

ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION CENTER

WIPO LIST OF NEUTRALS

BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

Young KIM Kim & Chang Seoul Republic of Korea



Nationality: Republic of Korea

EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Admitted to Pennsylvania Bar, Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, U.S.A., 1993; Master of Intellectual Property and Juris Doctor, Franklin Pierce Law Center, U.S.A., 1993; Admitted to Korean Patent Bar, Korean Patent Office, 1979; Licensed as pharmacist, Ministry of Health and Social Affairs, 1977; B.S. Pharmacy, Seoul National University, 1977.

LANGUAGES

Korean and English

PRESENT POSITION

Partner, Kim & Chang; Director, Korean Patent Attorneys Assocation.

MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL BODIES

American Bar Association (ABA); American Intellectual Property Law Association (AIPLA);

November 20, 2019

34, chemin des Colombettes, 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland T +41 22 338 82 47 F +41 22 740 37 00 E arbiter.neutrals@wipo.int W www.wipo.int/amc Asian Patent Attorneys Association (APAA); International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property (AIPPI); Korean Patent Attorneys Association; Korean Pharmacist Association; Licensing Executives Society (LES); Pennsylvania Bar Association.

AREAS OF SPECIALIZATION

Intellectual property: patents, trademarks, chemistry; Licensing.

EXPERIENCE IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

After graduation from College, worked as an assistant to the primary examiner in the Pharmaceutical Section of the Korean Patent Office, 1977-78;

Since being admitted to the Korean Patent Bar in 1979, has worked at patent law firms representing foreign and local clients. Work has included drafting patent applications, patent and trademark prosecution, patent and trademark infringement (court actions, administrative actions), out-of-court settlement cases and drafting license agreements;

Lectured at the International Intellectual Property Training Institute (IIPTI) of the Republic of Korea.

MAJOR PUBLICATIONS

Comparative Industrial Property Law (1994) published by the IIPTI; Background Reading Material on the Intellectual Property System of the Republic of Korea, WIPO, 1996;

"Korea Compaigns to Interest World in IP", Les Nouvelles, June 1998.

EXPERIENCE IN COURT LITIGATION

Acted as counsel in over 20 court cases in the district courts, appellate courts and Supreme Court of the Republic of Korea, since 1979. The subject matters of the court cases were : patent infringement (20), interpretation of patent scope (15), and validity (10).

Among those adversarial cases were -

1.

- Infringement Actions before the Court:
 - i) Roussel Uclaf v. Han Mi involving cefotaxime;
 - ii) <u>Eli Lilly</u> v. <u>SACC</u> involving tricyclazole;
 - iii) <u>Ciba-Geigy v. Dae Han</u> involving metalaxyl;
 - iv) <u>Eli Lilly</u> v. <u>Samchulli</u> involving cefamandole nafate;
 - v) <u>F. Hoffmann-La Roche</u> v. <u>Cheil</u> involving -interferon;
 - vi) <u>Eli Lilly</u> v. 3 companies involving cefaclor;
 - vii) <u>3M</u> v. <u>Boin Medica</u> re casting tapes;
 - viii) <u>Pfizer</u> v. <u>Daewoong</u> involving fluconazole.
- 2. Confirmation Trials on the Scope of Patent Claims:
 - i) <u>Syntex</u> v. <u>Whan In</u> involving naproxen, becoming the first case where the doctrine of equivalency was applied in the patentee's favor;
 - ii) <u>Pfizer</u> v. <u>Samsung Pharmaceutical</u> involving piroxicam;
 - iii) <u>Bayer</u> v. <u>Dong Oh</u> involving azocyclotin;
 - iv) <u>Glaxo</u> v. <u>I1 Dong</u> involving ranitidine;

- v) Roussel Uclaf v. Han Mi involving cefotaxime;
- vi) <u>Eli Lilly v. KCRI</u> involving tricyclazole;
- vii) Yamanouchi v. Choong Wae involving famotidine;
- viii) Wyeth v. <u>I1 Wha</u> involving oxaprozin;
- ix) Bayer v. Dong Yan Chemical involving monceren;
- x) <u>Schering-Plough</u> v. <u>Sam Jin</u> involving sisomycin;
- xi) <u>Pfizer v. Boryung</u> involving fluconazole;
- xii) <u>3M</u> v. <u>Dongsung</u> re casting tapes.
- 3. Invalidation Trials:
 - i) Roussel Uclaf v. Han Mi in connection with cefotaxime;
 - ii) <u>Viratek v. KCRI</u> in connection with rivabirin;
 - iii) <u>Glaxo</u> v. <u>I1 Dong</u> in connection with ranitidine;
 - iv) <u>Schering-Plough</u> v. <u>Sam Jin</u> in connection with sisomycin;
 - v) Dow Chemical v. Imperial Chemical Industries in connection with polyurethane;
 - vi) <u>3M</u> v. <u>Dongsung</u> re casting tapes.
- 4. Trials for Correction of Issued Patents (Re-issue):
 - i) In re Roussel Uclaf application involving a cefotaxime patent;
 - ii) In re Schering-Plough application involving a sisomycin patent.

PROFESSIONAL TRAINING IN MEDIATION

J.D. Program, Franklin Pierce Law Center;

Licensing Executives Society (LES), 1994 Annual Meeting:

- Mediation Demonstration presented by Mr. Tom Arnold of the U.S.A.;

Conference on Rules for Institutional Arbitration and Mediation organized by WIPO and the Swiss Arbitration Association (ASA), Geneva, January 1995.

PROFESSIONAL TRAINING IN ARBITRATION

LES, 1994 Annual Meeting: "Why ADR: Booby Traps in Arbitration and How to Avoid Them."