WIPO/IPTK/MCT/02/INF.7

ORIGINAL:English
DATE:November2001





UNDERTHEPATRONAGE OF HISHIGHNESSSAYYID FAISALBINALIALSA ID

WIPOINTERNATIONALF ORUMON"INTELLECTUA LPROPERTY ANDTR ADITIONALKNOWLEDGE: OURIDENTITY,OURF UTURE"

organizedby
theWorldIntellectualPropertyOrganization(WIPO)
incooperationwith
theGovernmentoftheSultanateofOman

Muscat, January 21 and 22, 2002

INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF COPYRIGHTAN DRELATEDRIGHTS

 $Document prepared by the {\it International Bureau of WIPO}$

I. INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT PROTECTION

- A. TheBerneConvention
- $1. \quad Development of Copyright from the First National Laws to the Berne Convention$
- 1. Theoriginsofcopyrightar ecloselyrelatedtothedevelopmentofprinting, which enabledrapidproductionofcopiesofbooksatrelativelylowcost. The growth of literacy created a largedemand for printed books, and the protection of authors and publishers from unauthorized copying was recognized as increasingly important in the context of this new means of making works available to the public. The first copyright laws were enacted as a result.
- 2. TheStatuteofAnne,enactedbytheBritishParliamentin1710,wasthe world'sfirst copyrightlaw.Itprovidedthat,afterthelapseofacertainperiod,theprivilegeenjoyedbythe Stationers'Companytomakeanddistributecopiesofworks,wouldreverttotheauthorsof theworks,whothenhadtherighttoassignthepr ivilegetoanotherpublisher.Failureto registerthebookpreventedanactionfordamagesagainstaninfringer,butdidnotinvalidate copyright.TheStatuteofAnneservedtopromotecompetitioninthepublishingbusinessby restrictingmonopolies,and recognizedtheauthorastheholderoftherighttoauthorize copying.
- 3. From this beginning, copyrights pread into other countries. Den mark recognized the rightsofauthorsinanOrdinanceof1741.In1790,theUnitedStatesofAmericapromu lgated itsfirstfederalcopyrightstatute.Inpre -RevolutionaryFrance,copyrightbelongedto publishers in the form of a privilege granted by the sovereign. During the Revolution, two decreesof1791and1793establishedtheprotectionofauthorsofli teraryandartisticworks. InGermany, whereprinting originated, copyright principles first emerged in the form of rules regulating publishing agreements. In the mid -nineteenthcentury,thevariousGermanStates enactedlawsrecognizingauthorsastheo wnersofrightsintheirworks. Aroundthesame time, laws were passed in Austria and Spain. National codificational so took place in some of the Latin American countries following their independence: in Chile (1834), Peru (1849), Argentina(1869) and M exico(1871).
- 4. Itisawell -establishedprinciplethatcopyrightisterritorialinnature,thatis,that protectionunderagivencopyrightlawisavailableonlyinthecountrywherethatlawapplies. Thus,forworkstobeprotectedoutsidethcountryoforigin,itisnecessaryforthecountryto concludebilateralagreementswithcountrieswheretheworksareused.Inthemid -nineteenth century,suchbilateralagreementswereconcludedamongEuropeannations,buttheywere neitherconsistent norcomprehensive.Asaresultoftheneedforauniformsystemof protection,thefirstinternationalagreementforprotectionoftherightsofauthorswas concludedandadoptedonSeptember9,1886,inBerne,Switzerland:theBerneConvention forthe ProtectionofLiteraryandArtisticWorks.Thecountrieswhichadoptedthe ConventionformedtheBerneUniontoensurethattherightsofauthorsinallmember countrieswererecognizedandprotected.TheBerneConventionisadministeredbythe WorldInt ellectualPropertyOrganization(WIPO)inGeneva,Switzerland.
- 5. The 1886 text of the Convention has been revised several times to take into account the fundamental changes in the means of creation, use and dissemination of literary and artistic

workswhichhavetakenplaceovertheyears,mostlyresultingfromtechnological development. The first major revision took place in Berlinin 1908, followed by the Rome revision in 1928, the Brussels revision in 1948, the Stockholm revision in 1967, and the Paris revision in 1971.

- 6. TheStockholmrevisionwasaresponsenotonlytotechnologicalchangethathadtaken placesincetheBrusselsrevisionof1948,butalsoaresponsetotheneedsofnewly independentdevelopingcountriesforaccess toworksforthepurposeofnationaleducation, andanattempttoreorganizetheadministrativeandstructuralframeworkoftheBerneUnion. PreferentialprovisionsfordevelopingcountriesadoptedinStockholmwererefinedfurtherat theParisRevision Conferencein1971.ThesubstantiveprovisionsoftheStockholmAct neverenteredintoforce;theywereadoptedbytheParisRevisionConferenceinsubstantially unchangedform.Atpresent,147countriesarepartytotheBerneConvention.
- 7. Inrecentyears, accessions to the Berne Convention have accelerated, due to the growing awareness that copyright protection is a crucial part of the new global trading system; international tradeing oods and services protected by intellectual propertyri ghts is a booming, worldwide business, and both developed and developing countries have recognized that it is in their interest to provide strong protection of intellectual property rights in order to participate in the benefits of such trade. The Agreeme nton the Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement), which in corporates the substantive provisions of the Paris Act of the Berne Convention (see below), is clear proof of the importance now attached to intellectual property otection by many countries of the world.
- 2. The 1971 Paris Act of the Berne Convention
 - $a. \quad \textit{BasicElementsoftheProtectionGrantedUndertheConvention}$
- 8. TherearetwobasicelementsofprotectionundertheBerneConvention:first, "nationa l treatment," accordingtowhichworksoriginatinginoneofthememberStatesmustbe protectedineachofthememberStatesinthesamewaythatsuchStatesprotecttheworksof theirownnationals; second, minimum rights, which means that the lawsofm emberStates must provide the minimum levels of protectionestablished by the Convention.
 - b. Formality FreeProtection
- 9. The Berne Convention provides that copyright protection may not be conditioned on compliance with any formality, such as registration or deposit of copies.
 - c. WorksProtected
- 10. Article2containsanillustrative,non -exhaustivelistofprotectedworks,whichinclude "anyoriginalproductionintheliterary,scientificandartisticdomain,whatevermaybethe modeorformofitsexpression." Worksbasedonotherworks, suchastranslations, adaptations, arrangementsofmusicandotheralterationsofaliteraryorartisticwork, arealso protected(Article2(3)). Somecategoriesofworks may be excluded from protection; thus, member States may deny protection to official texts of a legislative, administrative and legal nature (Article2(4)), worksof applied art (Article2(7)), lectures, addresses and other or al works (Article2 bis(2). Furthermore, Article2(2) a llows States to require that works must be fixed in some material formin or derto be protected. For example, in a country with such a

fixationrequirement, awork of choreography could only be protected once the movements were written down indance not at ion or recorded on video tape.

