WIPO/DA/MVD/00/5

ORIGINAL:English

DATE:September6,2000





REGIONALWORKSHOPON COPYRIGHTANDRELAT EDRIGHTS INTHEINFORMATIONA GE

organizedby theWor IdIntellectualPropertyOrganization(WIPO)

incooperationwith theCopyrightCouncil oftheMinistryofEducationandCultureoftheEasternRepublicofUruguay

Montevideo, September 13 and 14,2000

ECONOMIC, POLITICAL ANDLEGALIMPORTANCE OF COPYRIGHTA NDRELATEDRIGHTSIN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE: THEPRESE NTSITUATIONANDFUURE DEVELOPMENTS

Document prepared by Mr. Roger Knights

- 1. Thetitleofthispresentationisthe "economic, political and legal importance of copyright and related right to train ternational commerce, the present situation and future developments". It seems to methat the three factors referred to in the title economic, political and legal erreinter linked invarious ways so that it would not be sensible formet o tryto addresse a chofthemse parately. But I would like to be ginfrom an economic standpoint.
- 2. Iwouldliketolookfirstatastatementmadebyanationalparliamentaboutthe principleaimsandpurposesofcopyrightlegislationwhenitenacted this[Slide1]. The parliamentsaidthatamongthemainobjectivesofthelegislationwere:
 - "the encouragement of learning, the prevention of the practice of piracy and the encouragement of learned mentow rite and compose useful books".
- 3. ThebodywhichmadethisstatementwastheEnglishParliamentoftheearly18th centurywhichenactedthefirstrealcopyrightlegislationoftheUnitedKingdom,the1709 StatuteofQueenAnne,saidalsotobethefirstcopyrightlaw,assuch,anywhereint heworld. Itseemstomethatthisstatement,althoughmadenearly300hundredyearsago,capturesthe essenceofwhycopyrightandrelatedrightsaregrantedtoauthorsandothercreators,and remainsastruetodayasitwasthen.
- 4. The 1709 copyright Statute of Queen Annere cognized that unless authors have rights enabling them to control use of their works and obtain economic rewards from this, there is little or no incentive for the continued creation of works. In the words of the English Parliament of the time, without the serights the rewould be no "encouragement of learned men to write and compose useful books". Nor would there be any incentive to disseminate works to the publication grands ociety as a whole would be the loser since it would not be able to be nefit from the ideas and knowledge of others. Again in the words of the 18th century English parliament, the rewould be no "encouragement of learning". Of course, in the 18th century printing was virtually the only way of exploiting works on a mass scale, and since then copyright has had to develop on the same basic principles so as to encompass new technologies unknown at the time, such as cinema and broad casting, and this process continues.
- 5. Ithinkittruetosay thateconomicreasonsforprotectingauthorsplayedagreaterrolein thedevelopmentofcopyrightinthecommonlawsystemoftheEnglish -speakingworldthan inthosecountriesfollowingthecivillawor'droitd'auteur'tradition. There, the fundamental reason for protecting authors is perhaps first and foremost aview that basic human rights or natural justice demand that authors have exclusive rights in their property, both economic and moral. However, while the remay be philosophical distinctions bet ween the two systems, it seems to me the reismuch less difference in their operation in practice and that the protection of economic interests is of key concerning both.
- 6. Itisinterestingtonotethereferenceto "preventionofthepractice ofpiracy" in the statements of the English Parliament when it enacted the 1709 law. That law was accompanied by quite severe penalties for infringement of copyright. In fringing books were subject to for feiture and a fine of one penny for every page copi ed. This resulted in fines which were high for the time in cases where many copies of abook were pirated, and illustrates that the 18th century legislators recognized that it is not only the grant of legal rights which is important in protecting the interest of authors and other creators, but also

effective enforcement of those rights. This also remains a struct od ayas it was nearly three centuries ago.

