Round Table Discussion...



UNIVERSITY of the WESTERN CAPE



The Funding of Scientific and Technical Research: The Problem of Exploiting the Results of Research Financed by Public Funds

(Moderator)

Doug Sanyahumbi (PhD, MBA) **Director: Technology Transfer Office**

27 Sep - 02 Oct 2014

A place of quality, a place to grow, from hope to action through knowledge





- □ South Africa's IPR-PFRD Act
- □ SA IPR Act mandate for OTTs
- □ Overview of SA funding landscape
- □ Overview of the Challenges
- □ Challenges in commercialising publicly funded R&D
- Recommendations



South Africa's IPR-PFRD Act...



Intellectual Property Rights for Publicly Financed Research & Development (IPR-PFRD) Act

"IPR Act"

Act 51 of 2008 Published – 2008, In effect – Aug 2010

Objective:

Intellectual Property (IP) emanating from publicly funded research & development (R&D) must be identified, protected, utilised and commercialised for the benefit of the Republic of South Africa.



SA IPR Act Mandate for OTTs...





content/uploads/2013/04/shucks-poster.jpg

by an Act of Parliament (IPR-PFRD Act - 51, 2008) ... Thou Shall... ... support, facilitate and promote

the identification, protection and

commercialisation of intellectual

property which has the potential

to create social and/or economic

yalue for South Africa.



Overview of SA Funding Landscape...







Overview of the Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D...



Poor Industry-Institutional relationship

- Misaligned expectations: make for a strained relationship exacerbated by poor communication
- Information of research at institutions not industry-friendly: articulation of perceived value allows industry to give input on market needs
- Complex & differing processes for contracting with institutions: efforts required to minimize friction
- Commercialisation not a priority: must be included in metrics and incentives, in balance with core activities of institutions
- Lack of pull or determining research-base of institutions by industry: act locally but think globally-limited local markets
- Lack of resources or skills capacity in SMEs: to leverage innovations from institutions that may enhance competitiveness
- Need for change in attitudes: in academia, industry, investment community and public sector – to stimulate & facilitate more effective commercalisation of publicly financed R&D

Source: Advice Paper, 2012, Bridging the valley of death; Royal Society of Edinburgh response to the House of Commons Science and Technology Select Committee inquiry into the commercialisation of research



Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D... 1



Poor institutional structure & resources

- Model of the Office for Technology Transfer (OTT): some systems are better than others – context is important
- Internal financial resources: ability to make early stage un-secured, atrisk investments to de-risk technology development (PoP to PoC)
- □ Lack of commitment & support from Institutional management
 - Necessary strategic buy-in at senior level: commercialisation difficult/impossible without it
 - Appropriate risk tolerance: timely, non-bureaucratic decision making process, industry is impatient

Poor culture of innovation and entrepreneurship

- Paradigm shift required: 'Publish or Perish' paradigm prevalent but inappropriate
- Entrepreneur: "...one who organizes, manages, and assumes the risks of starting a business or enterprise around an identified opportunity"



Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D... 2



- □ Lack of adequate human skills & expertise for commercialisation
 - Business focused/experienced people: understand the university context and business imperatives with commercialisation skills
 - Inadequate human resources to undertake all requirements of the OTT: experienced staff hard to locate and retain, can be expensive
 - Service & client oriented personnel: equipped to deal with researchers and industry
- □ Lack of a conducive & supportive environment
 - Appropriate incentives and metrics: no incentive for researchers' entrepreneurial activity = additional load to 'core' activities
 - No time to support/drive entrepreneurial activities sabbatical
 - IPR Act perceived as a deterrent: IP ownership, Full cost, restricted movement of IP, government walk-in rights
 - **High cost** to HEIs of IP maintenance & litigation
 - Technology Transfer & Commercialisation not a strategic priority/imperative to research institutions



Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D... 3



Misaligned expectations

Industry vs HEIs timelines

- Market demand vs degree timelines
- Bureaucracy & red tape timeline to decisions
- Limited public-private sector interactions
 - Research institutions industry interactions (open innovation)
 - Poor institutional/industry frameworks for engagement

✤ IP position

- Poor understanding of IPR-Act negotiation can be long and tedious
 - Competitive advantage

Barriers to entry

- Freedom to operate
- Commercial value

Business necessity

- New knowledge
 - Capacity/capability
 - Freedom disseminate
 - Reputational value
 - Statutory requirement



Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D... 4



Unrealistic Expectations:

- **Patenting** generally a very long and expensive process (2-10 years)
- **Commercialisation** can take anything from 6 months to **3+ years**
- Raising funding/investment can take up to 5 years
- University will need to make at-risk/un-secured investment
- Negotiating one agreement can take more than a year
- Time to revenue may be even longer (5-10yrs for start-up company), or few years after license deal if "easy to market"

Source: SARIMA Presentations

□ Low industry adoptive capacity:

- Usually results from R&D not informed by industry/market
- Lack of commercialisable technologies from universities large market potential

□ Lack of accessible funding for commercialisation

- Innovation chasm
- □ Lots of ideas chasing limited and in some cases inaccessible funds



Challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D... 5



□ Inappropriate business incubation models:

- No one size fits all sustainability models need more thought
- □ Low early-stage risk appetite of local investors:
 - means technologies sold to international investors: government support to de-risk early-stage investments for subsequent local funders would help

□ Institutional drive for "open access":

 Open access to publicly funded R&D data: limits competitive advantage and commercial activity

Technology push vs imdustry/market pull:

 Technology push harder to commercialise: need more focus on industry/market pull R&D



Recommendations...



...overcoming challenges in commercialising publicly financed R&D

- Greater focus on skills development for commercialisation:
 - Appropriately skilled OTT staff
- **Raise awareness of the IPR Act:**
 - Amongst stakeholders and players within the National System of Innovation
- **Promote a culture of entrepreneurship and innovation:**
 - Incentivise and reward entrepreneurial researchers and students
 - Move from "Publish or Perish" paradigm to "Innovate & Flourish"
- **Effectively address the early stage funding challenges:**
 - Structure and align public grants for R&D with the more risk-tolerant early stage seed funds
- □ Increase opportunities for Industry-Institution engagements:
 - Increase dialogue and allow industry to influence research agendas
 - Promote internships in industry
- **Promote opportunities for SMEs-Institution engagement:**
 - Networking sessions to expose SMEs and industry at large to available IP and technologies



Thank You...



WESTERN CAPE



Doug Sanyahumbi (PhD, MBA)

Director: Technology Transfer Office University of the Western Cape