d. Owners of Rights

11. Article2(6)oftheConventionprovidesthatprotectionundertheConventionisto operateforthebenefitoftheauthorandhissuccessorsintitle.Forsomecategoriesofworks, however,s uchascinematographicworks(Article14 bis),ownershipofcopyrightisamatter forlegislationinthecountrywhereprotectionisclaimed;forexample,memberStatesmay providethattheinitialownerofrightsinsuchworksistheproducer,ratherthan thedirector, screenwriter,orotherpersonswhocontributedtocreationofthework.

e. EligibilityforProtection

12. Article3providesforprotectionofauthorswhoarenationalsorresidentsofaState partytotheConvention(thatis,ac ountrywhichisamemberofthe"BerneUnion");authors whoarenotnationalsorresidentsofsuchacountryareprotectediftheyfirstpublishtheir worksinamembercountry,orsimultaneouslypublishinanon -memberandamember country.

f. Rights Protected

- The exclusive economic rights granted to authors under the Convention include the right oftranslation(Article8), the right of reproduction "in any manner or form" (Article9), the rightofpublicperformanceofdramatic,dramatico -musicalandmusicalworks(Article11), therightofbroadcastingandcommunicationtothepublicbywire, byre -broadcastingorby loudspeakeroranyotheranalogousinstrumentofthebroadcastofthework(Article11 bis), therightofpublicrecitation(Article11ter),therightofadaptation(Article12),therightof makingcinematographicadaptationandreproductionofworks, and the right of distribution of theworksthusadaptedandreproduced(Article14). Theso -called"droitdesuite"provided forinArticle14 ter (concerningoriginalworksofartandoriginalmanuscripts)isoptional, andmaybesubjecttoreciprocity; that is, countries with laws which recognize the droit de suiteareonlyobligatedtoapplyittoforeignworksiflegislationi nthecountrytowhichthe authorofsuchworksbelongsalsorecognizesit.
- 14. Independentlyoftheauthor's economic rights, Article 6 bis provides for recognition of so-called "moral rights", the right of the author to claim author ship of his work and to object to any distortion, mutilation or other modification of, or other derogatory action in relation to, the work which would be prejudicial to his honor or reputation.

g. Limitations

- 15. Inordertomaintainanappropriatebalance betweentheinterestsofcopyrightowners andusersofprotectedworks,theBerneConventionallowscertainlimitationsoneconomic rights,thatis,casesinwhichprotectedworksmaybeusedwithouttheauthorizationofthe ownerofthecopyright,andwi thoutpaymentofcompensation. Theselimitations are commonlyreferredtoas "freeuses" of protectedworks, and are set for thin Articles 9(2) (reproductionincertainspecial cases), 10 (quotations and use of works by way of illustration for teaching purposes), 10 bis (reproduction of newspaperor similar articles and use of works for the purpose of reporting current events), and 11 bis (3) (ephemeral recordings for broadcasting purposes).
- 16. TherearetwocasesinwhichtheBerneConventionprovi desthepossibilityofnon voluntarylicenses:inArticles11 *bis*(2)(inrespectoftherightofbroadcastingand communicationtothepublicbywire,byre -broadcastingorbyloudspeakeroranyother analogousinstrumentofthebroadcastofthework)and1 3(1)(inrespectoftherightofsound recordingofmusicalworks,therecordingofwhichhasalreadybeenauthorized). The AppendixtotheParisActoftheConventionalsopermitsdevelopingcountriestoimplement non-voluntarylicensesfortranslationa ndreproductionofworksincertaincases,in connectionwitheducationalactivities(seesection (i)below).

h. Duration of Protection

- 17. Article7establishestheminimumtermofprotection,whichisthelifeoftheauthorand 50yearsafter hisdeath.Thereareexceptionstothisbasicruleforcertaincategoriesof works.Forcinematographicworks,thetermmaybe50yearsaftertheworkhasbeenmade availabletothepublic,or,ifnotmadeavailable,50yearsafterthemakingofsuchaw ork. Forphotographicworksandworksofappliedart,theminimumtermofprotectionis25years fromthemakingofthework(Article 7(4)).Inrespectofmoralrights,thedurationof protectionofmoralrightsmustbeforatleastaslongasthedurati onorprotectionfor economicrights.
 - i. Preferential ProvisionsConcerning DevelopingCountries
- 18. The 1971 Paris Actof the Berne Convention was primarily intended to ensure the universal effect of the Convention, and to simplify its operation, particularly in relation to the growing number of newly independent States facing difficulties in the early stages of their economic, so cial and cultural development as independent nations. The special provisions concerning developing countries were in corporated in an Appendix which now forms an integral part of the Convention.
- 19. UndertheAppendix,countrieswhichareregardedasdevelopingcountriesin conformitywiththeestablishedpracticeoftheGeneralAssemblyoftheUnitedNationsma y, undercertainconditions,departfromtheminimumstandardsofprotectionprovidedinrespect oftherightsofreproductionandtranslation.
- 20. The Appendix to the Berne Convention provides developing countries with the possibility of granting non-voluntary licenses in respect of (i) translation for the purpose of teaching, scholar ship or research, and (ii) reproduction for use in connection with systematic instructional activities, of works protected under the Convention; the terms ystematic

instructionalactivities including systematicout -of-schoolornon -formale ducation. These licenses may be granted under certain conditions to any national of a developing country which has duly availed itselfofone or both of the faculties provided for in the Appendix concerning such compulsory licenses.

