



WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/7 ORIGINAL:English DATE:May6,2002

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

# INTERGOVERNMENTALCO MMITTEEON INTELLECTUALPROPERT YANDGENETICRESOUR CES, TRADITIONALKNOWLEDG EANDFOLKLORE

#### ThirdSession Geneva,Jun e13to21,2002

REVIEWOFEXISTINGI NTELLECTUALPROPERTY PROTECTIONOF TRADITIONALKNOWLEDG E

Prepared by the Secretariat

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. DuringdiscussionsunderAgendaItem5.2("ProtectionofTraditionalKnowledge")atthe firstsessionoftheInter governmentalCommitteeonIntellectualPropertyandGenetic Resources,TraditionalKnowledgeandFolklore(April30toMay3,2001)("the IntergovernmentalCommittee"orsimply"theCommittee"),MembersoftheCommittee expressedsupportforthefollowing taskreferredtoindocumentWIPO/GRTKF/IC/1/3:

"TheMemberStatesmaywishtocompile,compareandassessinformationonthe availabilityandscopeofintellectualpropertyprotectionfortraditionalknowledge withinthescopeofsubjectmatterwhichwas delimitedunderTaskB.1andidentifyany elementsoftheagreedsubjectmatterwhichrequireadditionalprotection."

- 2. Thistask,asdescribedinparagraphs72to76ofdocumentWIPO/GRKTF/IC/1/3,would covertwolinesofenquiry,namelyontheuseofex istingstandardsofintellectualpropertyfor the protection of traditional knowledge, as well as on newlegal standards, eventually in the formof suigeneris mechanismsof protection. During discussions, Members expressed variousviewsonthescopeoft hatenquiry.OneMember,forexample,expectedthattaskB.2 wouldprovideanevaluationofexistingmechanismsofintellectualpropertyascomparedtoa suigeneris one, orto a combination of both. Another delegation expressed its support for the establishmentofa suigeneris internationalsystemoftraditionalknowledgeprotectionand suggestedthattheSecretariataddresscontractualarrangementsrelatingtogeneticresources andtheprotectionoftraditionalknowledgeundera suigeneris databases ystem. Another delegationstatedthatthetaskshouldnotbelimitedtoathoroughexaminationofmeansand measures available to protect traditional knowledge, but other approaches should also be takenintoaccountsoastoguaranteetherightsofthose whopossessedandgradually improvedonthatknowledge.Ingeneral,Membersweresupportivethatthesurveyshould focusontwomajorsub -issues:whetherexistingmechanismsofintellectualpropertycan and/orhavebeenappliedtoprotecttraditionalkno wledge;andwhatsortof suigeneris intellectualpropertymeasureshavebeenestablishedfortheprotectionoftraditional knowledge.3
- 3. PursuanttothemandatereceivedfromtheIntergovernmentalCommittee,theSecretariat issueddocumentWIPO/GRKTF/IC/2 /5,inwhichitinvitedMemberstoprovideinformation, includingcasestudies,onexistingformsofintellectualpropertyprotectionfortraditional knowledge.ThatdocumentwasaddressedtothemembersoftheIntergovernmental Committee, aswellastho seobservershavinglegislativecompetencetodraftand/oradopt lawsormodellawsprovidingfortheintellectualpropertyprotectionoftraditionalknowledge, suchasthoseobserverswhichareStatemembersoftheUnitedNations,butnotoftheWorld IntellectualPropertyOrganization(WIPO),andthoseregionalintergovernmental organizationsandassociationshavingthelegislativecompetencereferredto.

2

Paragraph77.ThisTaskwasreferredtoindocumentWIPO/GRTKF/IC/1/3asTaskB.2.

ThistaskhasbeenaddressedindocumentWIPO/GRKTF/IC/2/3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SeeReportoftheFirstSession,WIPO/GRTKF/IC/1/13,paragraphs130to155.

Inaccordance withparagraphs4to7ofdocumentWIPO/GRTKF/IC/1/2("RulesofProcedure"),the membersoftheIntergovernmentalCommitteearetheMemberStatesoftheWorldIntellectualProperty Organization(WIPO),StateswhicharepartiestotheParisConventionfort heProtectionofIndustrial PropertybutnotmembersofWIPO,andtheEuropeanCommunities.

- 4. DocumentWIPO/GRKTF/IC/2/5containedtwenty -sevenquestionscoveringfour distinct but interrelated topics. Question 1 addressed experiences in the use of existing mechanisms of intellectual property in the protection of traditional knowledge. Questions 2 through 25 covered specificas pects of systems specially devised for the protection of traditional knowledge. Question 26 asked about the availability of assistance to traditional knowledge holders to acquire, exercise, manage and enforce rights intraditional knowledge. The final question asked about the general perception of the adequacy of intellectual property law for the protection of traditional knowledge.
- 5. Basedonresponsesreceivedfrom23CommitteeMembers(includingtheEuropean Communities),theSecretariatpreparedadocumentcontainingapreliminaryanalysisand conclusionsandsubmitted ittothesecondsessionoftheCommittee. <sup>5</sup>Giventhesmall numberofresponses,theCommitteeencouragedotherMemberstorespondand,forthis purpose,extendedthedeadlinetodosotoFebruary28,2002. <sup>6</sup>Duringtheextendedperiod, 24additionalansw erswerereceivedbytheSecretariat.Thepresentdocumentconsolidatesall theresponsesreceivedtodate.

