



SCCR/9/11 Corr.
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: September 1,2003

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

GENEVA

STANDINGCOMMITTEEO NCOPYRIGHT ANDRELATEDRIGHTS

NinthSession Geneva,June23to27,2003

CORRIGENDUMTOTHER EPORT

submittedby India

The Secretaria to fWIPO has been informed by the Delegation of India of the revision of two paragraphs in the Report, which should read as follows:

"29. TheDelegationofIndiainformedtheCommitteethattherehadbeenwide ranging discussions in the previous Standing Committee with particular emphasison webcastersandcablecasters, and that, as a result, its view was that it was premature to movetowardsatreatywheneventhedefinitionofthesetermswasvague. Broadcasting organizationswe realreadyprotectedinitscountryundertheCopyrightAct.While discussing the new treaty, the interests of the general publicand consumers were completelyignored. Nonewtreaty could give more protection to broadcasting organizations, webcastersa ndcable casters than what was given to authors and performers. If it was the investment interest of webcasters, the Delegation urged that suchaninterestwasnotthepropersubjectofcopyrightandrelatedrights, as no creative intellectualeffortwasi nvolved.Newrightsforwebcastersandcablecasterswould createanotherlayerbetweentheusersandthecreatorsorauthors. The fifty -yearterm ofprotectionincludedinseveralproposalswouldbetotallyagainsttheinterestsofthe publicandwillsh rinktheavailabilityofmaterialinpublicdomain. Thus, the question ofwhetheranewtreatywasnecessaryandwhatwouldbeitsimpact, shouldbe carefullyconsidered.

SCCR/9/11Corr. page 2

 $``90. \ \ The Delegation of Indianoted that national treatments hould be made subject to the national security and public interest of the countries from which the broadcast originated or transmitted."$

[Endofdocument]