2. MainAdvantagesofAccedingtotheBerneConvention

- 21. AmajorpracticaladvantagetoacountryinadheringtotheBerneConventionisthat worksofitsauthorsareautomaticallyprotected inallcountriespartytotheConvention, with theresultthattheseauthorsmayderivefinancialbenefitsfromtheexpansionofmarketsfor theirworks. Adherencemayalsoreducetheincentiveofnationalauthorstoseekpublishers and distributorsoft heirworksincountrieswhicharealreadymembersoftheConventionasa meansofobtainingprotectioninallmembercountries. Further, the competitive position of nationalauthors in the domestic market may be improved, because, once the country is a member of the Berne Convention, the worksoff or eignauthors can only be distributed with their permission, and no longer at pricesset lower than domestic works, for which authorization would be required for distribution.
- Therearealsoadvanta gesofamacroeconomicnature.Regardlessofitslevelofsocial 22. oreconomicdevelopment, by joining the Berne Convention acountry becomes part of the international system for protection of authors' rights, and by extension, the international tradingsys temforgoodsandservicesprotectedbycopyright. This is important for exchange ofculture, entertainment, information, and technology; moreover, as the inclusion of the substantivestandardsoftheBerneConventionintheTRIPSAgreementdemonstrates, observance of minimum standards of intellectual property protection is virtually in dispensableinorderforacountrytoachieveeconomicallysignificantlevelsoftrade -basedforeign exchange.MembershipintheBerneUnionsendsanimportantsignalthat thecountryis willingtoexertthepoliticalwillnecessarytoprotecttherightsofauthorsfromother countries; this signal may also be a pre -conditiontosuccessfulinternational cooperation, including attracting for eigninvestment in sectors of the economyotherthanintellectual property. For example, the emergence of a "global information in frastructure" (GII) may havetheeffectthatinternationalinvestmentbecomesmulti -sectoraltoanunprecedented extent;effectivedevelopmentoftheGIIwil lrequirestate -of-the-arttelecommunications infrastructure, advanced computernetworks, and a steady supply of entertainment -and information-basedgoodsandservices, inordertofunction on a worldwide basis with benefits forallcountries.Insum,me mbershipintheBerneUnion,anachievementinitself,has becomeapieceofamuchlargerpuzzle; without effective copyright protection for all works, foreignanddomestic, countries may find themselves deprived of timely access to needed informationth twill become increasingly a condition to economic and cultural survival in the twenty-firstcentury.
- 23. AfinalpointshouldbemadeconcerningthecosttocountriesofaccessiontoBerne: the GoverningBodiesofWIPOandtheUnionsadministeædbyWIPOadopted,in September 1993,aunitarycontributionsystem.Underthatsystem,aStatepaysthesame contributionirrespectiveofthenumberoftreatiestowhichitisaparty.

B. TheTRIPSAgreement

- 24. The TRIPS Agreement, conclude din 1994 as part of the Uruguay Round of negotiations under the former GATT (now the World Trade Organization) also contains provisions on copyright protection. It provides that member countries shall comply with Articles 1 to 21 of, and with the Appendix to, the 1971 Paris Act of the Berne Convention (generally speaking, the substantive provisions of the Convention). There is one important exception: the Agreement provides that no rights or obligations are created in respect of moral rights. It also contains a provision stating the well -known principle that copyright protection extends to expressions, not to ideas, procedures, methods of operation or mathematical concepts.
- 25. InadditiontoitsincorporationofBerneConventionstandards,the TRIPSAgreement requires that the laws of member States make clear that computer programs are protected as literary works under the Convention. The Agreement also states that compilations of data shall be protected as original creations, provided that the symeet the criteria of originality by reason of these lection or arrangement of their contents, regardless of whether the compilation exists in machine-readable or other form, and without prejudice to protection under copyright or otherwise of the material lincluded. The Agreement provides a right in respect of commercial rental of copies of computer programs and audiovisual works; the right does not apply to the latter works, however, unless rental practices have led to wide spread copying which is "materially impairing" the exclusive right of reproduction.
- 26. Thedurationofprotectionis50yearsfollowingthedeathoftheauthor, and, forworks inrespectof which the term cannot be calculated on the basis of the author's life, 50 years from the end of the year of authorized publication or from making of the work. Limitations on rights are to be confined to special cases which do not conflict with an ormal exploitation of the work and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the author. The TRIPS Agreement also contains detailed provisions onen forcement of intellectual property rights, including copyright. Finally, a mechanism applies with regard to the settlement of disputes a mongmembers concerning compliance with the Agreement.

II. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS IN THE FIELD OF RELATED RIGHTS

27. Thispartofthepresentationisdevotedtotheinternationalconventionsinthefieldof relatedrights,namely,theInternationalConventionfortheProtectionofPerforme rs, ProducersofPhonogramsandBroadcastingOrganizations(Rome,1961,knownastheRome Convention),theConventionfortheProtectionofProducersofPhonogramsAgainst UnauthorizedDuplicationofTheirPhonograms(Geneva,1971,knownasthePhonograms Convention),andtheConventionRelatingtotheDistributionofProgramme -CarryingSignals TransmittedbySatellite(Brussels,1974,knownastheSatellitesConvention).Relevant provisionsoftheTRIPSAgreementwillalsobediscussed.

- A. TheRomeCon vention
- 1. GenesisoftheRomeConvention
- 28. Relatedrightsareprimarilyaresultoftechnologicaldevelopment. The first organized support for protection of related rights came from the phonogram industry, which sought (and gained, at least incountries following the common law tradition) protection under copyright law against unauthorized copying of phonogram sunder copyright. In the United Kingdom, for example, the Copyright Act 1911 granted acopyright to producers of sound recordings, and this copyright approach has been followed in countries such as the United States and Australia. The development of the phonogram industry also led to the first expressions of support for protection of the rights of performers who seper formances were included in phonograms.
- 29. Attheinternationallevel, the first proposal sconcerning protection of producers of phonograms and performers took format the 1928 Romediplomatic conference to revise the BerneConvention. Around the same time, the Int ernationalLaborOffice(ILO)tookan interestinthestatusofperformersasemployedworkers. Furtherdiscussionstookplaceatthe Brusselsrevisionconferencein 1948, where it became clear that, due to the opposition of authors' groups, legal protection of the rights of performers and producers of phonograms $would not be provided under copyright, although the rewas support for development of an {\tt and the content of the content of$ internationalinstrumentprovidingadequateprotection. Different committees of experts prepareddraftconv entions, including the rights of broadcasting organizations. Finally, in 1960, a committee of experts convened jointly by BIRPI (United International Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property, the predecessor organization to WIPO), United Nation S Educational, Scientificand Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and the ILO, metat The Hague anddrewupthedraftconventionwhichservedasabasisforthedeliberationsinRome, where aDiplomaticConferenceagreeduponthefinaltextoftheInternational Conventionforthe Protection of performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations, theso calledRomeConvention,onOctober26,1961.
- 2. RelationshipBetweentheProtectionofRelatedRightsandCopyright
- The Diplomatic Conference at Romeestablished, in Article 1 of the Rome Convention, theso -called "safeguard clause," which provides that the protection granted under the Conventionshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffecttheprotectionofcopyrightinliterary and artisticworks. Consequently, no provision of the Rome Convention may be interpreted asprejudicingsuchprotection. Under Article 1, it is clear that whenever the authorization of theauthorisnecessary for the use of his work, then eed for this author izationisnotaffected by the Rome Convention. The Convention also provides that in order to be come party to the absolute of the convention of the conventionConvention, a State must not only be a member of the United Nations, but also a member of the United Nations, and the United Nations and thetheBerneUnionorpartytotheUniversalCopyright Convention(Article24(2)). Accordingly,aContractingStateshallceasetobeapartytotheRomeConventionasfrom that time when it is not party to either the Berne or the Universal Copy right Convention(Article28(4)).Because of this link with the copyrightconventions, the Rome Convention is sometimesreferredtoasa" closed" convention, sinceitis only opento States which meet the aboverequirements.