#### II. PRELIMINARYANALYSISOFRESPONSESRECEIVED

- (a) ResponsestoQuestion1
- 6. Question1invitedCommitteeMemberstoprovideinformationonth euseofexisting intellectualpropertymechanismstoprotecttraditionalknowledge. Asindicatedabove, that questionreflectedoneofthemajorconcernsofinterveningdelegations in the first sees ion of the Intergovernmental Committee. Furthermore, it seems logical that before embarking on a long and complex exercise of setting new norms, both at the national and the international levels, stakeholders would fully assess the possibility of exploring the use of existing mechanisms, whose efficiency in protecting in tangible assets (from literary works, to technical creations, to fairness intrade) has already been tested to a large extentinmany countries.
- 7. AnumberofCommitteeMembershaveindicatedthatexistingmechanismsof intellectualpropertya regenerallyavailablefortheprotectionoftraditionalknowledge: Australia,France,Japan,NewZealand,theRussianFederation,SwitzerlandandtheUnited States.SomeCommitteeMembers,suchastheEuropeanUnion,Hungary,theRepublicof Korea,Swit zerlandandTurkey,haveidentifiedanextensivelistofexistingmechanisms, thusimplyingthateligibilityfortraditionalknowledgeprotectiondependsalmostexclusively

SeeReportoftheSecondSession,WIPO/GRTKF/IC/2/16,atparagraph114.

Annex1containstwosynoptictablesreflectingthesubsta nceofall48responsesreceived.Annex2, whichwillbedistributedinduecourse,containsthefulltextofanswersprovided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SeeWIPO/GRTKF/IC/2/9.

Suchastrademarks, particularly collective and certification trademarks, geographical indications, patents, copyright and related rights, tradesecrets. Turkey also has mentioned several international "treaties and processes", suchast he Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, the International Labour Organization, the Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property, among others. Switzerland has clarified that, as long as the applicable criteria are met, all forms of intellectual property rights available under Swiss law are also available for the protection of traditional knowledge.

onmeetingpreviouslyestablishedlegalconditions.OtherMembers'responsesseem to identifysomespecificmechanismsasbeingmoreadequatetoprotecttraditionalknowledge thanothers:AustraliaandCanadahavementionedcopyright,certificationmarksanddesigns; Togohasmentionedcopyrightlaw,bothatthenationalandregional levels;France,Portugal andRomaniahavegivenparticularrelevancetocollectivetrademarksandgeographical indications;Indonesiahasemphasizedtherelevanceofcopyright,distinctivesigns(including geographicalindications)andtradesecretlaw; Japanhasmentionedpatentlaw;Norwayhas madespecialmentionoftradesecretprotectionfortraditionalknowledgethatisnotinthe publicdomain, <sup>9</sup>aswellas,indirectly,totrademarklaw;theUnitedStateshasdrawnattention totheapplicability ofpatentlawandtradesecretlawstandards;Samoaalsohasemphasized theimportanceofmoralrightsundercopyrightandrelatedrightslaw.

- 8. Australia, Canada, Colombia, Kazakhstan, New Zealand, the Russian Federation, Venezuelaand Viet Namhavep rovided actual examples of how existing intellectual property mechanisms have already been used in order to protect traditional knowledge.

See, below, in paragraphs 33 and 34, a brief discussion on the concept of public domain.

InthiscasetheCourtusedcommonlawdoctrineofconfidentialinformationtopreventthep abookcontainingculturallysensitiveinformation.

ThiscaseinvolvedtheimportationintoAustraliaofcarpetsmanufacturedinVietNamwhichreproduced (withoutpermission)eitherallorpartsofwell -knownworks,basedoncreationstor ies,createdby Indigenousartists. Theartistssuccessfullyclaimedinfringementofcopyrightaswellasunfairtrade practices,forthelabelsattachedtothecarpetsclaimedthatthecarpetshadbeendesignedbyAboriginal artistsandthatroyaltieswe repaidtotheartistsoneverycarpetsold. Inawardingdamagestothe plaintiffs,thejudgementrecognizedtheconceptsof "culturalharm" and "aggregateddamages".

- 12 This case arose out of the importation and sale in Australia of printed clothing fabr copyrightoftheAboriginalartist,Mr.JohnBulunBulun.Aparallelissuewaswhetherthecommunityof the Ganalbingupe ople, to which Mr. Bulun Bulun and his co -applicantMr.Milpurrurrubelong,had equitableownershipofthecopy right. The courtsaid that, given that relief had been granted to Mr. Bulun Bulun, through a permanent injunction, there was no need to address the issue of community's ownership. The assertion by the Ganal binguo frights in equity depended upon the rebeingatrustimpressedupon expressionsofritualknowledge, such as the artworking uestion. The court considered the retobeno evidence of an expressor implied trust created in respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulun Bulun's art. Nonetheless, in a respect of Mr. Bulun Bulundictum, the courtre cognized that the artist, as an Indigenous person, had a fiduciary duty to his community. Therefore, therewere two instances in which equitable relief in favour of a tribal community mightbegrantedinacourt's discretion, where copyrightis infringed inaworkembodyingritual knowledge: first, if the copyright owner fails or refuses to take appropriate action to enforce the copyright; and second, if the copyright owner cannot be identified or found.
- Mr.BulunBulunbroughtacopyrightinfringemen tactioninrelationtotheunauthorizedreproductionof hisartisticworksont -shirtsbythedefendant.InitsresponsetoQuestion1,thegovernmentofAustralia informedthatthiswasaclear -cutcaseofcopyrightinfringementandthatthecasewasse ttledoutof court.
- ThegovernmentofAustraliahasinformedthatfurtherinformationregardingtheseandothercasescanbe locatedat<www.austlii.edu.au>.

rightsareavailablefortraditionalknowledgeprotection,namelycertificationmarks,the trademarksystemasawhole,andthedesignssystem.

