



WO/GA/WG-CR/6/2 ORIGINAL:English DATE:June6,2002

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

GENEVA

WIPOGENERALASSEMBL YWORKINGGROUPON CONSTITUTIONAL REFOR M

SixthSession Geneva,June24to28,2002

STATUSOFWORK

Documentpreparedb ytheSecretariat

 $1. \quad The present document recall sthe current status of the Working Group on Constitutional Reform (the Working Group) for the information of the Working Group and for its consideration informulating its recommendat ion stothe WIPO General Assembly at the latter's meeting from September 23 to October 1,2002.$

MeetingstoDate

- 2. FollowingtherecommendationoftheWIPOGeneralAssemblyinSeptember 1999,the WorkingGroupwasconvenedbytheDirectorGen eralandhasheldfivesessions:thefirst fromMarch22to24,2000,thesecondfromJuly4to6,2000,thethirdfromMarch6to9, 2001,thefourthfromSeptember11to14,2001,andthefifthfromFebruary18to 21,2002. Thereportsofthesesession sareavailableasdocumentsWO/GA/WG -CR/3, WO/GA/WG-CR/2/8,WO/GA/WG -CR/3/6,WO/GA/WG -CR/4/4andWO/GA/WG -CR/5/4.
- 3. The Working Grouphastransmitted one report, contained indocument A/36/10, to the WIPO General Assembly for consideration at the General Assembly 's meeting in September 2001. The General Assembly noted the contents of the Report of the Working Group, decided to renew the mandate of the Working Group and requested the Working Group to report on its progress to the General Assembly 1 yin 2002.

CompletedWork

4. OnerecommendationoftheWorkingGrouphasalreadybeenimplemented. This recommendationinvolved the discontinuation of five historical bodies who semembership was extremely limited and which had long ceased to serve any functional purpose, namely, the Paris Union Conference of Representatives, the Berne Union Conference of Representatives, the Hague Union Conference of Representatives and the Lisbon Union Council. The adoption in practice of the recommendation did not require at reaty revision, and the recommendation was implemented by the concerned bodies in September 2000 (seedocument A/35/15, paragraphs 134to 136).

ProposalsAdoptedinPrinciplebytheWorking Group

- 5. Duringitsvarioussessions,theWorkingGrouphasagreeduponthreeproposed reforms,eachofwhichisdiscrete,inthesensethatitdoesnotentailanyconsequential changestootherpartsoftheconstitutionalstructureofWIPOor theUnionsadministeredby WIPO.Thosethreereformsaredescribedbrieflyinthefollowingparagraphs.
- 6. <u>PeriodicityofOrdinarySessionsoftheAssemblies</u>. TheWorkingGrouphasagreedto recommendthatamendmentsbeintroducedtothetreat iesadministeredbyWIPOtoprovide fortheordinarysessionsoftheWIPOGeneralAssemblyandtheAssembliesoftheUnions administeredbyWIPOtotakeplaceannually,ratherthanonceeverytwoyears(see documents WO/GA/WG-CR/3,paragraph 51andWO/GA/W G-CR/8,paragraph 22).In makingtherecommendation,theWorkingGroupagreed,however,thatthebudgetaryperiod oftwoyearsshouldbemaintained.
- $7. \quad \underline{Abolition of the WIPO Conference} \quad . The Working Grouphas also agreed to recommend the abolition of the WIPO Conference (document WO/GA/WG -CR/3/6, paragraph 12). It has examined draft texts for implementing the abolition of the WIPO Conference. The main consequence of such abolition would be the inclusion of States party to the WIPO Convention, but not members of one or more of the Unions administered by WIPO, as members of the WIPO General Assembly, without the right to vote on any matter relating to a treaty to which the State was not party (see document WO/GA/WG-CR/4/2). \\$
- $\underline{Formalization of the Unitary Contribution System and Changes in Contribution Classes}$ 8. In 1993, the Assemblies of WIPO and the relevant Unions administered by WIPO adopted, on the control of the caprovisional basis, a unitary contribution system in respect of contributions by Member States under the Convention Establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the six other treaties administered by WIPO which provide for contributions to be paid by contracting States (namely, the Paris Convention for the ProtectionProperty(theParisConvention),theBerneConventionfortheProtectionofLiteraryand ArtisticWorks(theBerneConvention),theStrasbourgAgreementConcerningthe InternationalPatentClassification(theStrasbourgAgreement),theNiceA greement Concerning the International Classification of Goods and Services for the Purposes of the Purpose of the PurpRegistration of Marks (the Nice Agreement), the Locarno Agreement Establishing an advantage of the Nice Agreement (the Nice Agreement), the Locarno Agreement (the Nice Agreement) and the Nice AgreInternationalClassificationforIndustrialDesigns(theLocarnoAgreement) andtheVienna Agreement Establishing an International Classification of the Figurative Elements of Marks(theViennaAgreement))(seedocumentsAB/XXIV/5,paragraphs 35,38,39,49and50; AB/XXIV/18, paragraph 180; and, for a general explanation, A/3 3/3, paragraphs 16to 25).