- 3. The Principle of National Treatment Under the Rome Convention
- 31. LiketheBerne Convention,protectionaccordedbytheRomeConventionconsists basicallyofthenationaltreatmentthataStategrantsunderitsdomesticlawtodomestic performances,phonogramsandbroadcasts(Article2(1)).Nationaltreatmentis,however, subject to theminimumlevelsofprotectionspecificallyguaranteedbytheConvention,and alsotothelimitationsprovidedforintheConvention(Article2(2)).Thatmeansthat,apart fromtherightsguaranteedbytheConventionitselfasconstitutingtheminimumo fprotection, and subject to specific exceptions or reservations allowed for bythe Convention, performers, producers of phonograms and broadcasting or ganization senjoy the same rights in Contracting States as those countries grant to their nationals.

4. EligibilityforProtection

- 32. Performersareentitledtonationaltreatmentiftheperformancetakesplaceinanother ContractingState(irrespectiveofthecountrytowhichtheperformerbelongs)orifitis incorporatedinaphonogramprotecte dundertheConvention(irrespectiveofthecountryto whichtheperformerbelongsorwheretheperformanceactuallytookplace)orifitis transmitted"live"(notfromaphonogram)inabroadcastprotectedbytheConvention(again, irrespectiveoftheco untrytowhichtheperformerbelongs)(Article4). These alternative criteria of eligibility for protection are intended to ensure application of the Rome Convention to the largest possible number of performances.
- 33. Producersofphonogramsare entitledtonationaltreatmentiftheyarenationalsof anotherContractingState(criterionofnationality),ifthefirstfixationwasmadeinanother ContractingState(criterionoffixation),orifthephonogramwasfirstorsimultaneously publishedin anotherContractingState(criterionofpublication)(Article5).
- 34. The Conventional lows reservations in respect of these alternative criteria. By means of anotification deposited with the Secretary General of the United Nations, any Contract ing Statemay at any time declare that it will not apply the criterion of publication or, alternatively, the criterion of fixation. Any State which, on the day the Convention was signed at Rome, granted protection to producers of phonograms solely on the basis of the criterion of fixation, can exclude both the criteria of nationality and publication. Thus the implementation of the Rome Convention can easily be adapted to conditions of protection already existing under different national laws.
- 35. Broadcastingorganizationsareentitledtonationaltreatmentiftheirheadquartersis situatedinanotherContractingState(principleofnationality),orifthebroadcastwas transmittedfromatransmittersituatedinanotherContractingState,irrespec tiveofwhether theinitiatingbroadcastingorganizationwassituatedinaContractingState(principleof territoriality).ContractingStatesmaydeclarethattheywillprotectbroadcastsonlyifboththe conditionofnationalityandofterritorialityar emetinrespectofthesameContractingState (Article6).

- 5. The Minimum Protection Required by the Convention
- 36. TheminimumprotectionguaranteedbytheConventiontoperformersisprovidedby "thepossibilityofpreventing" certainacts donewithouttheirconsent. Insteadofenumerating theminimumrightsofperformers, this expression was used in order to allow countries like the United Kingdom to continue to protect performers by virtue of penal statutes, determining offenses and penal sanction sunder public law. It was a greed, however, that the enumerated acts which may be prevented by the performer require his consentinad vance. Performers are to be granted the "possibility of preventing" (i) broad casting or communication to the push of a "live" performance; (ii) recording a nunfixed performance; (iii) reproducing a fixation of the performer of the performer of the performer of the performer (Article 7).
- 37. Producersofphonogramsareprovided the right to authorize or prohibit the director indirect reproduction of their phonograms (Article 10). The Rome Conventionals oprovides for the payment of equitable remuneration for broadcasting and communication to the public of phonograms (see below).
- 38. Broadcastingorganizationshavetherighttoauthorizeorprohibit(i)thesimultaneous rebroadcastingoftheirbroadcasts,(ii)thefixation oftheirbroadcasts,(iii)thereproductionof unauthorizedfixationsoftheirbroadcastsorreproductionoflawfulfixationsforillicit purposes,and(iv)thecommunicationtothepublicoftheirtelevisionbroadcastsbymeansof receiversinplacesacce ssibletothepublicagainstpayment(Article13).Itshouldbenoted thatthislast -mentionedrightdoesnotextendtocommunicationtothepublicofmerelysound broadcasts,andthatitisamatterfordomesticlegislationtodeterminetheconditionsun der whichsucharightmaybeexercised.ItshouldalsobeobservedthattheRomeConvention doesnotprotectagainstcabledistributionofbroadcasts.
- 6. ProvisionsforDiscretionaryRegulationoftheExerciseofRights
- 39. Inlightofthefac tthattheRomeConventionwascreatedatatimewhenfewcountries hadlegislationprotectingallthreecategoriesofbeneficiaries,theConventionincluded provisionsallowingnationallegislatorsacertaindegreeofdiscretioninimplementingit.
- 40. Inrespectoftheprotectionofperformers, protectionagainstrebroadcasting and fixation of performances for broadcasting purposes, where the performer has consented to broadcasting, is left to national law. The existence of contractual arrangeme nts for use of performances was recognized in a provision stating that performers cannot be deprived of the ability to control by contract their relations with broadcasting organizations (Article 7(2)); it was understood, likewise, that the meaning of "contract" in this context includes collective agreements and decisions of arbitration boards. Another area where member States were allowed discretion was in respect of the participation of more than one performer in a performance; Article 8 of the Rome Convention provides that, if several performers participate in the same performance, the manner in which they should be represented in connection with the exercise of their rights may be specified by each Contracting State.