- Iwouldnowliketoboreyouwithsomestatistics. Inrecentyears, the government 7. department in the UK responsible for cultural matters have ndeavored to estimate the value of the control ofwhatithastermedthe'creativeindustries'totheUK.By'creativeindustries'ismeant,in particular, fields such as writing and publishing, music, sound recor dings, films, and broadcasting. The department has estimated [Slide2] that, collectively, the creative industries accountforsome4 -5% of UKGross Domestic Product (GDP). Toputthis incontext, it is more than the contribution of many elements of UKmanufacturingindustry. Employmentin thecreative industries is about 1.4 million people. Estimates were also made of the contribution of individual parts of the creative sector. Here, we see that the UK is particularly stronginthefieldsofleisures oftware(computergamesandthelike), musicandpublishing, allofwhichproducedgreaterexportsthantherewereimportsintotheUK,resultingin $positive annual tradebalances of between 225 and 900 million pounds sterling (\pounds). In$ contrast, therewere negative balances of trade in the film and broadcasting sectors, where the majorplayeristheUnitedStates.
- Overall, therefore, the UK is an etexporter of material protected by copyright and 8. relatedrights, and this, of course, means that thereiseveryincentiveforustoensurefirstly thatcopyrightisproperlyprotectedathome, and secondly that comparable standards of protection applyelse where in the world where UK creative material finds a market. Iappreciatethatmattersmaysee mdifferentfromtheperspectiveofanycountrywhichisanet importerofcopyrightmaterial.ButIwouldsuggestthatproperprotectionofcopyrightis importantinthese circumstances also, for a number of reasons. Firstly, failure to protect intellectualpropertyactsasadisincentivetothecreationanddisseminationofmaterialof cultural, educational or other social value within that country, irrespective of any external considerations. Secondly, its eemstomethat without proper protection of i propertyathome, it is difficult for a country to be comeanet exporter of copyright material andmatters are capable of change in this respect. Currently, as I have said, the UK is a net importeroffilmandtelevisionmaterial, butwhokn owswhetheronedaywemayfind whateverthatsomething is which would give UK productions a larger market in these fields. Conversely, the UK is at present relatively strong in the music sector, particularly popular music, butthis was not always so. I wellrememberthatinmyearlyteens, justbeforethe adventofthe 'Beatles', that the dominant force in this sector was the United States.
- 9. Bythemid -nineteenthcenturytheUKhadenteredintoanumberofbilateral agreementswithotherEur opeancountriessuchasBelgium,France,ItalyandSpain, providing for reciprocal protection of the authors of the respective countries, for the rewas increasingrecognitionintheUKthatcopyrightprotectionisnotonlyimportantathomebut alsoelsewh erewherethereisamarketforUKworks.Butarrangementssuchasthesewere inconsistent, and protection for authors abroad varied according to the precise terms of each bilateraltreaty. This, coupled with a growing view in the UK and other European c ountries thatitwouldbedesirabletodeterpiracyonasuniversalascaleaspossible,ledtothe adoptionin1886ofthefirstinternationalcopyrighttreaty, the Berne Convention. Under this, countriesjoiningthetreatywouldapplycommonstandardsof protectiontoeachother's nationals. As everyone knows, the Berne Convention has been extremely successful, has steadilygrowninmembership, and has developed to caterfor developments intechnology throughsuccessiverevisionsfirstatBerlinin1908 andlatterlyatParisin1971.Moreover, otherinternationaltreaties have been adopted in the field, notably the Rome Convention applyingtorelatedrights.