- 9. In 1989, 1991 and 1993, the Assemblies of WIPO and the relevant Unions administered by WIPO adopted, again on a provisional basis, changes to the classes for contributions by States under the WIPO Convention and the six other treaties administered by WIPO that provide for contributions to be paid by contracting States (see, for a general explanation citing the relevant decisions, document A/33/3, paragraphs 26 to 45).
- 10. Theunitarycontributionsystemand thelistofcontributionclassesadoptedin 1993 have been in operation since 1994. The implementation of these two reforms, however, has been a practical one. The corresponding provisions in the WIPO Convention and the six other treaties administered by WIPO that provide for contributions by contracting Stateshaven ever been modified to reflect the new practices.
- 11. The Working Grouphasagreed to recommend that the unitary contribution system and the new list of contribution classes beform a lized by the amendment of the corresponding treaties to reflect the new practices accurately (seedocument WO/GA/WG -CR/3, paragraphs 36 to 38).
- 12. DrafttextshavebeensubmittedtotheWorkingGrouptoillustratethechangesthat wouldberequi redtotheWIPOConventionandthesixothertreatiesadministeredbyWIPO thatprovideforcontributionsbycontractingStates.AsfarastheWIPOConventionis concerned,theunitarycontributionsystemandthenewcontributionclassesareexpressedin draftofArticle 11(Finances)oftheWIPOConvention,containedindocument WO/GA/WG-CR/4/2.ForthesixothertreatiesadministeredbyWIPOthatprovidefor contributionsbycontractingStatesanillustrativetextreflectingtheunitarycontribution systemandthenewcontributionclassesiscontainedinadraftofArticle 16oftheParis Convention,containedindocumentWO/GA/WG -CR/4/3.TheParisConvention,inthis regard,issimilarinallmaterialrespectstothefiveothertreatiesadministered byWIPOthat provideforcontributions.ThedraftrevisedtextofArticle 16oftheParisConventioncan thusbeconsideredasamodelforthechangesthatwouldberequiredtothoseothertreaties.

a

<u>ProposalAdoptedinPrinciplebutDependentonAgreeme</u> <u>ntonOutstandingConsequential</u> <u>Changes</u>

13. TheWorkingGrouphasagreedinprinciplethattheExecutiveCommitteesoftheParis, BerneandPCTUnionsservednousefulfunctionandshouldbeabolished(seedocument WO/GA/WG-CR/2/8,paragraph 43).TheWorkingGrouprecognized,however,thata recommendationtoabolishtheExecutiveCommitteesoftheParisandBerneUnionscould notbemadeunlessanduntilanalternativemeanswereagreedforconstitutingthe CoordinationCommittee,sincethoseExcutiveCommitteesprovidetheprimarymeansby whichtheCoordinationCommitteeisconstituted.Thefateoftheproposaltoabolishthe ExecutiveCommitteesoftheParisandBerneUnionsthusdependsontheoutcomeofthe WorkingGroup'sdiscussionsconc erningtheroleandcompositionoftheCoordination Committee,towhichreferenceismadeinthenextsectionofthisdocument.

OutstandingItems

14. TherearetwoitemsonwhichtheWorkingGrouphasnotbeenabletoreachagreement.

- 15. <u>RoleandCompositionoftheCoordinationCommittee</u>. Provokedinpartbytheneedto consideranewmeansofconstitutingtheCoordinationCommitteeshouldtheExecutive CommitteesoftheParisandBerneConventionsbeabolished,theWorkingGrouphas held extensivediscussionsontherole,functionsandsizeoftheCoordinationCommittee,aswell asonthemeansofconstitutingthatCommittee. Thosediscussionshave,however,been inconclusive. Their presentstatus is summarized in the Report of the last session of the WorkingGroupas follows:
 - "12. The Chairnoted that the Working Grouphad made significant progress on the issueoftheCoordinationCommittee.Themajorityofdelegationswereinfavorof keepingtheCoordinationCommitteeprovided itremainedacoordinationbody.Inthe viewoftheChair,thereseemedtobeconsensusonrecommendingtheabolitionofthe ExecutiveCommitteesoftheParisandBerneUnions,subjecttofindingasatisfactory meansofdeterminingthecompositionofthe CoordinationCommittee.TheChairalso concluded that a large number of delegations appeared to be in favor of retaining the $present functions of the Coordination Committee. Some delegations had expressed a {\it Constant of the Coordination} and {\it Committee} and {\it Constant of the Coordination} and {\it Constant of the C$ wish that the members of the Committee should beelectedtoservetwo Onedelegation had proposed that, as for other bodies, the Executive Committees of the ParisandBerneUnionscoulddecidetonolongermeetorbeconvened, while continuingtoserveasthebasisfordeterminingthecomp ositionoftheCoordination Committee.
 - "13. WithrespecttothesizeoftheCoordinationCommittee,theChairobservedthat differentopinionshadbeenexpressed. Some delegations were infavor of a smaller size rangingbetweenone -fifthandone -thirdof thenumberofStatespartytotheWIPO Convention. Some delegations proposed that even though a smaller number of States wouldbedesignatedasmemberswithvotingrights, the Coordination Committee shouldremainanopen -endedbodyinwhichallotherWIP **OMemberStatescould** participatewithouttherighttovote. The Chairrecalled that some members of the WorkingGrouphadsuggestedthatthetaskofdesignatingthemembersofthe Coordination Committee or the criteria for selecting the members of the Council of the Councilmmittee shouldbehandedovertotheWIPOGeneralAssembly.Somedelegationshad suggestedthattheWorkingGroupprovidetheGeneralAssemblywitha recommendationintheformofaproposalforcriteriatodeterminethecompositionof the Coordination Committee. Other delegations proposed that, in order to ensure transparencyandfullparticipation, afuture committee should be open to all WIPO MemberStates."