- InCanada,c opyrightprotectionundertheCopyrightActhasbeenwidelyusedby Aboriginal artists, composers and writers of traditions - based creations such as woodcarvings ofPacificcoastartists,includingmasksandtotempoles,thesilverjewelryofHaidaartists, songsandsoundrecordingsofAboriginalartistsandInuitsculptures.Trademarks,includin certificationmarks, are used by Aboriginal peopletoid entify a widerange of goods and services, ranging from traditional artandart work to food products, clothing, tour ist services and enterprises run by First Nations. Many Aboriginal businesses and organizationshave registeredtrademarksrelatingtotraditionalsymbolsandnames.Incontrast,industrial designsprotectionundertheIndustrialDesignActhasnotbeenwidelyusedbyAboriginal personsorcommunities. The West Baffin Eskimo Cooperat iveLtd.filedover50designsin thelate 1960s for fabrics using traditional images of an imal sand Inuit people. It is becoming increasinglycommonforAboriginalcommunitiesinCanadatosignconfidentiality agreementswithgovernmentsandnon -Aboriginalbusinesseswhensharingtheirtraditional knowledge. For example, the Unaaq Fisheries, owned by the Inuit people of Northern Quebecand Baffin Islandis involved in fisheries management. The company regularly transfers proprietarytechnologiestoothe rcommunitiesusingitsownexperienceinthecommercial fishingindustry. The techniques it develops are protected astrades ecrets
- Colombia and New Zeal and have provided examples of how existing trademark and the colombia and the colombpatentlawprovisionshaveincorporate dsafeguardsagainsttheabuseandmisappropriation of traditional communities' intangible assets. In Colombia, in the light of aspecific provision of <sup>15</sup>theregistrationofthetrademark"TAIRONA"has Decision486oftheAndeanCommunity, beenrejectedon the grounds that "TAIRONA" is the name of an indigenous community that inhabitedpre -HispanicColombia.NewZealandhasinformedthatanewTradeMarksBill, currentlybeing considered by Parliament, contains provisions that prevent the registration of trade marks where their use or registration would be likely too ffend a significant section of the design of the<sup>16</sup>UnderthecurrentlawofNewZealand,nevertheless, thecommunity, including the Maori. thereisalreadyaprovision(Article19)underwhichwhereitisdetermi nedthatatrademark isofsignificancetoaMaorigroup, it is appropriate to require an applicant to seek consent from the relevant Maoriauthority. Colombia has also noted that, in accordance with Decisions 486, the validity of patents for inventions d erivedfromgeneticandbiological material or from traditional knowledged epends upon the conformity of the means used to obtainthatmaterialorknowledgewithnational, regional and international law. Under Decision391,patentapplicationsmustinclud eacopyofthecontractofaccesswherethe productsorprocesses for which the patent is applied for have been obtained or developed fromgeneticresourcesorfromproductsderivedtherefromandofwhichanvofthe ContractingPartiesisthecountryof origin.
- $12. \quad Both Kazakh stan and the Russian Federation have identified examples of protection of technical traditional knowledge through the grant of patents. Furthermore, in Kazakh stan, the$

NewZealandhasnotedtha t,forthepurposeofitsresponses,"Maori"isusedtorefertotheindigenous peopleofNewZealand.

g

Article136(g)ofDecision486providesthatsignsconsistingofnamesofindigenousandAf ro-American communities,andwhichconstituteanexpressionoftheirculture,maynotberegisteredwithoutthe explicitauthorizationofthecommunitiesinquestionorunlesstherequestisfiledbythecommunities themselves.

external appearance of national outer clothes, headdresses ( saykele), carpets( tuskiiz), decorations of saddles, national dwellings ( yurta)andtheirstructuralelements,aswellas women'sapparelaccessories,likebracelets( blezik),nationalchildren'scots -crib-cradlesand tablewares( piala, torcyk) are protecte das industrial designs. The designations containing elements of Kazakhornamentar er egistered and protected a strade marks.

VenezuelaandVietNamhavereferredtothemechanismofgeographicalindicationsto protecttraditionalknowledge("Cocuyth ePecaya",aliquormadefromtheagave,in Venezuela,and"PhuQuoc",fishsoyasauce,and"ShanTuyetMocChau",avarietyoftea,in VietNam). Inaddition, VietNamhasmentioned apatent for a traditional preparation of medicinalplantsusedinassis tanceinstoppingdrug -addiction,andatrademarkregisteredfor atraditionalbalmmadeofmedicinalplants("TruongSon").

#### Responsesto Questions 2through 25 (b)

- Question2invitedCommitteeMemberstoprovideinformationonexistingspecific intellectualpropertylawsprotectingtraditionalknowledge. Asphrased, that question clarified that Members were supposed to inform the Committee about laws specially adoptedwith the aim at protecting traditional knowledge under a new, special regime crea tedforthe explicitpurposeofprotectingtraditionalknowledge. The focus of the question, therefore, wasthespecificityoftheregimecreated, and not of the piece of legislation adopted.
- EightCommitteeMembershaveprovidedinformationabout suigeneris systemsof protectionoftraditionalknowledge:Brazil,CostaRica,Guatemala,Panama,thePhilippines, Samoa, Sweden <sup>18</sup> and Venezuela. Ten Members informed about their plans to adopt a suigeneris systeminthefuture:Ecuador,NewZea land, Papua New Guinea, Peru, the Philippines, Solomon Islands, Tanzania, Tonga, Trinidadand Tobago and Viet Nam. Moreover, although it has not indicated the intention of pursuing a suigeneris route, France gtotheprotectionofconcretemeansofoperating, hasnotedthat "intellectual property, relatin needsformalizationandcannotapplytopureknowledge. Therefore, protection of traditional knowledgerequiresa suigeneris systemwhichwillneedtheestablishmentofinventoriesin whichtheywillbe compiled."
- The Brazilian suigeneris systemwasestablished by Provisional Measure 2.186 -16,of 16. August23,2001 and coverstraditional knowledge associated to biodiversity. Protection is obtainedmainlybyabilateralapproach,thatis,throughco ntractsofaccess, the purpose of whichistoensurethesharingofbenefitsarisingfromtheuseofgeneticresourcesand associatedtraditionalknowledge.Article9ofthelaw,however,seemstoestablisha

17 Infact, acountry might have passed a piece of legislation a mending its intellectual property laws in order toclarifythat,say,subjecttospecial provisionsoncollectiveownershipofindigenousandlocal communities, traditional knowledge would be the subject of the same legal discipline as other intellectual propertyrights, provided the respective conditions are met. This would be a special (or specific)law,yet withoutestablishinganewintellectualpropertyregime, specifically tailored to the technical characteristicsofitssubjectmatter —inotherwords,thiswouldnotbea suigeneris system.Information onthatsortoflegislationwoul drelatemoreappropriatelytothefirstquestion.