- 10. However, while these treaties were, and will continue to be, extremely important in setting internationally recognized standards of rights and protection which should apply to authors and other creators, there is another key aspectin relation to trade which came to be incorporated in the 1994 GATT 'TRIPS' Agreement on Trade -Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights. This goes back to what Is aidear lier, that the grant of rights is one thing, but for proper protection of intellectual property the remust also be effective enforcement of those rights.
- Asyouwillknow ,theTRIPSAgreement,inadditiontorequiringanumberofrightsto 11. begrantedtoauthors, phonogram producers, performers and broadcasters, also contains a number of obligations on enforcement of the serights. The secommence with a general requirement toprovideforeffectiveactionagainstinfringement, including expeditious remedies which prevent in fringements and act as a deterrent to further infringement, and go ontocovermoredetailedmatterssuchascourtprocedures,injunctions,damages,disp osalor destructionofinfringinggoods, provisional and border measures, and criminal procedures and penalties. As Iindicated in my previous presentation, the TRIPS Agreemental so gives memberstheabilitytotakeactioniftheyconsiderthattheobliga tionsundertheAgreement are not being fulfilled by other members, and for sanctions to be applied if this is the case. This is a major difference between TRIPS and previous copyright treaties, and indicates that countrieswerenotpreparedtoenterinto anagreementconferringwidertradebenefitswithout properrecognition and enforcement of intellectual property rights.
- 12. TRIPScontinuedtrendsininternationalcommercethathadalreadybeenseen.For example,inEurope,thereareanumber of countries eitherseekingtojointhe European Union ortohave favorable tradearrangements withit, such as regarding agricultural products. Even prior to TRIPS, the EU had been making comparable standards of protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights to those in the EU acondition of tradeor association agreements with third-countries. The United Stateshas also long placed high importance on proper protection of intellectual property in its traderelations with other countries. In deed, it would be difficult formany governments to dootherwise, not only because of considerations relevant to their economies but also because of high political pressure they are under from right owners, who naturally wish to see their interest sprotect edabroad as well as a thomas and the sum of the sum of
- 13. TheTRIPSAgreementis, of course, very relevant to the main ways in which material protected by copyrightor related rights has been exploited to date, such as by the production and distribution of tangible copies, public performance and broad casting. However, it was the product of lengthyne gotiations begun at a time when the new digital environment, and in particular the Internet, while foreseen, we renot a reality, and does not really reflect this. This new environment is now well -established and clearly has major implications for international commerce, in that it will permit many transactions to take place electronically rather than in the older ways of dealing intangible goods. In the ory at least, it will l, for example, be possible for, say, a publisher in the UK to market books throughout the world entirely electronically. 'Electronic commerce', as an alternative to trade in physical goods, has become a reality.
- 14. Clearly, creators and dissem in a torso fintellectual property wish to see their material properly protected in the new environment just as in the old, and the economic reasons for this, and political pressures to ensure that it is the case, are unchanged. But legally do copyright and related rights remain the right way to achieve the necessary protection? A few years ago an umber of articles appeared in intellectual property journals in the UK predicting

thedemiseofcopyrightinthedigitalenvironment, chiefly, itseemstome, bec auseitwas thought that even if appropriate rights were granted it would be so difficult to enforce them that in practice they would be meaningless. However, in government circles in the UK, there has never been any doubt that copyright would remain rele vant, and that the issue was one of how best to ensure that it would continue to function effectively in the digital environment. In other words, the question was one of adapting copyright to new technology, as has always been necessary over they ears, su chas, for example, when the gram ophone, broad casting, tape recorders, photocopiers and the computer came along.

- 15. Theviewthatcopyrightwouldnotbecomeredundant, butratherthatitrequired development to ensure that rights continue to apply and are enforceable in the new environment, was evidently widely shared around the world. This is important given the global nature of the Internet, which means that only an approach on a world wides cale can ensure that authors and other rights owner sremain properly protected. In the early 1990's, work therefore began under the auspices of WIPO, first on a possible protocol to the Berne Convention, a imedatupdating this to cope with the latest developments in technology. This work expanded, and, a syou will know, culminated in 1996 with the adoption at the Diplomatic Conference in December that year of two new international treaties the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) and WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT).
- 16. Thetwonewtreatie s,sometimesreferredtoasthe 'Internettreaties' areanextremely importantstepinprotectingcopyrightandrelatedrightinthedigitalenvironmentonan internationalscale, and it is clearly vital that as many countries as possible around the world ratify them as soon as the year. It is a source of regret to us the inthe UK that we have not yet been able to do so because of the relatively lengthy process of formulating EU legislation, the draft Directive on copyright and related rights in the Information Society, that will enable the EU and its members tates to ratify the treaties.
- 17. Amongthekeyaspectsofthenewtreatiesforelectroniccommerce[Slide3]istheir recognitionthatreproductionrightsapplyinthedigitalenvironment, asisreflectedinthe AgreedStatementtoArticle1(4)oftheWCT,andinArticles7and11oftheWPPTandthe AgreedStatementtothesearticles. Asecondextremely significant aspectisthe introduction -called 'makingavailable' right, which is to be found in Article 8 of the ofanewright,theso WCTandArticles10and14oftheWPPT,andenablesauthorsandotherrightownersto demand'servicessupplyingmaterialtoindividual controluseoftheirmaterialin'onconsumersonrequest. This is obv iouslyakeyrightinthenewenvironmentsince, for example, the sound recording and film industries envisage business models based on supplying products to consumer satatimethey wish, either for simply listening to or viewing athome, or formaking co piestoretainfordomesticuse. Inotherwords, on couldreplacesomeactivitiessuchasgoingtoastoretobuysoundrecordingsorvideos,orto rentavideo. Itisthereforeessential that there are communication and reproduction righ ts -demandservicestobecontrolled. whichenabletheuseofmaterialinon
- 18. Butitisnotonlythedevelopmentsinrightswhichmakethe 1996WIPOtreaties of vitalimportance. Both treaties also recognize that it is not only rights, but als otheuse of technology, which is key to the protection of copyright and related rights in the digital environment. Digital technology also of fers right owners the possibility of physically protecting their material against misuse through devices such as copy-preventing or limiting devices. Clearly, however, even this would be of little value if a situation developed where technical measures could be defeated without any possibility of redress for right owners. As you will know, the 1996 treaties therefore rerequire that technical measures are themselves

