



BEUNG
TRATY

THE PERFORMERS' PERSPECTIVE



I, HM; A SHORT PRESENTATION

- Founded in 1948 Headquarters in Paris, France
- Objectives
 - Unite professional musicians organizations worldwide
 - Protect and promote their economic and social right
- Members in 65 countries (unions, guilds, associations)
- 3 Regional groups (Africa, Latin America, Europe)
- Accredited NGO (WIPO, UNESCO, ILO)
- Lobbying, training, networking



II. AUDIOVISUAL & MUSICIANS

The AV sector depends on music

- Music = key component of any audiovisual work
- ✓ A few famous exceptions (Hitchcock's "The Birds")
- Protect and promote their economic and social right
- Music can be
 - Embodied for the specific purpose of an audiovisual product
 - A pre-existing sound recording that is later synchronized with images
 - Both (video music and CD)
- Musicians can be hired to perform on TV shows...



II. AUDIOVISUAL & MUSICIANS (2)

Musicians may be "audiovisual performers" but...

- They do not necessarily have a contractual relationship with the AV producer
- √ 3 possibilities:
 - Simultaneous fixation of sound and image
 - Fixation for the purpose of an audiovisual work
 - Re-use of existing sound fixation
- Audio legal framework / AV legal framework
- Terms and conditions need to be carefully arranged



III. PRODUCERS AND MUSICIANS PERSPECTIVES

- Producers say that they need to own the performers' exclusive rights in order to secure an adequate exploitation of their productions
- May be true in the AV sector where investments are massive
- Most performers live in precarious social condition
 - Short terms contracts
 - Uneasy or no access to social security, pension or unemployment schemes
 - Low wages
- IP remuneration is needed to make ends meet
- Weak bargaining position: contractual arrangements do not provide for fair remunerations



IV. THE INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION FOR PERFORMERS

Renewed international IP norms were needed in order to adapt the stakeholders' protection to technological changes

- Rome Convention, 1961
- WPPT, 1996
- Both treaties combine exclusive rights + a right to equitable remuneration
- In practice, remuneration rights (Art.12, Rome Convention & Art.15, WPPT) are vital to performers
- The WPPT was a significant step forward to meet the challenges of the Internet, but failed to achieve a protection for AV performances



V. THE BEIJING TREATY (BTAP)

The Beijing Treaty closed an unfair gap. It is a crucial step forward and needs to be massively ratified. <u>However</u>, ratification without proper implementation would be meaningless

- This treaty is about the protection of AV performances. It would make no sense if performers did not receive adequate remuneration whilst other stakeholders do
- With the exception of a few famous artists, performers do not have the bargaining power to negotiate a fair remuneration in exchange for the transfer of their exclusive rights
- In countries without a strong tradition of social dialogue and robust CBAs, remuneration rights may be the only way to achieve a fair balance between stakeholders



VI. WHAT MUSICIANS EXPECT FROM THE BEIJINGTREATY (1)

- Clarifying the legal status of a pre-existing phonogram that is embodied in a AV product, in particular, what should be a "fair" result of combination of art. 1 of BTAP and art. 2b of WPPT
- Art.11 of BTAP refers to the same right as art.12 of the RC and Art.
 15 of the WPPT. However, it provides for an entirely "à la carte" solution, with an exclusive right as the default option
 - A transposition based on art. 11.2 is the fair way to go, except in a few countries where performers are covered by solid CBAs
 - ✓ A mere systematic transposition of art. 11.1 would result in most of the performers being deprived from any remuneration



VI. WHAT MUSICIANS EXPECT FROM THE BEIJINGTREATY (2)

- In 2000, a fierce opposition regarding the wording of Article 12 on the transfer of rights was the main reason for the failure of the Diplomatic Conference
- After years of discussions, parties agreed upon a balanced solution, with options aimed to fit different national contexts
- Option 12-1 reflects on the US system, in which trade unions hold a strong bargaining position
- Option 12-2 provides a minimum guarantee to performers when trade unions are able to conclude CBAs, which is a minority
- Option 12-3, which provides for the payment of royalties or an equitable remuneration is relevant for the vast majority of countries, as a means to ensure that the objectives of the treaty are reached



VI, WHAT MUSICIANS EXPECT FROM THE BEIJINGTREATY (3)

- Art.12-3 may also be combined with Art.10 of the BTAP (right of making available of fixed performances)
- In practice, such a measure would allow performers to enjoy an unwaivable right to receive a royalty or an equitable remuneration when their performances are made available on demand, even after the transfer of their exclusive right
- Given the changes in music consumption and the absence of fair compensation when the performers' right of the making available is transferred, FIM considers Art.12-3 provides for an adequate mechanism and should therefore be transposed into all national legislations



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF MUSICIANS

21 bis rue Victor Massé 75009 Paris office@fim-musicians.org
www.fim-musicians.org

