respective WIPO regional groups, while reaffirming that every regional group should be represented in the CoCo. The APG reiterates that this allocation is not only timely, but necessary in light of Article 14(4) of the Paris Convention and Article 23(4) of the Berne Convention on equitable geographical distribution.

Allocation of Seats in the CoCo among Regional Groups for Biennium 2018-2019 ${ }^{4}$

| S/No | Item | Group B | Africa | CACEEC | CEBS | GRULAC | APG | China | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Total members in group | 32 | 53 | 10 | 18 | 33 | 44 | 1 | 191 |
| 2. | Current allocated seats for CoCo | 23 | 19 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 83 |
| 3. | Percentage of the group members represented in the $\mathrm{CoCo}^{5}$ | 71.88\% | 35.85\% | 40.00\% | 33.33\% | 45.45\% | 34.09\% | NA | - |
| 4. | Group percentage of WIPO Membership ${ }^{6}$ | 16.75\% | 27.75\% | 5.24\% | 9.42\% | 17.28\% | 23.04\% | NA | 100\% |
| 5. | Group percentage of CoCo Membership ${ }^{7}$ | 27.71\% | 22.89\% | 4.82\% | 7.23\% | 18.07\% | 18.07\% | NA | 100\% |
| 6. | Number of CoCo seats if according to proportion of WIPO Membership based on 83 CoCo seats ${ }^{8}$ | 13.91 | 23.03 | 4.35 | 7.82 | 14.34 | 19.12 | NA | 83 |
| 7. | Differential (between Row 6 and Row 2) ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ | -9.09 | 4.03 | 0.35 | 1.82 | $\underline{-0.66}$ | $\underline{4.12}$ | NA | - |

[^1]Annex B
Accessions to the Paris and Berne Unions since 2011

| S/No | Item | Group B | Africa | CACEEC | CEBS | GRULAC | APG | China | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Number of Members in Paris Union | 32 | 49 | 9 | 19 | 33 | 34 | 1 | 177 |
| 2. | Accessions since January 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4* <br> *Afghanistan, Brunei, Kuwait, Samoa | 0 | - |
| 3. | Number of Members in Berne Union | 31 | 46 | 9 | 19 | 33 | 37 | 1 | 176 |
| 4. | Accessions since January 2011 | 0 | 3* <br> *Burundi, Mozambique, Sao Tome and Principe | $1^{*}$ <br> *Turkmenistan | 0 | 0 | 8* <br> *Afghanistan, Cook Islands, Kiribati, Kuwait, Lao PDR, Niue, Tuvalu, Vanuatu | 0 | - |

[End of Annex and of document]


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paragraph 16 of the Composition of the WIPO Coordination Committee, and of the Executive Committees of the Paris and Berne Unions (A/57/3) dated 2 August 2017.
    ${ }^{2}$ Paragraph 33 of the General Report adopted by the $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies (A/57/12) dated 14 December 2017.
    ${ }^{3}$ Paragraph 40 of the General Report adopted by the $57^{\text {th }}$ WIPO Assemblies (A/57/12) dated 14 December 2017.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ This Annex is based on the table contained in document WO/GA/49/20 and has been updated for clarity.
    ${ }^{5}$ Based on: (number of current allocated seats for regional group in the CoCo)/(total number of Members in regional group) $\times 100 \%$
    ${ }^{6}$ Based on: (number of number of Members in regional group)/(total number of WIPO Members) x 100\%
    ${ }^{7}$ Based on: (number of current allocated seats for regional group in the CoCo )/(total number of seats in the CoCo ) $100 \%$
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on: (group percentage of WIPO Membership) $\times$ (total number of seats in the CoCo)
    ${ }^{9}$ Based on: (number of CoCo seats if according to proportion of WIPO Membership based on 83 seats) - (current allocated seats for CoCo). A negative number means a regional group is overrepresented by that amount of seats on the CoCo.