protected and that appropriate remedies are provided against those whose ektocircum vent these measures in order to infringe copyright and related rights. These aspects are to be found in Article 11 of the WCT and Article 18 of the WPPT.

- 19. Electroniccommercealsomeansthatmatterssuchascontractualarrangementsfor licensingtheuseofworksandobtainingpaymentforthiswillbehandledelectronicallyrather thanintheoldpaperform. A notherkeyaspectofthe 1996 treaties is therefore that they provide protection for electronic 'rightsmanagement information', against those whose ekto remove or alter this in order to induce, enable, facilitate or concealinfringements of copyright or related rights. By 'rightsmanagement information' is meant essentially information identifying creators and right owners, worksorother protected subject -matter, and terms or conditions of use, or codes representing the sethings. These aspects are in A wCT and Article 190fthe WPPT.
- The 1996 WIPO treaties clearly represent a vital foundation for ensuring that copyright andrelated rights are effectively protected in the electronic world, and therefore that electronictra dinginproductsbasedonintellectualpropertycanflourish.But,asIhavesaid, thetreaties now need to be put into reality in a smany countries as possible. Already we are unfortunatelyseeingthechallengestocopyrightandrelatedrightsinthedi gitalenvironment alsobecomeareality. Itseemstomethat, regrettably, there is a culture of "anything goes" among some users of the Internet, and that any attempt to apply or dinary rules of law is in somewaywrongandanaffronttosupposednewindi viduallibertiesandfreedomsofferedby the new world of the Internet. This has never been the view of the UK government which the properties of the propertiebelievesfirmlythatthelawmustgenerallyapplyon -linejustasitdoesoff -line, not only, of course, where copyright and re lated rights are concerned, but also in other are assuch as defamation, racism, obscenity and soon. The fto fintellectual property is no more acceptable on-linethanitisoff -line.
- 21. Someofyoumayhaveheardofservicessuchas 'Napster' or 'Gnutella'. Basically, theseareserviceswhichenableindividualmembersofthepublictoaccessandcopyeach other' sentirecollectionsofsoundrecordings. This sort of behavior was not acceptable in the world of tangible goods: it is even worse in the Internet environment because of the vast numbers of people that services such as 'Napster' allow to access, and illegally copy, material. Services such as 'Napster' do not in our view representanexciting new 'freedom' which should be made possible by the Internet, but something which is wrong, and tantamount to piracy because of its scale, which has to be controlled.
- 22. This illustrates that there are matters of practical detail which still have to be resolved in order to make copyright work in the Internet environment. Yes, we may have to taker steps to protect the position of innocent service providers or other intermediaries who have little or no idea of, or control over, the use to which their services are being put by the public. Bu tsurely we also have to ensure that intermediaries whose business seems to be based on encouraging or facilitating illegal acts cannot flour ish.
- 23. Clearly, a considerable amount still need sto be done to ensure continued and effective protection of intellectual property in the digital environment, and this will be an ongoing task since developments intechnology are now sorapid that who knows what a syetun foreseen forms of exploitation will emerge. Work is, of course, continuing at the international level under the auspices of WIPO to ensure that standards of copyright and related rights continue to be appropriate. This year, in December, the rewill be another Diplomatic Conference in Geneva, with the aim of completing business unfinished in 1996 and bringing standards of

performers'rightsinaudiovisualperformancesuptotheleveloftherightsinsound recordingswhichtheyalreadyenjoyundertheWPPT.Asyoumayknow,the'Basic Proposal'fordiscussionatthisConferencewaspublishe dbyWIPOlastmonth.Workon updatingtheprotectionofbroadcastersisalsounderway.Discussionswill,Ihope,also continueontheprotectionofdatabases,sinceitseemstomethatthesearesignificant commoditiesinthecontextoftheInternetan delectroniccommerce.