SwedenhasmentionedaConstitutionalprovisionthatprovidesforcertainlegislationregardingtheright oftheSamitoreindeerhusbandry.Legislationenactedinthatcontext(theReindeerHusbandry Act,of 1971)deals with the Sami's right to reindeer husbandry with incertain geographical areas. That law, however, as Swedenhasnoted, covers the economic aspects of reindeer husbandry and, in that sense, is

notintellectualproperty -related.

proprietaryregimeoftraditionalknowledgeri ghts, because itrecognizes in digenous and local communities' right to prevent unauthorized third parties from using, exploiting, experimenting, disclosing, transmitting andre -transmitting data and information that integrate or constitute associated traditional knowledge. The law has also provisions on benefit sharing, including compensation, access to and transfer of technology, licensing and capacity building. Traditional knowledge is not the subject of a predetermined term of protection. The grant of industrial property rights in processes or products obtained from national genetic resources depend supon compliance with the provisions of the Provisional Measure (that is, industrial property registration applicants must provide information on the original way provides for sanctions, which comprise fines, these izure of illegal material and products embodying unlaw fulmaterial, prohibition of distribution, invalidation of patents or registrations, loss of governmental incentives, etc.

- 17. The Lawon Biodiversity of Costa Ricadoes not deal specifically with a suigeneris system of protection, but establishes certain general criteria concerning community righ traditional knowledge and calls for local and in digenous communities, through a participatory process, to establish the mechanism for the protection and registration of biodiversity associated traditional knowledge.
- 18. Guatemalanlaw(CulturalHeri tageProtectionNationalLaw(No.26 -97,asamendedin 1998)providesforprotectionoftraditionalknowledgefromanationalculturalheritage approach. Thismeansthatexpressionsofnationalculture(whichcompriseallintangible expressionsofcultura lheritage,includingtraditions,medicinalknowledge,music, performances,culinary)includedinthe "CultureGoodsregistry" are underthe protection of the State and thus cannot be disposed of by means of contractual arrangements: they cannot be sold and there is no rightfor remuneration, as the government of Guatemala informed in its responses to Questions 10 and 11. The system, which is managed by the Ministry of Cultural Affairs, seems to follow a public good approach, in the sense that traditional knowledge is to be identified, recorded and preserved by the State for the benefit of the entire society.
- Panamahasgivenadetailedexplanationofits"Specialintellectualpropertyregimeon collectiverightsofindigenouspeoplesfortheprotecti onanddefenseoftheirculturalidentity astheirtraditionalknowledge", establishedby Lawno. 20, of June 26, 2000 and regulated by ExecutiveDecreeNo.12,ofMarch20,2001.Panama's suigeneris regimecoversindigenous peoples' creations, suchasi nventions, designs and innovations, cultural historical elements, music, artandtraditional artistic expressions. Two additional criteria are designated to identifythesubjectmatterofprotection:traditionalknowledgeisprotectedtotheextentit providesfortheculturalidentificationofindigenouspeoplesandissusceptibletocommercial use. Collective exclusive rights are accorded to registere delements of traditional knowledge. TheauthoritytoattributerightsisvestedupontheCongress(es) ortheTraditionalIndigenous Authority(ies). Some elements of knowledge may be co -ownedbyvariouscommunities,in which case benefits will be jointly shared. The Law also provides for exception storights conferredaswellasmeasuresofenforcement( availableprovisionstoenforceintellectual propertyrightsmaybeappliedassubsidiarymechanisms). Collective in digenous rightsmay

alsobeabasisforopposingagainstunauthorizedthirdpartyclaimsofintellectualproperty rights, such as copyright, trademarks, geographical indications, and others.

- The Philippineshasen acted the Indigenous Peoples' Rights Act, of 1997 and its regulation, which protects in digenous communities' rights in general, including their rights in traditionalknowledge, includingtherightstolimittheaccessofresearchersintotheir ancestraldomains/landsorterritories,tobedesignatedassourcesofinformationinwhatever writingsandpublications resulting from research, and to receive royal ties from the income derived from any of the researches conducted and resulting publications. The enforcement of those rights will follow procedures established by customary laws of indigenous peoples.
- SamoahasreportedabouttheVillageFonoAct1990whichprovidesf oraninstitutional structurewithinthevillagecommunities"VillageFono"(VillageCouncil)andwhich, althoughindirectly, effectively protects Samoa's traditional form of governance.
- VenezuelahasindicatedthattheConstitutionoftheBolivarian Republicsecuresand protects the intellectual property of indigenous peoples in their knowledge, technology and innovations.
- Asindicated above, tenresponding countries have said that they have the intent of 23. developinga suigeneris systeminthef uture. Onlyonecountry (Peru), however, has provided information about the elements that may be integrated in that future system.
- Peru'sdraftlawwaspublishedintheOfficialJournalonOctober21,1999and,after beingamended, in the Official J ournalonAugust31,2000.Adetaileddescriptionofthe <sup>20</sup>Itspurpose proposedPeruvian suigeneris systemcanbefoundinotherWIPOdocuments. istoprotectknowledgedevelopedbyindigenouspeoplesaboutproperties, uses and characteristics of compone ntsofthebiological diversity. Holders have the right to give consenttotheaccess(anduse)oftheirknowledge.Wheretheintendeduseisofa commercialorindustrialnature, alicenseagreement must beentered into. The license shall providefora neguitableshareofthebenefits. The draft law provides for enforcement measures, including injunctions, seizures and criminals anctions, such as fines. Italso provides that where an application for autility patentor aplant variety breeder's certif icateis related to product sorprocesses obtained or developed from collective knowledge, the applicantmustpresentacopyofthelicensingagreementasaprerequisitefortheconcession oftherespectiveright, unless the collective knowledge is in the publicdomain. The breach of this obligation will cause the denial or, eventually, therevocation of the utility patent or the plantvarietybreeder'scertificateinquestion.UnlikePanama,protectioninPeruwillbe