- 41. Perhapsthemostnot oriousprovisionoftheConventionwhichprovidesdiscretionto StatesisArticle12,concerningwhathascometobeknownas"secondaryuse"of phonograms.Itprovidesthatifaphonogrampublishedforcommercialpurposesisused directlyforbroadcastin goranycommunicationtothepublic,anequitableremunerationshall bepaidbytheusertotheperformers,totheproducersofthephonogram,ortoboth.The articledoesnotgrantanexclusiverighteithertoperformersorproducersofphonogramsin respectofsecondaryuseofaphonogram;rather,byprovidingforasingleremuneration,it seemstoestablishakindofnon -voluntarylicense.Yet,Article12doesnotspecifythat paymentofremunerationismandatoryforeitherbeneficiary;itstatesonlythatatleastoneof themshouldbepaidfortheuse,andthat,intheabsenceofagreementbetweentheseparties, domesticlawmayestablishconditionsforsharingoftheremuneration.
- 42. ApartfromtheflexibilityallowedtoStatesinimplem entingtheobligationitself,under Article16aStatemaydeclarethatitwillnotapplytheprovisionsofArticle12atall,orthatit willnotapplythearticleinrespectofcertainuses,suchascommunicationtothepublicother thanbroadcasting.I tisalsopossibletoapplyArticle12onlyasregardsphonogramsofwhich theproducerisanationalofanotherContractingState.Furthermore,asregardsphonograms ofwhichtheproducerisanationalofanotherContractingState,theextentandtermof protectioncanbelimitedtothatgrantedbytheotherStateconcerned.

7. Limitations

- 43. LiketheBerneConvention,theRomeConventionpermitsmemberStatestoestablish certainlimitationsonrights.Statesmayprovideforlimitationsallo wingprivateuse,useof shortexcerptsinconnectionwithreportingcurrentevents,ephemeralfixationbya broadcastingorganizationbymeansofitsownfacilitiesandforitsownbroadcasts,anduses solelyforthepurposeofteachingorscientificrese arch(Article15(1)).Inadditiontothe limitationsspecifiedbytheConvention,Statesmayalsoestablishthesamekindsof limitationswithregardtotheprotectionofperformers,producersofphonogramsand broadcastingorganizations,astheyprovide inconnectionwithcopyrightprotection,except thatcompulsorylicensesmaybeprovidedonlytotheextenttowhichtheyarecompatible withtheRomeConvention(Article15(2)).
- 44. From the stand point of the rights of performers, Article 19 oft he Convention provides a significant limitation, second only to Article 12 in the controversy it has generated over the years since the Convention was established. Article 19 provides as follows: "Not with standing anything in this Convention, once aperformer has consented to the incorporation of his performance in a visual or audiovisual fixation, Article 7 [which sets out the rights of performers] shall have no further application. "Article 19 was intended to ensure that the Convention did not apply to the cinema industry, because film producers feared in cursions on their interests if performers were to enjoy rights in films. Article 19 does not, however, affect performers 'freedom of contractin connection with the making of audiovisual fixations.

8. Duration of Protection

45. TheminimumtermofprotectionundertheRomeConventionistwentyyearsfromthe endoftheyearinwhich(i)thefixationwasmade,asfarasphonogramsandperformances incorporatedthereinareconcerned,or(ii)t heperformancetookplace,asregards performancesnotincorporatedinphonograms,or(iii)thebroadcasttookplace,forbroadcasts (Article14).

9. RestrictionofFormalities

46. Ifacountryrequirescompliancewithformalities as a condition of protecting related rights in relation to phonograms, these are fulfilled if all commercial copies of the published phonogram or its packaging bear anotice consisting of the symbol "P," accompanied by the year date of the first publication. If the copies or their packaging do not identify the producer or his licensee, the notice shall also include the name of the owner of the rights or the producer and, if the copies or packaging do not identify the principal performers, the notice shall also include the name of the person who owns the performers 'rights (Article 11).

10. ImplementationoftheRomeConvention

47. TheRomeConventionhasbeenreferredtoasa"pioneerconvention."Whilethe copyrightconventionsconcludedattheendofthen ineteenthcenturyfollowedinthewakeof nationallaws,theRomeConventionelaboratedstandardsofrelatedrightsprotectionatatime whenveryfewcountrieshadoperativelegalrulesprotectingperformers,producersof phonogramsandbroadcastingorgan izations. Thenumberofcountriespartytothe Conventionisgrowing,however(presentlyitstandsat67),anditsinfluenceonthe developmentofnationallegislationhasbeensignificant:since1961,anumberofcountries havelegislatedontheprotect ionofrelatedrights,increasingthenumberofnationallaws protectingproducersofphonogramsorbroadcastingorganizations. Agrowingnumberof Stateshavealsograntedspecificprotectiontoperformers.

B. OtherInternationalConventionsintheFie ldofRelatedRights

- 48. Thispartofthepresentationisdevotedtotwootherconventionsinthefieldofrelated rights, the "ConventionfortheProtectionofProducersofPhonogramsAgainstUnauthorized DuplicationofTheirPhonograms(Geneva, 1971, knownasthePhonogramsConvention), the "ConventionRelatingtotheDistributionofProgramme -CarryingSignalsTransmittedby Satellite" (Brussels, 1974, knownastheSatellitesConvention), and to the TRIPSAgreement, which also contains provisions on related rights.
- 49. InrelationtotheRomeConvention,thePhonogramsConventionandtheSatellites Conventionmayberegardedasspecialagreements,insofarastheygranttoperformers, producersofphonogramsorbroadcastingorganizations" moreextensiverights"thanthose grantedbytheRomeConventionorcontainotherprovisions"notcontrary"totheConvention (RomeConvention,Article22).Asaresult,thePhonogramsandSatellitesConventionsare sometimesreferredtoasthe"specialc onventions"inthefieldofrelatedrights.Theydiffer fromtheRomeConventioninthreenotablerespects:first,ratherthangrantingexclusive rightstoauthorizeorprohibitcertainacts,thePhonogramsandSatellitesConventionsleave Statesfreeto choosethelegalmeansforimplementingtheirobligations.Second,whilethe

RomeConventionisbasedonnationaltreatment, the special conventions only obligate States to provide protection against certain specific unlaw ful acts; thus, countries are not obligated to grant for eignowners of rights all of the rights which they grant to their own nationals. Third, the Phonograms and Satellites Conventions are "open" agreements; that is, unlike the Rome Convention, adherence to which is restricted to countries party to the Berne or Universal Copyright Conventions, the special conventions are open to all States which are members of the United Nations or its specialized agencies, or which are parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice (practically speaking, this covers most countries of the world).