- ItseemstomethatWIPOisvervconsciousofthecontinuedneedtodevelopandadapt copyrighttotheelectronicenvironment, and is taking welcome leads in this. Last September, WIPOorganizedanimportantinternatio nalconferenceonElectronicCommerceand Intellectual Property, which resulted in the establishment of a ten -point'WIPODigital Agenda'orplan[Slide4], some aspects of which are summarized in this Agenda reinforcestheimportantdevelopm entstodate, such as by seeking entry into force of the 1996 WIPOtreatiesbyDecember2001, and completion of international legislative work already in-handonextendingrightssimilartothoseintheWPPTtorightsinaudiovisual performances and updati ngtherights of broadcasters. Butthe 'Agenda' also identifies are as whereotherworthwhileworkremainstobedoneifelectronictradingistoflourish.Someof thepointsdonotconcerncopyrightassuchbutothersignificantissuesinelectronic comercesuchastheregulation of domain names. But on the copyright front the Agenda envisagessuchmattersasdevelopinginternationalstandardsontheliabilityofon -lineservice providers, work on the implementation of practical systems for on -linemana gementand licensingofintellectual property, and certification of websites for compliance with intellectualpropertystandards. All, of this, it seems to me, is potentially very valuable in fosteringproperfunctioningofelectroniccommerce. The WIPO Agendaalsoenvisageswork incooperationwithotherinternationalorganizationsonissueswhichextendbeyond copyrightandrelatedrightsbutarehighlyrelevanttosuccessfulandsecureelectronictrading inintellectualpropertyproducts.Ireferhere toproposedworkonelectroniccontractsand applicablelaw.
- 25. International solutions on these issues may be someway off, but it is never the less important that we seek to find them. It seems to methat we may at some point also see a further round of GATT negotiations which leading to greater adaptation of the TRIPS Agreement to the digital environment.
- 26. Thisconcludesmypresentation. Ihopethat I have given some in sights into the importance of copyright and related rights in international tradepast, present and future. If there is one message on which I would wish to end it is that, what ever the technological challenges posed by the digital environment and the Internet effective protection of copyright and related rights in this environment is essential if tradeing ood and services based on intellectual property is to flour is h.

[Annexfollows]

ANNEX

STATEMENTBYANATIONALPARLIAMENT ABOUTTHEPURPOSESOFCOPYRIGHTLAW

"the encouragement of learning, the pre vention of the practice of piracy, and the encouragement of learnedmentowriteandcomposeusefulbooks"

This statement was made by the Parliament of England which enacted the 1709 copyright Statute of Queen Anne.

WIPO/DA/MVD/00/5 Annex,page 2

ESTIMATED VALUE OF THE CREATIVE INDUSTRIES IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

Overallcontribution 4-5% of GDP to the UK

economy:

Employment: 1.4millionpeople

<u>Exports</u> <u>Tradebalance</u> (perannum)

Film: £500m -?

Leisure £400m + £225m

software:

Music: £1500m + £570m

Publishing: £1900m + £900m

Broadcasting: £235m -£282m

WIPO/DA/MVD/00/5 Annex,page 3

KEYASPECTSOFTHE1996WIPOTREATIES FORELECTRONICCOMMERCE

 Reproductionrightappliesinthedigital environment

> AgreedstatementtoArticle1(4)ofWCT Articles7&11 ofWPPT,andagreedstatement

'Makingavailable'or'on -demand'right

Article8ofWCT
Articles10&14ofWPPT

Protectionoftechnologicalmeasures

Article11ofWCT Article18ofWPPT

Protectionofelectronicrightsmanagement information

Article12ofWCT Article19ofWPPT

WIPO/DA/MVD/00/5 Annex,page 4

WIPO'DIGITALAGENDA'

- EntryintoforceofWCT&WPPTbyDecember 2001
- Completionofworkin -hand, such as on audiovisual performances and rights of broadcasters
- Developinternationalrulesontheliabilityo fon lineserviceproviders
- Workonpractical systems for on line management and licensing of copyright and related rights
- Certificationofwebsitesforcompliancewith intellectualpropertystandards
- Workincooperationwithothersonelectronic contracts and applicable law

[EndofAnnexandofdocument]