19 The suigeneris systemofPanamaactuallyconstitutesthefirstcomprehensivesystemofprotection of traditionalknowledgeeveradoptedintheworld, particularly having inview that the Executive Decree no.12,of2001,hasclarifiedthattheregimealsocove rsbiodiversity -associatedtraditionalknowledge, thuslendingapractical expression, as far as the territory of Panamais concerned, to the provisions of Article8(j)oftheConventiononBiologicalDiversity.

See"EffortsatProtectingTraditionalKn owledge:TheExperienceofPeru",documentpresentedatthe WIPORoundtableonIntellectualPropertyandTraditionalKnowledge,Geneva,November1 and 2, 1999. See also ``Intellectual Property Needs and Expectations of Traditional Knowledge Holders and Traditional Control of the Control of th-WIPO -1999)", ReportonFact -FindingMissionsonIntellectualPropertyandTraditionalKnowledge(1998

WIPO, Geneva. April 2001, at 174 -175.

informal, but, in order to facilitate protection and conservation, a voluntary registry will be created.

- (c) ResponsestoQuestion26
- 25. Question26askedwhetherCommitteeMembers'legislationprovidedforspecial measurestoassisttraditionalknowledgeholderstoacquire,exercise,mana geandenforce theirrights.
- Responseshavetakenthreedifferentapproaches. Itappearsthatthelawsofsome 26. countriesaccordtotraditionalknowledgeholderssomesortofinstitutionalassistanceaimed atfacilitatingtheirunderstandingandmanag ingofintellectualpropertyrightsinthefields thataremostimportanttothem(seeresponsesgivenbyAustralia,thePhilippinesand Tanzania<sup>21</sup>). Some countries have put special emphasison capacity building, targeting either traditionalknowledgecrea torsandholders(seetheanswersfromBrazil,Peru,thePhilippines and Viet Nam <sup>22</sup>) or individual inventors in general (see the responses from the United States<sup>23</sup>). New Zealand, although not providing traditional knowledge creators with specializedmanagem entandenforcementassistance, has nonetheless funded the development ofthe "Maori Made Mark," which operates as a certification mark. To this extent, one could saythatinthosecountriestraditionalknowledgeholdersenjoyspecialassistanceverymuch inlineasassistanceprovidedinmanycountriestosmallandmediumenterprises, for example. Traditional knowledgeholders' rights are not, therefore, accrued or otherwise positively discriminated.
- 27. OtherCommitteeMembershaveexplainedthattradi tionalknowledgeholdersare entitledtohaverecoursetotheircustomarylawinmattersregardingdecision -makingand attributionofbenefits(Peru <sup>24</sup>).Similarinformationcanbefoundintheresponsessubmitted byPanama(responsetoQuestion27 <sup>25</sup>),theP hilippines(responsetoQuestion21 <sup>26</sup>)and Samoa(responsetoQuestion1 <sup>27</sup>).TheRussianFederationhaslistedanumberoflawsthat arerelevantinthecontextofQuestion26.
- 28. Butthevastmajorityofanswersanalyzedherebyhavestatedthattherear enospecial measuresinplacetoassisttraditionalknowledgeholdershandlingtheirintellectualproperty matters. Norwayhasacknowledgedthepossibilityofintroducingthosemeasuresinthe future, depending upon the evolution of international discuss ions.
  - (d) ResponsestoQuestion27
- 29. Question 27 involved matters of legal policy. In fact, in asking whether Committee Members perceive limitations in the application of intellectual property laws and procedures

Seenote7, supra.

Seenote7, *supra*.

Seenote7, supra.

Seenote7, *supra*.

Seenote7, supra.

Seenot e7, *supra*.

Seenote7, *supra*.

Seenote7, *supra*.

- 30. KazakhstanandLatviahaveinformedthattheyperceivenolimitationsinthe applicationofintellectualprope rtylawtotheprotectionoftraditionalknowledge.
- 31. Australia, Canada, <sup>31</sup>Japan, Norwayandthe United Stateshave expressed adual, supplementary approach: although it is understood that traditional knowledge has already some (or most) of its main as pects covered by existing intellectual property mechanisms (*suigeneris*, standard mechanisms or a combination thereof), other measures may be necessary (or not) to complement the existing legal system. Guatemalahas stated the view that the combination of existing standard intellectual property mechanisms with cultural heritage legislation provides for the necessary and effective legal framework.
- 32. Athirdgroupofresponseshasindicated that, in principle, existing intellectual property standards shall always have limitations as regards protection of traditional knowledge. Those perceived limitations could be listed as follow:
  - traditionalknowledgedoesnotmeetthecriteria[ofnoveltyandoriginality],as establishedbyinternationallyadopted standards(Bhutan,CostaRica,France, Guatemala,Indonesia,Japan,theRepublicofKorea,NewZealand, <sup>32</sup>Norway, Panama,Peru,theRussianFederation,Singapore <sup>33</sup>);
  - itisdifficult(ifnotimpossibleorinconvenient)toidentifytheindividual creators/inventorsoftraditionalknowledge(Australia,Bhutan,Gambia,Japan,the RepublicofKorea,NewZealand,Panama,thePhilippines, <sup>34</sup>Samoa,Singapore, SolomonIslands <sup>35</sup>),thusremovinganypossibilityofcommunalbenefit(Samoa);

AnidenticalenquirycanbefoundinQuestion5.However,Questions5and27arenotredundant becauseQuestion5issupposedtobeansweredbythoseCommitteeMemberswhichhavealreadyspecial legislationtoprotecttraditionalknowledgeinplace,whileQuestion27isaddressedtoallresponders.

e

AnoverviewofAboriginalperspectivesontraditionalknowledge aswellasofareasofCanadian intellectualpropertylawofmostrelevancetoAboriginalpeopleisavailableat< www.ainc-inac.gc.ca/pr/ra/intpro/intpro>.ThegovernmentofCanadaiscurrent lyseekingtheviewsofnational Aboriginalorganizationsandspecificallysolicitingexampleswhereexistingintellectualproperty mechanismshavenotprovidedprotectionfortraditionalknowledgebutarguablyshouldhave.