1. ThePhonograms Convention

- 50. The Phonograms Convention was concluded as a response to the phenomenon of recordpiracy, which had attained epic proportions by the end of the 1960s, dueprincipallyto technological developments (the emergence of high -qualityanalogrecordingtechniquesand theaudiocassette), which made it possible formultinational pirate enterprises to flood many oftheworld'smarketsforrecordedmusicwithcheap, easilytransportedandeasilyconcealed copies of protected phonograms. The Convention was developed in record time, 18 months from the time it was first proposed in 1970 during a preparatory meeting for revision of the copyrightconventions and its conc lusion in Geneva in October 1971. The Phonograms Conventionsoonachievedwideacceptance(currently,63members),fortwoprincipal reasons:thewidely -sharedviewthatamajorinternationalcampaignagainstrecordpiracy wasnecessary, and the flexibil ityallowed to States in respect of the means of implementing theConvention.
- 51. Inrespectofeligibilityforprotection,thePhonogramsConventionrequiresonlythe criterionofnationalityasaconditionofgrantingprotection(Article2). AnyContracting StatewhichonOctober29,1971,affordedprotectionsolelyonthebasisoftheplaceoffirst fixationmay,however,declarethatitwillapplythiscriterion(Article7(4)).
- 52. The protection granted to producers of phonograms under the Convention is against the making of "duplicates without their consent, and against distribution, and importation for the purposes of distribution, of such duplicates" (Article 2). The means of implementing this protection may be by means of copyr ight "or other specific right," unfair competition, or penals anctions (Article 3).
- 53. The Convention permits the same limitations as those provided in relation to the protection of authors, and allows non -voluntary licenses if reproduction is in tended exclusively for teaching or scientific research, limited to the territory of the State whose authorities give the license, and if equitable remuneration is provided (Article 6). The same minimum duration is required by the Phonograms Conventions by the Rome Convention: 20 years from the end either of the year in which the sound sembodied in the phonogram were first fixed or of the year in which the phonogram was first published (Article 4).
- 54. The Phonograms Conventionals ocontains ap rovision referring to other owners of rights. Article 7(1) provides that the Conventions hall "innow ay be interpreted to limit or prejudice the protections ecured to authors, to performers, to producers of phonograms or to broadcasting organizations." Article 7(2) refers specifically to performers; it states that the national legislation of each Contracting Statemay determine the scope of protection afforded

toper formers whose performances are fixed on a phonogram, and the conditions of enjoying such protection.

2. TheSatellitesConvention

- 55. TheSatellitesConventionwasdevelopedinresponsetotheproliferationofsatellitesin internationaltelecommunications,includingbroadcasting,sinceabout1965.UndertheRome Convention, "broad casting" isdefinedasthetransmissionbywirelessmeansforpublic receptionofsoundsorofimagesandsounds. AtthetimetheSatellitesConventionwasunder preparation, therewasdoubtthatsatellitetransmissionscouldbeconsidered "broadcasting" because of the "public reception" and "wirelessmeans" aspects of the definition; i.e., the signalse mitted to the satellite (uplink) could not be received directly by the public, and the signalse mitted by the satellite (downlink) were received by earth stations prior to distribution to the public, which was often by wire (cable, for example) rather than by wireless means. Thus, the development of the Satellites Convention was undertaken in response to a perceived need to provide protection for broadcast ingorganizations in respect of the distribution of program-carrying signal stransmitted by satellite. "Distribution" is defined in the Convention as the operation by which a distributor transmits derived signal stothe public; thus, unlike broadcasting, protection under the Convention extends to cable distribution.
- It should be noted that one of the premises on which the Satellites Convention was a support of the premise obased, that satellitesignals cannot be received directly by the public, is not necessarily vali d today. The evolution of satellite and earthstation technology has made it commercially possible for individual homes and business estoreceives at ellitesignals directly, and there is littledoubtthatsuchreceptionmaybelegallyqualifiedasbroadca sting.Byitsownterms (Article3),theConventiondoesnotapplytodirectbroadcastingbysatellite,becausethe BerneandRomeConventionsalreadycoversuchacts.Nonetheless,theConventionprovides protectionagainstunauthorizeddistributionofs atellitesignalsbyintermediaries, such as cablesystems, who receive program - carrying satellitesignals and transmitthem to subscribers forafeewithoutpermissionfromtheownersofrightsintheprogramstransmitted. Forthis reason, acceptance of the Convention is growing, and currently stands at 24 members. Thebasicobligation of the Satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of programme" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of prevent the satellites Convention is to "prevent the distribution of prevent the satellites Convention of the satellites Convention is to "prevent the satellites Convention" and the satellites Convention is to "prevent the satellites Convention" and the satellites Convention is the satellites Convention of the sat carryingsignalsbyanydistributorforwhomthesignalspassingthroughthesatellitearenot inteded."
- 57. AsinthecaseofthePhonogramsConvention,thisobligationmaybeimplementedina numberofways,undercopyright,telecommunicationslaw,orthroughpenalsanctions.It shouldbenotedthattheConventiondoesnotprotectthetrans mittedprogramitself;rather, theobjectofprotectionisthesignalsemittedbytheoriginatingorganization.Inrespectof intellectualpropertyrightsintheprograms,theConventionsimplystatesthatitmaynotbe interpretedinanywayaslimiting orprejudicingtheprotectionaffordedtoauthors,to performers,tophonogramproducersandtobroadcastingorganizations.
- 58. TheSatellitesConventionalsopermitscertainlimitationsonprotection; the distribution of program carrying signal sbynon authorized persons is permitted if the signals carry short excerpts containing reports of current events or, as quotations, short excerpts of the program carried by the emitted signals, or, in the case of developing countries, if the program carried by the emitted signals is distributed solely for the purposes of teaching, including adult teaching or scientific research. The Convention does not establish a term of protection, leaving the matter to do mesticle gislation.