(documentWO/GA/WG -CR/5/4,paragraphs12and13)

16. <u>UnitaryAssembly</u>. The Working Grouphas also had extensive discussions on the possibility of establishing the WIPO General Assembly as a unitary Assembly that would be the competent Assembly for the WIPO Convention and for all WIPO - administer edtreaties. These discussions have not, however, produced any agreed position. Following the discussions on this question at the last session of the Working Group, the Chair "concluded that not much progress had been made on the issue of the unitary Assembly, and the Working Group was still far from consensus on the matter. There were different ideas as to the viability of a unitary Assembly, and there was not a consensus to recommend the creation of a unitary Assembly at this time" (document WO/GA/WG - CR/5/4, paragraph 20).

FutureDecisions

- 17. AsthesixthsessionoftheWorkingGroupisplannedtobethelastsessionbeforethe finalrecommendationsoftheWorkingGrouparetransmittedtotheWIPOGeneralAssembly inSeptember 2003,twomaindecisionsconfronttheWorkingGroup.
- 18. Thefirstsuchdecisionisthedeterminationoftheitemsonwhichrecommendationsfor reformwouldbemadebytheWIPOGeneralAssembly. Asindicatedinthepreceding sectionsofthisdocument, following the fifths ession of the Working Group, the rewere three itemson which an agreed position to recommend reforms had been reached (periodicity of the ordinary sessions of Assemblies; abolition of the WIPOC onference; and formalization of the unitary contribution system and then ewcontribution lasses). The Working Group will need to decide whether the sethree items exhaust the list of recommended reforms or whether an agreed position in favor of recommendations for reform can also be reached on the outstanding items (the Executive Committees of the Paris, Berneand PCT Unions; the Coordination Committee; and the unitary Assembly).
- $19. \quad The second decision confronting the Working Group is whether the nature of the items on which there is an agree dposition to recommend reforms is sufficed in the part of Member States to obtain the necessary constitutional approval storatify treaty amendments reflecting the reforms. \\$
- 20. The procedures that would need to be followed to effect treat yamend ments to implement any recommended reforms have been described in detail in paragraphs 9 to 15 of document A/33/3. It is recalled that those procedures would, generally, require the following steps (assuming that amendments were to be effected by the competent Assemblies, rather than through a diplomatic conference):
 - thecommunicationbytheDirectorGeneraloftheproposedamendmentssix monthsinadvanceoftheconsiderationoftheproposalsbythecompetent Assemblies:
 - theadoptionoftheamendmentsbyaspecialmajority(usuallythree -fourths)of thecompetentAssemblies;and
 - thecommunicationofwrittennotificationsofacceptanceoftheadopted amendments,effectedinaccordancewiththeirrespectiveconstitu tionalprocesses, bythree -fourthsoftheStatesthataremembersofthecompetentAssembliesatthe timetheamendmentisadopted.
- 21. AssumingthattheWorkingGroupdecidedtorecommendreformstotheWIPOGeneral AssemblyinSeptember 2003,a ndassumingthattheWIPOGeneralAssemblydecidedto directtheDirectorGeneraltoinitiatetheprocedurefortreatyamendmenttoimplementthese reforms,theproceduresdescribedintheprecedingparagraphwouldnecessitatethefollowing actions,taking theWIPOConventionasanexample:
 - communicationinJanuary 2003bytheDirectorGeneraloftheproposed amendments(seearticle 17(1)oftheWIPOConvention);

- adoptionoftheamendmentsinSeptember 2003bythree -fourthsofthemembers oftheAssem bliesoftheParisUnionandoftheBerneUnionandbyasimple majorityofthemembersoftheWIPOConference(seeArticle 17(2)oftheWIPO Convention);and
- communicationofwrittennotificationsofacceptancethereafterbythree -fourths oftheState smembersofWIPO(atpresent,179 States,meaningthatwritten notificationswouldberequiredfrom134 States)(seeArticle 17(3)oftheWIPO Convention).
- 22. ItisthusnecessaryfortheWorkingGrouptodecidewhetherthefinallistofagreed itemsofreformissufficientlyimportanttojustifytheconsiderableeffort,formemberStates, thattheproceduresdescribedinthelasttwoparagraphswouldinvolve.

[Endofdocument]