Asanexampleofthedeficie nciesofthecurrentintellectualpropertysystem, New Zealandhas reported on a Maoribusiness man who has failed to obtain protection for a method of common knowledge and for an aturally grown plant.

InformationprovidedbyGuatemala,PanamaandPeruo nthistopiccanbefoundintheiranswersto Question5(seefootnote17,above).

The Philippines has reported on the difficulties concerning the registration of the Ifugaoepic "Hudhud" with UNESCO because of the absence of an identifiable competent a uthority to certify the work: "As no competent authority was identified, a political authority (local executive) endorsed the entry."

Information provided by the Solomon Islands in its response to Question 5.

\_

Latviahasexplainedthatithasnosuchgroupsofpeoplewhichcouldbedesignatedas"indigenous peoples", thustherearenoproblemsofmisappropr iationoftraditionalknowledge. Protection of traditionalknowledge in this sense becomes a matter of making it publicly available for the purpose of using it as relevant data for the purposes of examining patent, trademark and designapplications (pleas seedocument WIPO/GRTKF/IC/2/6). This response raises an additional is sue that the survey did not address: national protection of traditional knowledge originated in other countries.

- the limited duration of protection may pose problems for traditional/cultural aspects of property rights [that should be protected in definitely] (Bhutan, Gambia, New Zealand, the Russian Federation, Singapore and Viet Nam);
- otherperceivedlimitationswerethedifficultying uantifyingtraditionalknowledge; moreover, being, by its very nature, knowledge in the public domain, traditional knowledgeitisvirtuallybeyondanypossibilityofbeingprivatelyappropriated (Singapore); the need for identifying the origin of geneti cresourcesandtraditional knowledgeeventuallyemployedinthedevelopmentofnewinventions(Colombia); theconceptsof"droitdesuite"and "paidpublicdomain" should be extended to all formsoftraditionalknowledge(Gambia);lackofawarenessoft hebenefitsthatmay arisefromintellectualpropertyprotection(PanamaandTuvalu);the"holistic" natureoftraditionalknowledgethattouchesuponallaspectsofeverydaylivesof indigenouspeoples, and which are interwoven so as to be come an integra lfactorof identity(Samoa);intellectualpropertymechanismsarestandardizedincontrastwith cultural practices and customs, which differ from place to place (Solomon Islands); thepurposeofintellectualpropertyistoserveasanincentiveforfutu endeavors, while, by definition, traditional knowledgeneeds no such incentive for development(UnitedStates); and the reluctance of traditional knowledgeholders in disclosingsecretknowledgeforfearofhavingitmisappropriated(VietNam)
- It should be noted, however, that almost all legal concepts involved in the above list of the concept sinuscent of theperceivedlimitationscouldbereassessedbasedupontheexperienceobtainedfromthe application of intellectual property law. For example, the idea behind theperceivedlimitation thattraditionalknowledgeisinherentlyinthepublicdomainresultsfromtheconceptthat traditionalknowledge, being traditional, is "old," and thus it cannot be recaptured. Actually, astheWIPOSecretariathasalreadyemphas izedondifferentoccasions, traditional knowledge, just because it is "traditional," is not necessarily old. Tradition, in the context of traditionalknowledge,referstothemannerofproducingsuchknowledge,andnottothedate onwhichtheknowledge wasproduced. Traditionalknowledgeisknowledgethathasbeen developedbasedonthetraditionsofacertaincommunityornation. Traditionalknowledgeis, forthatsimplereason, culturally driven. Buttraditional knowledge is being produced, and willcontinuetobeproducedeverydaybycommunitiesasaresponsetotheirown environmentaldemandsandneeds. Besides, eventraditional knowledge that is "old" —in thesensethatithasbeen produced yesterdayor, eventually, many generations ago -canbe novelforthepurposesofseveralareasofintellectualproperty. Novelty, ingeneral, has been definedbylawsaccordingtomoreorlessprecisecriteriaaccordingtowhichthespecific pieceoftechnicalknowledgehasbeenmadeavailabletothepublic. Inthefieldofpatents. forexample, it is disclosure (or the lack thereof) that establishes whether the condition of novelty(andofinventiveness)hasbeenmet.Thedateonwhichtheinventionwasrealizedis atpurpose. <sup>36</sup>However,thisisnotanabsoluteconcept notnecessarilytakenintoaccountforth eveninthefieldofpatents. It is a well known fact that a few WIPO Member States have acceptedtoextendpipelinepatentprotectionforcertaininventionsthathavealreadybeen patentedinotherc ountries, provided those inventions have not yet been subject to commercialutilization. Asimilar notion of "commercial novelty" can be found in the fields

\_

Inthefewcountriesthatfollowthefi rst-to-inventrule, the date on which the invention was realized is nonetheless of relevance in the context of examination as well as of interference proceedings.

of *suigeneris* plantvarietyprotection <sup>37</sup> and layout -designs (topographies) of integrated circuits. <sup>38</sup>