3. TheTRIPSAgreement

- 59. The TRIPS Agreement, concluded in 1994 as part of the Uruguay Round of negotiations under the former GATT (now the World Trade Organization) also contains provisions on the protection of related rights. Under the Agreement, related rights are producers of phonograms and broadcasting organizations.
- 60. Performersaregrantedtherightsto"prevent"(nottherighttoauthorize)thefixationof theirunfixedperformancesonphonograms,thewirelessbroadcastingandc ommunicationto thepublicofsuchperformances,andthereproductionoffixationsofsuchperformances. Therearenorightsinrespectofbroadcastingandcommunicationtothepublicoffixed performances,asintheRomeConvention.
- 61. Producersofphonogramsareprovidedtherighttoauthorizeorprohibitthedirector indirectreproductionoftheirphonograms. Producersofphonogramsalsohavearightto authorizerentalofcopiesoftheirphonograms. There is an exception to the rental rigal htin the case of countries which had in place a system of equitable remuneration for rental on the date the TRIPSA greement was adopted; such countries may maintain the system of equitable remuneration as long as rental practices do not give rise to "material impairment" of the exclusive right of reproduction of the owners of rights.
- 62. Broadcastingorganizations are granted the right to prohibit (rather than to authorize) fixation of their broadcasts, the reproduction of such fixations, the wir eless rebroadcasting of such broadcasts, and the communication to the public of television broadcasts (but no tradio broadcasts). The obligation of countries party to the TRIPS Agreement to provide such protection to broadcasting organizations is subject to an alternative, however; countries may provide the owners of copyright in broadcast programming with the possibility of preventing the same acts, subject to the provisions of the Berne Convention (meaning that non -voluntary licenses may be implemented in certain circumstances).
- 63. Thedurationofprotectionforrelatedrightsis 50 years for performers and producers of phonograms and 20 years for broad casting organizations. In general, the same limitations on rights may be applied as those allowed under the Rome Convention. An additional obligation requires application of Article 18 of the Berne Convention to the rights of performers and producers of phonograms; this means that the national legislation which implements the TRIPS Agreement must provide protection for all performances and phonograms which have not fall en into the public domain due to expiration of the term of protection in their country of origin. Finally, as noted above, the TRIPS Agreement contains detailed provisions on enforcement of intellectual property rights, including related rights, as well as a mechanism for settling disputes a mongmembers concerning compliance with the obligation sunder the Agreement.

C. TheRelatedRightsConventionsandDevelopingCountries

64. Theimportanceofprotectionofrelated rights to developing countries has been explored in a previous presentation. In brief, natural cultural expressions in the form of folklore may be preserved and protected as performances, phonograms and broad cast sunder the Rome Convention. Accession to the Convention thus provides a means for the legal protection of such expressions in foreign markets, where the demand for the misgreat (witness the current

popularityofso -called"worldmusic,"whichcons istslargelyofrecordedmusical -countrynationals), thus ensuring that the performancesofartistswhoaredeveloping economicbenefitsflowintothecountrywherethecreativeexpressionoriginated. Furthermore, the advantages of a dherence to the Berne Convention, discussed above, are equally applicable in the context of related rights. The extent to which a country protects intellectualpropertyrightsisincreasinglyboundtogetherwiththerangeofpossibilities availabletothatcountrytoparticipa teintherapidlyincreasingvolumeofinternationaltrade ingoodsandservicesaffectedbysuchrights. The "convergence" of telecommunications and computerinfrastructures will result in international investment across many sectors of the economies of both developed and developing countries, and those countries with poor records concerning, or a lack of demonstrated political commitment to, the protection of intellectual propertyrightswillsimplybeleftoutofthepicture. Thus, accession to the rel atedrights conventions, likeaccession to the Berne Convention, is a positive step in the right direction forthefuture.

III. THENEWWIPOTREATIES

- 1. Introduction
- 65. TheBerneConventionfortheProtectionofLiteraryandArtisticWorks(th eBerne Convention)waslastrevisedatParisin1971and,inthefieldofrelatedrights,the InternationalConventionfortheProtectionofPerformers,ProducersofPhonogramsand BroadcastingOrganizations(theRomeConvention)datesbackto1961.
- 66. Technologicalandcommercialdevelopmentsandpracticessincethen(suchas reprography(inotherwords,photocopyingandprintingtechnologies),videotechnology, compactaudioandvideocassettesystemsfacilitatinghometaping,satellitebroadca sting, cabletelevision,theincreaseofimportanceofcomputerprograms,computergeneratedworks anddatabases,anddigitaltransmissionssystemssuchastheInternet,etc.)haveprofoundly affectedthewayinwhichworkscanbecreated,usedanddissemi nated.
- 67. Asaresult, it was recognized at the end of the 1980's that new binding international norms were needed, and work commenced at WIPO on the preparation of new instruments in the fields of copyright and related rights.
- 68. Duringthepreparatoryworkthatledtothenewinstruments, it became clear that the most important and pressing task of the drafting committees was to clarify existing norms and to offernew norms in response to the questions raised by digital technology, and particularly the Internet. The issues addressed in this context were referred to jointly as the "digital agenda."
- 69. Thisworkculminated in the adoption, at a Diplomatic Conference held from December 2 to 20,1996, of two new treaties, the WIPO Copyright Treaty (the WCT) and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (the WPPT).
- 70. Thispartofthepaperoffersabriefsummaryofthesubstantive provisions of the WCT and the WPPT. First, the substantive provisions that appear in para lelin both treaties will be summarized, after which the more significant substantive provisions particular to each treaty will be discussed.

2. The Parallel Provisions

- 71. Thetreaties respond directly to the "digital agenda" in their provision sdealing with (1) the application of the reproduction right to the storage of works in digital systems, (2) the limitations and exceptions applicable in the digital environment, (3) technological measures of protection and (4) rights management information.
 - a. The right of reproduction
- 72. The WCT provides for a right of reproduction for authors by incorporating by reference Article 9 of the Berne Convention (Article 1 of the WCT). The WPPT provides explicitly for exclusive reproduction rights for performers and for phonogram producers (Articles 7 and respectively).

11.