- Therefore, its eemsthat the concept of "public domain" is not a horizontal one and 34. shouldnotdiscourageCommitteeMembersfromseekingassistanceinexistingintellectual propertymechanismstoprotecttraditionalknowledge. Actually, the answe paragraph22aboveseemtoexpressastrongnecessityfordeepeningtheanalysisofwhether theeventualneedfordevelopinganew, suigeneris intellectualpropertyregimefortraditional knowledgearisesfromtheveryintrinsiccharacte risticsofsuchknowledge,ratherthanfrom limitationsarisingfromtheconditionsandtermsofprotectionprovidedforbyexisting mechanisms. For example, it appears, as discussed above, that existing standards could alreadycontaintheanswerforconc ernsaboutnoveltyandoriginalityoftraditional knowledge.Moreover,thefactthatthecreators/inventorsoftraditionalknowledgearenot easilyidentifiabledoesnotnecessarilypreventtheapplicabilityofexistingintellectual propertystandards.M ostintellectualpropertyassetsareownedbycollectiveentities, which inmanycasesrepresentlargeanddiffusegroupofindividuals(GeneralMotorsowns intellectualpropertyrightsonbehalfofacommunityofshareholdersthatismuchlargerand more diffusethanmostidentifiedtraditionalcommunities). On the other hand, patentlawis inventors, but about appropriating inventions. Likewise, notnecessarilyaboutprotecting copyright, especially in a TRIPS -context, is not about protecting authors, b utratherabout appropriating works. Inotherwords, the protection of individual rights of authors and inventorsinthefieldofintellectualpropertyhasdevelopedinthedirectionoftheadoption and operation of national standards, particularly throug hcontractualarrangementsandlabour standards, rather than through the establishment of international standards. For example, manynationalpatentlawshaveexceptionallyacknowledgedthatwheretheinventorcannot beidentifiedorhe/shedoesnotwant tobeidentifiedassuch, national patent offices should notbepreventedfromissuingthepatentletter, inspiteoftheprovisions of Article 4 *ter*ofthe ParisConvention.Shorttermsofprotection,whicharesaidtobecharacteristicofintellectual propertylaw, should not be a matter of concerneither. Intellectual property and long term, if notindefinite, protection may not be incompatible. The law of trademarks and geographical indications could provide extremely useful insights in that regard.
- On the other hand, it is true that traditional knowledge has been developed without the35. needforaformalsystemofintellectualpropertyprotection. In this sense, it can be said that intellectualpropertyisnotnecessarytopromoteitsdevelopment anyfurther. However, the purpose of intellectual property, and in particular of patents, plant variety certificates and tradesecrets, is not exclusively the promotion of inventive activities. If it were, intellectual soeverincountriesofcentrallyplannedeconomiesorin propertywouldhavenopurposewhat thosefieldswherethebasicinventiveactivitiesarecarriedoutbythegovernmentorby privateinstitutionswithpublicfunding(biotechnology,forexample). Transparentandsecure propertyright sinknowledgehaveanextremelyimportantroleinreducingtransactionscosts asfarasthetransferoftechnologyisconcerned. Patents, for example, have a crucial role to playinthebiotechnologyarea, where the governments or the institutions that h theinventionsneedtotransferpublic -fundedinventionstothemarket.Forthattohappenina transparentandsecureway, rights and obligations must be clearly defined and attributed. For thattohappen,aprivatemechanismofappropriati onisoftheessence. The same concept

SeeTRIPSAgreement, Article 38.2.

SeeUPOV1991, Article 6.1.

appliestotraditionalknowledge. Intellectual property protection of traditional knowledge, would establish clear rules on the private appropriation by traditional communities of their own expressions of culture (i ncluding technical knowledge), thus reducing the enormous uncertainty that to day involves all activities of bioprospection by businesses and research institutions.

36. Theimportanceofdeepening(orrevisiting)theunderstandingoftheperceived limitationslistedbyCommitteeMemberswouldthereforehelpclarifywhethergovernments shouldembarkonacoordinatedefforttopromotetheprotectionoftraditionalknowledge throughavailableintellectualpropertymechanisms —eitherinanticipationoforina ddition toafutureexerciseofdevelopinganew, *suigeneris* systemfortheprotectionoftraditional knowledge,orasitssubstitute.

#### III. CONCLUSION

37. Theadoption of *suigeneris* mechanismsfortheprotectionoftraditionalknowledgebya fewCommitteeMembersistoorecentforpermittingconclusionsontheiradequateand effectiveoperation. On the other hand, the use of wellest ablished and known existing mechanisms for the protection of traditional knowledge, which seems to be the preference of number of Committee Members, has not been thoroughly analyzed and therefore its effectiveness is sunknown.

a

- 38. ThereisacleardividebetweenthoseCommitteeMembersthatunderstandthatthe existingmechanismsareavailabletoprotectthoseelementso ftraditionalknowledgethatare worthofprotection,andthosethatseeinthedeficienciesoftheexistingmechanismsan unavoidabledemandfortheestablishmentofa suigeneris system.
- 39. Atsomepointinthefuture, therefore, the Intergovernmental Committee may wish to undertake additional work with the aim of deepening the understanding of how existing intellectual property mechanisms, with their current standards concerning availability, acquisition, scope, maintenance and enforcement of rights, may be used as effective mechanisms for the protection of traditional knowledge.
- For example, it was noted above that some Committee Members seem to understand a committee of the committethatafewintellectualpropertymechanismsaremoresuitablefortheprotectionoftraditi onal knowledge than others. Geographical indications seem to be one of those mechanisms.VenezuelaandVietNamhaveprovidedconcreteexamplesoftheuseofgeographical indications with that purpose. Geographical indications, as defined by Article 22. 1ofthe TRIPSAgreement, and appellations of origin, as defined by Article 2 of the Lisbon AgreementfortheProtectionofAppellationsofOriginandtheirInternationalRegistration,of October 31, 1958, relynotonly on their geographical connotation bu talso, essentially, on humanand/ornaturalfactors(whichmayhavegeneratedagivenquality,reputationorother characteristicofthegood). In practice, human and/ornatural factors are the result of traditional, standard techniques which local commu nitieshavedevelopedandincorporated intoproduction. Goods designated and differentiated by geographical indications, bethey wines, spirits, cheese, handicrafts, watches, silverware, and others, are as much expressions of localculturalandcommunity identification as other elements of traditional knowledge can be. Additionally, the geographical reference of a geographical indication or appellation of origin isanindirectmeansofappropriationoftraditionaltechniquesthatotherwisemightbeinthe