- 73. Thescopeoftherightofreproductioninthedigitalenvironment, aquestion that attracted extensive controversy during the preparation of the treaties, is not deal twithin the text of the treaties themselves. However, Agreed Statements adopted by the Diplomatic Conferences state that the reproduction right is fully applicable to the digital environment, as a rethe permissible limitations and exceptions to the right. The Agreed Statements also confirm that the storage of awork in an electronic medium constitutes are production as referred to in the relevant Articles of the Berne Convention and the WPPT.
 - b. Rightsapplicabletotransmissionsininteractive, on -demandnetworks
- 74. PerhapsoneofthemostsignificantcontributionsoftheWCTandtheWPPTistheir recognitionoftherightsofauthors,performersandphonogramproducerstoauthorizethe on-linetransmissionoftheirworks,fixedperformance sandphonograms,asthecasemaybe.
- 75. The WCT and WPPT provide that authors, performers and producers of phonograms must be granted exclusive rights to authorize the making available of their works, performances fixed on phonograms and phonograms and phonograms from a place and at a time individually chosen by them (that is, interactive, on-demand services).
- 76. TheW PPTprovidesthisrightasa"rightofmakingavailabletothepublic"whilethe WCTincludesitintheprovisiononageneralrightofcommunicationtothepublic(which eliminatesthegapsinthecoverageofthatrightundertheBerneConvention).Durin gthe discussionsoftheDiplomaticConference,itwas,however,notedthatContractingParties mightimplementtheobligationtoprovideanexclusiverightinrespectofsuch"making available"bywayofarightofdistribution(sinceinon -demanddigital transmissions,copies ofworks,performancesandphonogramsaresometimesobtainedinreceivingcomputersin a waythatmembersofthepublicmaynotevenperceivetheworks,performancesand phonogramsduringthetransmission,butonlythereafter,onthe basisofthecopiesobtained).
- $77. \quad An Agreed Statement accompanying the WCT provides that the mere provision of physical facilities for enabling or making such a communication does not in itselfamount to a communication within the meaning of the WCT or of the Berne Convention. This, of course, does not exclude liability of access and service providers, for example, on the basis of contributory liability. The same applies to the WPPT, although the latter does not contain such an Agreed Statement .$

c. Distributionrights

- 78. Article6(1)oftheWCTprovidesforauthorstobeaffordedanexclusiverightto authorizethemakingavailabletothepublicoforiginalsandcopiesofworksthroughsale or othertransferofownership,thatis,an exclusiverightofdistribution.Underthe Berne Convention,itisonlyinrespectofcinematographicworksthatsucharightisgranted explicitly,andtheTRIPSAgreementdoesnotprovideforarightofdistribution.Article doesnotobligeContra ctingPartiestoselectanyparticularformofexhaustion(thatis, national,regionalorinternationalexhaustion)or,infact,todealwiththeissueof exhaustion atall.
- 79. Performersandphonogramproducersarealsograntedsimilar exclusive rightsof distribution(Articles8and12oftheWPPT).
 - d. Rentalrights
- 80. The WCT provides (Article 7) for a right of commercial rental in respect of computer programs, cinematographic works and, as determined in national law, works embodied phonograms, subject to certain important exceptions contained in Articles 7 (2) and 7 (3);
- 81. The WPPT grants an exclusive right of commercial rental to, first, as determined in national law, performers in respect of their performances fixed in phonograms and, second, phonogram producers in respect of their phonograms (Articles 9 and 13 respectively).
 - e. Limitationsandexceptions
- 82. Article10oftheWCTandArticle16oftheWPPTincorporatethe"three -step"testto determinelimit ationsandexceptionsasprovidedforinArticle9oftheBerneConvention, extendingitsapplicationtoallrights.
- 83. AgreedStatementsaccompanyingtheWCTandtheWPPTprovidethatsuchlimitations and exceptions, as they have until nowbeen applied in compliance with the Berne Convention, may be extended to the digital environment. In addition, Contracting States may devise new exceptions and limitations appropriate in the digital environment. Of course, the extension of existing or creation of new limitations and exceptions is only allowed if it is acceptable on the basis of the "three step" test.
 - f. Technological protection measures and rights management information
- 84. Itwasrecognizedduringthepreparationofthetwotreatie sthatinadigitalenvironment anynewrightsinrespectofdigitalusesofworkswould,inorderforthenewrightstobe effective,requirethesupportofprovisionsdealingwithtechnologicalmeasuresofprotection andrightsmanagementinformation.
- 85. Inthisregard, the treaties oblige Contracting Parties to provide a dequate legal protection and effective remedies against the circumvention of measures used to protect the rights of authors, performers and phonogram producers in their works, performances and phonograms, respectively (examples of such measures would be "copy" protection "or "copy-management" systems, which contain technical devices that either prevententially the making of copies or make the quality of the copies so poor that he agare unusable). This provision is contained in Article 11 of the WCT and Article 18 of the WPPT.

- 86. Insofarasrightsmanagementinformationisconcerned, the treaties oblige Contracting Parties to provide under certain conditions adequater emedies against the removal or alteration of rightsmanagement information, and certain related acts (Article 12 of the WCT and Article 19 of the WPPT).
 - g. Enforcement
- 87. BoththeWCTandtheWPPTcontainthesameenforcementprovisions(Articl es14 and 23respectively). These provisions are of ageneral nature, obliging Contracting Parties to take the necessary measurestoen sure the application of the treaties.
 - h. Administrativeandfinalclauses
- 88. The WCT and the WPPT includemo reorlessidentical administrative and final clauses which, in general, are similar too ther such clauses of WIPOT reaties. Only two specific features should be mentioned, namely the possibility of inter -governmental organizations to be come party to the Treaty and the relatively high number (30) of instruments of ratification or accession needed for the entry into force.
- 3. ProvisionsSpecifictotheWCT
- 89. The WCT confirms that computer programs are protected as literary works and that databases are protectable as copyright works. These provisions of the WCT merely confirm earlier provisions of the Berne Convention and/or the TRIPS Agreement.
- 90. The WCT extends the minimum term of protection in respect of photographs to 50 years.
- 4. ProvisionsSpecifictotheWPPT
- 91. Ingeneral,theWPPTprovidesforthesamelevelofprotectionforperformersand producersofphonogramsastheTRIPSAgreement.Itshouldbenotedthatthisalsomeans thatthecoverageoftherightsofp erformersintheWPPTextendsonlytoliveaural performancesandperformancesfixedinphonograms,exceptfortherightofbroadcastingand communicationtothepublicofliveperformances, which extends to all performances.
- 92. However, for the first time at international level, moral rights are conferred upon performers (Article 5 of the WPPT).
- 93. InafurtherTRIPS -pluselement, similar to Article 12 of the Rome Convention, Article 15 of the WPPT provides to performers and producers of phonograms aright of remuneration in respect of the broad casting and communication to the public of phonograms, with the possibility of reservations, a sunder the Rome Convention. Under Article 15(3), Contracting Parties are able to reserve Article 15 partially or exclude itentirely, a sunder Article 16 of the Rome Convention. An Agreed Statement provides that Article 15 does not represent a complete resolution of this question in the digital age.

IV.	CONCI	LUSION
1 V .		JUSIUN

94. Themostimportantf eatureofthenewtreatiesisthattheyincludeprovisionsdesigned toestablishnewnormsforthedigitalage. It is hoped that many countries will join the treaties as doing so will place the minaposition to participate fully in the rapidly expanding global information networks.

[Endofdocument]