publicdomain. This second element is clearly predominant in certifications marks, under which, unlike geographical indications, the technical content of the knowledge is the most visible part of the equation, ir respectively of any geographical link. Fo rexamples of certifications and collective marks used in the context of traditional knowledge, see the example provided by New Zealand ("Maori Made Mark", amarko fauthenticity and quality) and Portugal ("tape stry of Arraiolos").

41. The Intergovernme ntal Committee is invited to take note of the information contained in this document and determine a future course of action.

[AnnexIfollows]

#### WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/7 ANNEXI

Responding Members		standardsare protectionofTK	Asuigenerissystemhas been/will bedesignedfortheprotectionof TK		
	Ingeneral	Inspecificareas	Asystemis alreadyavailable	Asystemisunder consideration	
Australia	X	X			
Bhutan					
Bosnia&					
Herzegovina					
Botswana					
Brazil			X		
Canada		X			
Colombia		X			
CostaRica			X	$X^{39}$	
Ecuador				X	
Egypt					
Ethiopia					
France	X	X			
Gambia					
Guatemala			X		
Hungary		X			
Indonesia		X			
Japan	X	X			
Kazakhstan		X			
Republicof		X			
Korea					
Kyrgyzstan					
Latvia					
Malaysia					
NewZealand	X	X		X	
Norway		X			
Pkistan					
Panama			X		
PapuaNew				X	
Guinea					
Peru				X	
Philippines			X	X	
Portugal		X			
Qatar					
Romania		X			
Russian	X	X			
Federation					
Samoa		X	X		
Singapore		1			

 $<sup>{\</sup>footnotesize CostaRica has submit ted a draft Centroamerican Protocolon Access to Genetic and Biochemical Resources and to Associated Traditional Knowledge, which has been approved by the Ministers of Environment of Centroamerica and which so on will be submitted for parliament approval.}$ 

#### WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/7 AnnexI,page 2

#### TABLE1

Responding countries	_	standardsare protectionofTK	Asuigenerissystemhasbeen/will bedesignedfortheprotectionof TK		
	Ingeneral	Inspecificareas	Asystemis alreadyavailable	Asystemisunder consideration	
Solomon				X	
Islands					
Sweden			$X^{40}$		
Switzerland	X				
United				X	
Republicof					
Tanzania					
Togo	X				
Tonga				X	
Trinidad&				X	
Tobago					
Turkey		X			
Tuvalu					
UnitedStates	X	X			
ofAmerica					
Venezuela		X	X		
VietNam		X		X	
European		X			
Community					

<sup>40</sup> 

#### WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/7 ANNEXII

Responding Members	Perceivedlimitationsintheapplicationofexistingintellectualproperty totheprotectionoftraditionalknowledge						laws
	No limitations	Noveltyor originality	Inventive step	Informal nature <sup>41</sup>	Individual v. Collective creation	Termof protection	Other
Australia	X				X		
Bhutan		X			X	X	
Bosnia&							
Herzegovina							
Botswana							
Brazil							
Canada	X						
Colombia							X
CostaRica		X					
Ecuador							
Egypt							
Ethiopia							
France		X		X			
Gambia					X	X	X
Guatemala			X				
Hungary							
Indonesia		X					
Japan	X	X	X		X		
Kazakhstan	X						
Republicof		X			X		
Korea							
Kyrgyzstan							
Latvia	X						
Malaysia							
NewZealand		X		X	X	X	
Norway		X	X				
Pakistan							
Panama		X			X		X
PapuaNew							
Guinea							
Peru		X	X				
Philippines					X		
Portugal							
Qatar							
Romania							
Russian		X	X			X	
Federation							

-

Thisdeficiency, asperceived by France, New Zealand and Viet Nam, relates to the fact that traditional knowledge holders are not in possession of scientific information that the interpretation of the traditional protection under existing systems, such as the patent system. For example, holders of traditional medicinal knowledge know how to prepare extracts and potions in a consistent and repetitive manner, but do not know their chemical calformulae nor can they is olate the active molecules.

#### WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/7 AnnexII,page 2

Samoa					X		X	
Responding	Perceivedlimitationsintheapplicationofexistingintellectualpropertylaws totheprotectionoftraditionalknowledge							
countries	No limitations	Noveltyor originality	Inventive step	Informal nature <sup>42</sup>	Individual v. Collective creation	Termof protection	Other	
Singapore		X			X	X	X	
Solomon					X		X	
Islands								
Sweden								
Switzerland								
United								
Republicof								
Tanzania								
Togo								
Tonga								
Trinidad&								
Tobago								
Turkey								
Tuvalu							X	
UnitedStates	X	X	X				X	
ofAmerica								
Venezuela								
VietNam				X		X	X	
European								
Community								

 $[End of document. Annex II, containing the responses provided, will be circulated in due \\ course]$ 

[EndofAnnexIandofdoc ument]

-

Thisdeficiency, asperceived by France, New Zealand and Viet Nam, relates to the fact that traditional knowledge holders are not in possession of scientific information that might permit them to obtain protection under existing systems, such as the patent system. For example, holders of traditional medicinal knowledge know how to prepare extracts and potions in a consistent and repetitive manner, but do not know their chemical formulae nor canthese existence of the state of the stat